

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND TRADE
Department of Building Authority

Na Františku 1039/32, 110 15 Prague 1 - Old Town

tel.: 224 853 179

INSIGHTS. UN.: MIT 76833/2023
C.J.: MPO 76833/23/423 - ARE see distribution list
MIPOX0465LNB
HANDLED BY: Ing. Libuše Hlaváčková
TEL.: 224 852 547
E-MAIL: hlavackova@mpo.cz
DATES: 30. 10. 2023

P u b l i c N o t i c e

RESOLVED

ON THE LOCATION OF THE *BUILDING*

The operative part:

On 1 June 2021, **Elektrárna Dukovany II, a. s., Duhová č. p. 1444/2, Michle, 140 00 Praha 4, ID No.: 04669207** (hereinafter referred to as "the applicant") submitted an application to the Třebíč Municipal Office, Department of Construction, for a zoning decision on the location of the construction entitled **"Connection of the NJZ EDU to the transport infrastructure"** (hereinafter referred to as "the construction").

The Ministry of Industry and Trade, as the building authority competent under Section 16(4) of Act No. 183/2006 Coll., on Spatial Planning and Building Code (Building Act), as amended (hereinafter referred to as the "Building Act"), after assessing the applicant's intention under Section 90 of the Building Act in the following procedure:

I.

a s s e s s m e n t

pursuant to Section 79(1) and Section 92(1) of the Building Act and pursuant to Section 9 of Decree No. 503/2006 Coll., on more detailed regulation of planning decisions, zoning measures and building regulations, as amended

decision on the location of the building

"Connection of NJZ EDU to the transport infrastructure"

(hereinafter referred to as "the building")

on the land defined for the location of the building:

Vysočina region, Třebíč district:

Municipality of Dukovany:

cadastral territory Skryje nad Jihlavou [748854]: parc. no. 376/5 (other area), 109/1 (arable land), 109/6 (arable land), 109/7 (arable land), 109/8 (arable land), 109/9 (arable land), 109/10 (arable land), 109/22 (arable land), 109/24 (arable land), 109/26 (arable land), 108/2 (arable land), 108/3 (arable land), 124/36

(arable land), 124/43 (arable land), 379 (other area), 143/6 (arable land), 143/7 (arable land), 143/8 (arable land), 143/10 (arable land), 143/11 (arable land), 107 (arable land);

Village Rouchovany:

cadastral territory Heřmanice u Rouchovan [638536]: parc. no. 1530 (other area), 251/16 (arable land), 251/2 (arable land), 302/1 (other area), 109/12 (arable land), 317/2 (other area), 200/7 (arable land), 177 (arable land), 200/5 (arable land), 196 (arable land), 197 (arable land), 334 (arable land), 320 (other area), 166 (permanent grassland), 199 (arable land), 325 (other area);

and on the land defined for temporary occupation for the purpose of construction:

Vysočina region, Třebíč district:

the municipality of Dukovany:

cadastral territory Skryje nad Jihlavou [748854]: parc. no. 124/38 (arable land);

(hereinafter referred to as "the directly affected land").

Type, purpose and design of the building:

It is a construction related to the intention of construction of the New Nuclear Power Source at the Dukovany site (hereinafter referred to as "NPP EDU").

This is a new permanent construction of exits and alteration of roads II/152 and III/15249, the purpose of which is to connect the NJZ EDU site to the transport infrastructure.

The building consists of the following construction and engineering objects:

- SO 101 Modification of the road II/152 in km 84,437 - 84,735,
- SO 102 Modification of the road II/152 in km 84,763 - 85,142,
- SO 103 Modification of the road II/152 in km 85,115 - 85,509,
- SO 111 Repair of the road III/15249 in km 0,904 - 0,936,
- SO 112 Modification of the road III/15249 in km 1,547 - 1,579,
- SO 113 Modification of the road III/15249 in km 2,060 - 3,260,
- SO 121 Connection of the special-purpose road to the road. II/152 at km 84,556,
- SO 122 Connection of the special-purpose road to the road. II/152 at km 84,914,
- SO 123 Connection of the special-purpose road to the road. II/152 at km 85,281,
- SO 131 Connection of the special-purpose road to the road. III/15249 at km 0.920,
- SO 132 Connection of the special-purpose road to the road. III/15249 at km 1,563,
- SO 133 Connection of the special-purpose road to the road. III/15249 at km 2,388,
- SO 134 Adjustment of the connection of the special-purpose road to the road. III/15249 at km 2.575,
- SO 135 Adjustment of the connection of the special-purpose road to the road. II/152 at km 85,284,
- SO 141 Repair of existing exits,
- SO 321 Relocation of the VAS water pipeline to the road. II/152 at km 85,328.

The proposed construction solves the modifications of the existing roads II/152 and III/15249, the traffic connection of special purpose roads for servicing the NPP EDU site and the traffic connection of the corridor for the construction of the construction "Drainage of precipitation water from the NPP EDU site to the Heřmanický Brook" (which is subject to joint zoning proceedings together with other selected constructions forming the NPP EDU at the construction office under the registration number MPO 76834/2023). The existing slip roads connecting to the road will be modified. II/152 and III/15249, which serve the area. In addition, a water main relocation will be implemented.

Modifications of the road II/152 (SO 101, SO 102, SO 103) include modifications in the area of the proposed exits (connection of special purpose roads) to the area of the NJZ EDU (SO 121, SO 122, SO 123). These are:

- Modification of the road II/152 in km 84.437-84.735 (SO 101) consisting in widening the lane in the direction of Třebíč to a width of 5.50 m, which is designed asymmetrically in the south direction (right).
- Modification of the road II/152 in km 84.763-85.142 (SO 102) consisting in designing an additional left turn lane in the direction of Třebíč and a right turn lane in the direction of Ivančice for turning to the NJZ EDU area with a width of 3.25 m (the additional right turn lane is part of the connection to SO 122).
- Modification of the road II/152 in km 85.115-85.509 (SO 103) consisting in the insertion of additional left turn lanes for both directions of travel and a right turn lane in the direction of Ivančice for turning into the area of NJZ EDU with a width of 3.25 m (the additional right turn lane is part of the connection SO 123).

The directional and height solution and the knocking fully respect the solution of the related road reconstruction "II/152 Hrotovice - Dukovany, Stage II". Drainage of paved areas and the road surface will be ensured by transverse and longitudinal slope into the existing road ditches along the road, which, due to the absence of recipients, function mainly as soakage/evaporation ditches.

The modifications of the road III/15249 include:

- Modifications in km 0,904-0,936 and in km 1,547-1,579 (SO 111, SO 112) without changing the position and height of the road.
- Asymmetric roadway widening and 50 mm level rise (SO 113). The lanes are designed 2 x 2.75 m without paved shoulders, where local conditions allow, the carriageway will be widened to 6.50 m.

Drainage of the paved areas and the road surface will be ensured by transverse and longitudinal slope into the existing road ditches along the road, which, due to the lack of recipients, function mainly as soakage/evaporation ditches.

New connection of special purpose roads to the road. II/152 are perpendicular to the road. II/152. The elevation of the future special purpose roads is based on the connection along the road. II/152 from the transverse slope of this road and then continue towards the expected height of the landscaping in the area of NJZ EDU 389,00 m above sea level. The lanes of the dedicated roads are designed to be 3.25 m wide with hard shoulders (including guide strips) 0.75 m wide as follows:

- The exit at km 84.556 (SO 121) is designed as a simple intersection with two lanes with paved shoulders with a total width of 8 m.
- Two exits at km 84.914 and km 85.281 (SO 122, SO 123) are designed as capacity intersections with additional (shift) lanes. These are three lanes (direction NJZ EDU, left turn direction Slavětice, right turn direction Dukovany) with paved shoulders with a total width of 11.25 m.

The constructed embryos of the exits are fully drained into the ditches along II/152. The connection includes culverts under the exits.

Modification of the connection of the dedicated one-lane two-way road to the road. II/152 at km 85,284 (SO 135) respects the height design of the road. II/152, and at the point of connection it is widened from approx. 3.7 m width to approx. 7.0 m. The drainage of the connected special purpose road is freely into the ground. A culvert will be installed under the connection to divert the water from the ditches of the road II/152.

Connection to the road. III/15249 are designed as follows:

- Two new connections of special purpose roads in km 0.920 and in km 1.563 (SO 131, SO 132) in the connection angle 88-90° respect the cross slope of the road in the basic width of 7.0 m with

corner radii $R = 12.0$ m. The drainage of both connections is to the road ditch. III/15249 (further continuation of special purpose roads that will be connected to the implemented connections will be designed so that water is not diverted into road ditches). The longitudinal and transverse gradients of both connections ensure that water from the road surface will not flow onto the road. III/15249. The connections include culverts under the slip roads.

- Two new connections of the special purpose road at km 2.388 (SO 133) at an angle of $75/105^\circ$ respect the cross slope of the road in the basic width of 4.0 m. The drainage of both connections is to the road ditch. III/15249 (further continuation of the special-purpose roads, which will be connected to the implemented connections, will be designed in such a way that water is not diverted into the road ditches). The longitudinal and transverse gradients of both connections ensure that water from the road surface will not flow onto the road. III/15249. The slip roads are located at the top of the elevation curve, at which point the road ditches will be closed. III/15249 will be interrupted without affecting the drainage function, therefore culverts are not part of the proposed connections.
- The modification of the connection of the existing road at km 2.575 (SO 134) respects the height design of the road. III/15249, and at the point of connection it is widened from approx. 2.8 m width to 10.0 m. The drainage of the connected special purpose road is freely into the ground. Under the connection, the ditch of road III/1524 will be blocked.
- Modifications of existing exits at km 2.264 right, km 2.272 left and km 2.575 right (SO 141), width 6.0 m, length up to 9.0 m from the road edge and connection angle between $75-93^\circ$. Under all the exits, the ditches of road III/1524 will be piped to divert the water from the ditches of road III/15249.

The total built-up area of the construction (permanent occupation for the implementation of roads) amounts to $36\,681\text{ m}^2$ (this area includes also modified parts of existing roads).

At the crossing point of the existing water supply pipeline DN 300 with the existing road II/152 Hrotovice - Dukovany at km 85.33, the relocation of the water supply pipeline DN 300 (SO 321) is proposed in the length of 91.7 m. The new crossing is designed as perpendicular and the route of the water pipeline follows the edge of the road ditch.

The following conditions are set for the location and design preparation of the building:

The following conditions set by the building authority competent to issue this decision will be complied with, including conditions taken from the binding opinions of the authorities concerned, or set on the basis of the requirements arising from other documents for the issuance of this decision (opinions, statements and consents of the authorities concerned, owners and administrators of transport and technical infrastructure and other subjects), specifically:

1. Conditions for the location of the building and for the coordination of buildings in the area:
 - 1.1 The building will be located in accordance with the graphic annex to this decision (i.e. drawings C. Situation drawings, C.2.1 Catastral Situation Drawing - Part 1 and C.2.2 Catastral Situation Drawing - Part 2, which are part of the documentation for the issuance of the zoning decision, prepared in 05/2021 by DOPRAVOPROJEKT Ostrava a.s., Masarykovo náměstí 5/5, 702 00 Ostrava, ID No.: 42767377, under the order number 29-5320-30-008), which contains the drawing of the building plots and the location of the building on the basis of the cadastral map at a scale of 1:500.
 - 1.2 The construction will be prepared in such a way that it will be coordinated materially, spatially, technically and temporally with the other constructions with which the New Nuclear Power Plant at Dukovany project is jointly composed, for which a zoning decision was issued under No.MPO 76834/23/422-SU dated 30 October 2023.
2. Conditions taken from the binding opinion on the environmental impact assessment of the project by the Ministry of the Environment, Department of Environmental Impact Assessment and Integrated Prevention, No. MZP/2019/710/7762 of 30 August 2019:

Conditions for the plan preparation phase:

- 2.1 Within the documentation for the zoning procedure for the solution of the wastewater drainage from the new nuclear source (hereinafter referred to as "NPP") to the Mohelno water reservoir (hereinafter referred to as "WSR"), locate the routing of the relevant pipeline above the confluence of the Skryjský brook and the Luhy stream in the forest section exclusively along the existing path with a green tourist marker; in other sections, give priority to the concurrence with technical facilities (e.g. roads).
- 2.2 Within the documentation for the zoning proceedings for the solution of the discharge of wastewater containing radioactive substances from the NPP to the Mohelno HPP, consistently locate the routing of the relevant pipeline above the left bank of the Skryjský brook below the confluence with the Luhy stream, for reasons of consistent respect of the boundary of the European Site of European Importance (hereinafter referred to as the "European site") CZ0614134 - Údolí Jihlavy, which passes above the right bank of the stream below the confluence - namely the section between the outlet of the Skryjský brook and its confluence with the Luhy stream in the length of approximately 0.3 km of the Skryjský brook.
- 2.3 Within the documentation for the zoning proceedings, the system of storm water drainage from the NJZ into the Olešná basin will include tanks to catch any leakages of oil substances and sediments so as not to affect the subject of protection in the EVL CZ0623819 - Rokytná River.
- 2.4 Within the documentation for the building permit, document in more detail the construction solutions for the shelters, emergency control centre, technical support centre, external emergency support centre, backup emergency control centre and backup technical support centre, including a schedule for their implementation.
- 2.5 Within the documentation for the construction permit, ensure that the technical and technological design of the NPP allows for limiting liquid discharges (wastewater) containing radioactive substances from the NPP, especially tritium (H-3), in case of low flows in the Jihlava River.
- 2.6 Within the documentation for the construction permit, update the results of the water management balances (i.e. the security of the abstraction), on the basis of new data from the selected supplier of the NWP and on the basis of the extended flow series in the Jihlava River in the Jihlava - Ptáčov profile, the current values of the then valid minimum residual flow in the Jihlava - Mohelno profile below and other actually monitored data on climatic changes (temperature, precipitation).
- 2.7 Within the documentation for the building permit, solve the lighting of the NJZ area in such a way as to avoid significant light pollution of the surroundings, e.g. by fitting directional light sources.
- 2.8 As part of the documentation for the building permit:
 - a) to exclude permanent and to minimize the temporary occupation of land designated for the performance of forest function (hereinafter referred to as "PUPFL") for construction site facilities, intermediate deposits of concealed soils and intermediate deposits of construction materials of the NJZ itself to the strictly necessary cases,
 - b) to exclude permanent and minimize the temporary occupation of PUPFL for construction site facilities, intermediate areas of hidden soils and construction materials in the corridors of related linear structures for the NWP in parts of their routes leading in the forest to the strictly necessary cases,
 - c) specify consistent forestry reclamation in the forest stands affected by the construction.
- 2.9 As part of the documentation for the building permit, prefer an urban and architectural design that takes into account the link to the existing layout of the area and adapts the architectural design of the project (including the colour scheme) to integrate into the landscape, including taking into account the architectural link to the existing EDU1-4 site.
- 2.10 Within further project preparation (before submitting an application for a building permit), examine the possibility of visually shielding the NJZ site from the village of Rouchovany with new structural elements of greenery, e.g. using the position of the ridge north of Rouchovany between the village and the Olešná valley, and partly also the ridge south of the proposed site

facility of area B on the road from the chapel around the Hlinsko hill and in the agricultural track under the alley. In case of a positive result, implement this screening.

- 2.11 In the next phases of the project documentation, no later than within the preparation of the project documentation for the building permit, after specifying the location of the individual NJZ objects in Area A, the spatial structure of the site facilities in Area B and the location of the proposed infrastructure elements in Areas C and D, prepare a comprehensive dendrological survey with the determination of the retained and felled tree species.
- 2.12 In the next stages of the project preparation (after specifying the final transport routes from the source of the main commodities to the NPP construction site and the induced transport intensities in the construction phase), discuss with the owners of the affected roads the method/principle of possible compensation for the use of the affected road network, taking into account the nature of the traffic induced by the project, the condition of the road network, the service obligations of the transport infrastructure owners and the tax obligations of the commodity carriers; implement the agreed method/principle of compensation without delay.
- 2.13 Demonstrate in the later stages of the permitting process that:
 - (a) for the basic design basis accidents as well as the extended design conditions without fuel meltdown, no or only minor radiological impacts will be required as recommended by WENRA, i.e. no implementation of emergency protective measures for the population in the vicinity of the NPP and no or only minor (limited in time and space) need for implementation of restrictions on food and agricultural products
 - (b) For severe accidents (extended design conditions with fuel meltdown), spatially and temporally limited radiological impacts will be required to ensure that the following requirements are met, as recommended by WENRA:
 - i. the need for evacuation at a distance greater than approximately 3 km will be eliminated,
 - ii. the need for shelter and iodine prophylaxis at distances greater than approximately 5 km will be eliminated,
 - iii. agricultural produce within a distance of more than about 5 km will be suitable for consumption within one year of the radiation accident,
 - iv. no permanent resettlement occurs anywhere outside the plant site (for practical application this is interpreted as no permanent resettlement beyond 800 m from the reactor).
- 2.14 The design of the NPP must ensure protection of the NPP from the consequences of a radiological emergency at any of the other nuclear installations on the site.
- 2.15 To develop a radiation monitoring project as part of the further project preparation of the NPP.
- 2.16 Include in the design of the NPP measures to reduce the individual effective doses to a representative person caused mainly by the discharge of liquid effluents (wastewater) containing radioactive substances from the NPP.
- 2.17 In the further phases of the project preparation, continuously monitor the development of climatic conditions and in case of demonstrable changes, respond to them in the project preparation, especially in terms of securing the water requirements of the NPP.
- 2.18 To evaluate the chemical status of surface water bodies in subsequent stages of the project permitting process, continue to monitor indicators causing impairment of the chemical status of surface water bodies that exceed environmental quality standards for surface water bodies in raw and waste water.
- 2.19 Ensure that in any variant of the coexistence of the NPP with EDUs 1-4 the total net electrical output at the Dukovany site does not exceed 3 250 MWe.
- 2.20 Ensure that the technical and technological design of the NPP does not exceed the envelope of environmental parameters specified in the environmental impact documentation (chapters B.II. Input data and B.III. Output data).

- 2.21 In the next project stages, place increased emphasis on optimizing water management so that the water quality in the Jihlava below the wastewater outlet is not deteriorated, as it is necessary to prevent deterioration of the water body in question.
- 2.22 In the framework of further project preparation of the project, continuously specify the requirements for ensuring nuclear safety of the new nuclear source in relation to the current nuclear legislation.
- 2.23 In the tender for the construction contractor, the specification of guarantees to minimise the negative environmental impact of the construction and the requirements for the use of modern and progressive construction methods (using less noisy and environmentally friendly technologies) should be one of the benchmarks in the tender.
- 2.24 Prior to the construction of the NJZ, ensure that the condition of the affected communication network is described and diagnosed. If necessary, ensure that the roads and road network objects are modified so that they are not significantly degraded by the construction, taking into account the service and maintenance activities and obligations of the road owners.
- 2.25 After the selection of the construction contractor, prepare a detailed acoustic study assessing the noise impact of the chosen solution on the nearest, or potentially most affected protected outdoor space or protected outdoor space of buildings in the surrounding villages. Submit the study to the relevant public health authority and determine any measures leading to a reduction in noise pollution.

Conditions for the implementation (construction) phase of the project:

- 2.26 Prior to the start of construction, measure the noise in the areas potentially most affected by construction traffic according to the actual situation at the time of the start of construction; subsequently prepare an acoustic study assessing the impact of construction traffic on the acoustic situation; on the basis of this data, take measures to reduce the noise load (e.g. roadway modifications, traffic-organisational measures, reduction of vehicle speed, replacement of windows on affected buildings, etc.). Submit the study to the competent public health authority for approval.
- 2.27 Prioritise the possibility of using rail transport for the transport of selected commodities (especially construction commodities), taking into account the state of the rail infrastructure, loading possibilities and rail transport access in the commodity sources.
- 2.28 The discussed minimized extent of deforestation to be addressed during the construction phase gradually and exclusively during periods of dormancy based on a precise measurement of the necessary extent of deforestation in the field.
- 2.29 In the period of construction of the NJZ, ensure the minimization of air quality impacts by applying preventive measures to eliminate dust in accordance with the air quality improvement programme for the South East zone (code BD3 "Dust reduction from construction activities"). Due to the dominant influence of construction site traffic, emphasis will be placed on the selection of an appropriate combination of measures that minimize the impact of emissions from vehicle traffic on construction site roads (e.g. optimisation of the length of transport routes on the site, use of paved site roads, cleaning of vehicles, communication and handling areas, speed limitation of transport mechanisms, etc.), or which minimise dust emissions from other activities (e.g. minimising or eliminating free deposition of fine-grained material, maintaining sufficient moisture on open surfaces, etc.).
- 2.30 To develop principles of construction organization for the construction, which in terms of minimizing the effects on noise pollution during the construction phase and the effects on surface and groundwater will include the following requirements:
 - (a) the residents of the nearest houses will be informed in advance of the forthcoming construction, the length and nature of the individual construction phases
 - b) all construction work related to the delivery of construction and technological materials will be carried out in the vicinity of residential developments only during daytime hours, with the exception of acoustically insignificant activities such as the transport of oversized and heavy components, where night-time traffic is more favourable for such transport due to the lower traffic

volume, and with the exception of the delivery of materials to support work that for technological reasons must be carried out continuously - such work will be defined in advance in the construction organisation principles

(c) all noisy construction work in the vicinity of the protected structures will be carried out only during daytime hours, from 06.00 to 22.00

d) construction work in the vicinity of the village of Slavětice (around the substation) will be limited to daytime hours, excluding early morning and late evening hours (i.e. between 07.00 and 21.00).

e) at the start of construction work, noise control measurements will be carried out at the nearest residential development and noise protection measures will be specified

f) machinery with guaranteed lower noise levels will be used during construction; the operation of significant noise sources in one day will be shortened - the work will be divided into several days in smaller time periods - except for the provision of work that must be carried out continuously for technological reasons - this work will be defined in advance within the construction organisation principles

g) an emergency plan will be prepared for the construction within the meaning of Act No. 254/2001 Coll., on Water and on Amendments to Certain Acts (Water Act), as amended, the contents of which will be made known to all construction workers

- 2.31 Throughout the preparation, construction and operation of the NPP, ensure contact with the surrounding municipalities and the public in the area of communication and information about the preparation and implementation of the project and its potential impacts on the surrounding area, including operational response to suggestions and questions.
- 2.32 Ensure that prior to the commencement of the construction of the project, an ecological (biological) supervisor is appointed on a contractual basis for the entire course of the project, who will oversee compliance with the established conditions for nature protection and monitor the construction areas for the presence of plants and animals. The choice of the biological supervisor should be discussed with the relevant nature conservation authority. At the same time, an ecological services contractor will be appointed to address the required protection and prevention measures proposed by the biological supervisor. As part of its activities, the biological control service will ensure that all nature conservation measures implemented are recorded in detail, documented and archived and communicated to the contractors via interim and final reports.
- 2.33 In connection with the previous condition, ecological supervision with special attention to focus on EVL CZ0614134 - Údolí Jihlavy. In view of the presence of sensitive biotopes - objects of protection in the EVL CZ0614134 - Údolí Jihlavy in the border section with development area D (the right bank of the Skryjský brook before its mouth into the Mohelno river), ensure that during construction works also in this development area, the demarcated boundary of development area D consistently respects the demarcation of this EVL and its boundary is not crossed.
- 2.34 In the event that there is a threat of excessive dust pollution during construction works, the person carrying out biological supervision through the contractor will ensure the implementation of measures to prevent the occurrence of excessive dust and potential pollution of areas within the EVL CZ0614134 - Údolí Jihlavy (e.g. scraping dusty surfaces of the construction site and service roads in contact with the areas of the EVL with water on dry days).
- 2.35 Ensure that prior to the commencement of the construction of the project, floristic and faunistic surveys of the area concerned are carried out during the last 2 growing seasons in order to identify and locate the most valuable communities and the occurrence of specially protected species of plants and animals; based on the results of these surveys, apply to the relevant nature protection authority for an exemption from the protection conditions of the specially protected species concerned prior to the commencement of construction; based on the results of these surveys, appropriate mitigation and compensation measures will be specified prior to the commencement of construction.
- 2.36 In order to prevent a significant increase in traffic across the EVL CZ0614134 - Údolí Jihlavy (and the National Nature Reserve (hereinafter referred to as "NPR") Mohelenská Hadcová steppe) on the road II/392 during the construction phase, solve within the principles of the construction

organization the organization of traffic to the construction site so that the passage of trucks through the terrain difficult road passing through the EVL Údolí Jihlavy and NPR Mohelenská Hadcová steppe is limited as much as possible.

- 2.37 During construction, ensure monitoring of non-native and invasive plant species in the affected areas; in the event of their occurrence, destroy them immediately and vegetate the affected areas to create space for natural regeneration.
- 2.38 Upon completion of the construction of the project, bring the roads affected by the construction to a condition that will result from discussions with the road owners; the exact extent of the necessary repairs will result from diagnostics and surveys carried out prior to and after the construction of the NJZ, taking into account the intensity of construction traffic generated by the project compared to other traffic and taking into account the maintenance obligations of the road owner and operator.
- 2.39 Protect the chapel of the extinct village of Lipňany, which lies in the area of the construction site facilities, during the construction works by fencing, including protection against accidental damage by motor vehicles (e.g. by means of barriers). After the completion of the construction of the NJZ, the area of the Lipňany Chapel will be rehabilitated, the chapel will be restored and made accessible again.

Conditions for the operation phase of the project:

- 2.40 In the period of at least 1 year before the commissioning of Unit 1 of the NPP for test operation and subsequently at an interval of 10 years, carry out an evaluation of the health status of the population in the remote exposed area E2 (Třebíč, Znojmo and Brno-venkov districts), make the results available to the public.
- 2.41 Ensure that the public is regularly informed about the environmental impact of the operation of the NPP in the annual summary reports published on the operator's website.
- 2.42 Consistently ensure that the minimum residual flow rate in the Jihlava-Mohelno profile below the Jihlava River from the Mohelno hydroelectric power station will be maintained at least at the same level as during the operation of the existing EDU after the launch of the NPP, which will ensure the protection of habitats in the Jihlava River within the EVL CZ0614134 - Jihlava Valley.
- 2.43 Consistently ensure that rainwater captured in retention basins is discharged gradually so that runoff uniformity is achieved to the maximum extent technically feasible.

Conditions for monitoring and analysis of the environmental impact of the project:

- 2.44 Simultaneously with the commencement of the test operation and subsequently with the commencement of the normal operation of the NPP, measurements of noise from the operation will be carried out; the measurements will include an assessment of the occurrence of the tonal component of noise; in the event of a conflict with the noise hygiene limits, additional noise protection measures will be taken to comply with the limits.
- 2.45 Ensure that the outflow on the Jihlava River from the Mohelno WWTP will be monitored annually for physical and chemical parameters (temperature, oxygen content, pH, amount of organic substances, nitrogen, phosphorus and other substances specified in the water permit decision) after the start of the trial operation of the NPP; as an indicator of the quality of the discharged water, monitor the extent of aquatic plant habitats in the Jihlava River within the EVL CZ0614134 - Jihlava Valley at least once every 5 years; the results of mapping the structure and extent of these habitats from 2013, 2014 and 2016 may be used as comparative values; in case of deterioration of the condition of these habitats as a result of the implementation and operation of the project, take corrective measures.
- 2.46 Ensure that precipitation water discharged from the NJZ site into the Olešná river basin will be regularly (at least 4 times a year) monitored for pollution, including measurement of the tritium concentration level in these waters, so that they do not affect the objects of protection in the EVL CZ0623819 - Rokytá River, the scope of the monitored indicators will be discussed and agreed by the relevant water authority.
- 2.47 Ensure that precipitation water discharged from the NJZ site into the Skryjský Brook catchment area will be regularly (at least 4 times a year) monitored for pollution, including measurement of

tritium concentration levels in these waters so that they do not affect the objects of protection in EVL CZ 0614134 - Údolí Jihlavy, the extent of the monitored indicators will be discussed and agreed by the relevant water authority.

3. Condition taken from the binding opinion of the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region, Department of Environment and Agriculture, No. KUJI 88447/2020 OŽPZ 1931/2020 PP-2 of 21 September 2020 (to which the supporting opinion of Povodí Moravy, s.p., No. PM-31636/2020/5203/Pav of 24 August 2020 was issued, the validity of which was extended by the opinion No. PM-35121/2022/5203/Pav of 27 July 2022):
 - 3.1 The construction will affect the existing technical (water management) infrastructure of the area - the water pipeline managed by VODÁRENSKÁ AKCIOVÁ SPOLEČNOSTI, a.s. According to the project documentation, the water supply pipeline DN 300 is affected at the crossing of the road II/152 (km 85,328), where its relocation is necessary due to the road extension - see SO 321. This must be respected when preparing and processing the project documentation for the construction procedure. It is also necessary to respect the opinion of the administrator of this water management infrastructure.
4. The condition is set on the basis of the opinion of Povodí Moravy, s.p., No. PM-31636/2020/5203/Pav dated 24 August 2020, the validity of which was extended by the opinion No. PM-35121/2022/5203/Pav dated 27 July 2022):
 - 4.1 The next stage of the project documentation will be submitted for comments to the Morava River Basin Authority, as the administrator of the water management infrastructure (of the affected basin).
5. Conditions taken from the binding opinion - consent of the Ministry of the Environment, Department of State Administration VII, No. MZP/2021/560/371 of 22 March 2021 (for the 1st stage of the construction, i.e. connection and modifications to the road II/152):

Consent for the permanent withdrawal of agricultural land from the agricultural land fund with an area of 0.8546 ha for the project "Connection of NJZ EDU to the transport infrastructure, 1st stage" is granted provided that the applicant ensures that the following conditions are met:

- 5.1 This consent is granted only for the stated purpose and the agricultural land withdrawn cannot be used in any other non-agricultural way.
- 5.2 The boundaries of the permanent withdrawal will be clearly demarcated in the field prior to the commencement of the actual works.
- 5.3 In accordance with Section 8 (1) (a) of the Act No. 334/1992 Coll., on the protection of the agricultural soil fund, as amended, the builder will carry out at his own expense the removal of 0.8546 ha of the area to be withdrawn and the removal of 0.40 m of cultural soil layers, determined on the basis of documented results of a pedological survey (DOPRAVOPROJEKT Ostrava, October 2019).
- 5.4 The hidden topsoil in the amount of approx. 3,418 m³ will be transported to the central topsoil depot established within the construction site facilities of the "Set of buildings in the area of the nuclear facility NJZ EDU" and subsequently used within the reclamation works. The topsoil will be stored separately from other deposits and will be secured against theft or degradation.
- 5.5 A record shall be kept by the developer of the activities associated with the concealment, relocation, placement, protection and spreading of the concealed cultural soil layers, which shall include all facts critical to the assessment of the correctness, completeness and appropriateness of the use of these soils. The records for this construction will be part of the comprehensive records to be kept by the applicant for the construction of the 'Complex of buildings on the site of the NPP EDU'. The builder shall deliver a copy of this partial record within 30 days after the completion of the excavation and at the time of the building approval to the ZPF protection authority of the Trebic Municipality.
- 5.6 In accordance with the provisions of Section 11a(1)(b) of Act No. 334/1992 Coll., on the Protection of the Agricultural Land Fund, as amended, no levies will be imposed for the

permanent withdrawal of land from the Agricultural Land Fund, as this is a withdrawal of land for the construction of a road.

- 5.7 Pursuant to Section 3b(4) of Act No. 334/1992 Coll., on the protection of the agricultural land fund, as amended, the builder shall deliver a copy of the final decision for which the consent to the withdrawal is the basis within 30 days from the date of its legal force to the Ministry of the Environment, OVSS VII, and the authority for the protection of the agricultural land fund of the Třebíč Municipal Office.
6. Conditions taken from the binding opinion - consent of the Ministry of the Environment, Department of State Administration VII, No.MZP/2021/560/163 of 27 April 2021 (for the 2nd stage of the construction, i.e. connection and modifications to the road III/15249):

Consent for the permanent withdrawal of agricultural land from the agricultural land fund with an area of 0.3416 ha in k.ú. Heřmanice u Rouchovan for the project "A set of buildings in the area of the nuclear facility NJZ EDU and selected related buildings", which includes the construction "Connection of the NJZ EDU to the transport infrastructure" (1st and 2nd stage, with the 1st stage being the subject of a separate binding opinion mentioned above), is granted provided that the applicant ensures that the following conditions are met:

- 6.1 This consent is granted only for the stated purpose and the withdrawn agricultural land cannot be used in any other non-agricultural way.
- 6.2 The boundaries of the permanent withdrawal will be clearly demarcated in the field for each phase before the actual work begins.
- 6.3 In accordance with Section 8(1)(a) of Act No. 334/1992 Coll., on the protection of the agricultural soil fund, as amended, the builder shall carry out, at his own expense, the removal of the area of 97.2911 ha from the withdrawn area by the soil cultural layers in the quantities determined on the basis of documented results of pedological surveys (Research Institute of Melioration and Soil Protection, v.v.i., May 2016, DOPRAVOPROJEKT Ostrava, October 2019). Based on the conclusions of the pedological surveys, the spoil quantities are indicated in the annex to the application for the withdrawal of land from the ZPF for the "Set of buildings in the area of the nuclear facility NJZ EDU", part 4. During stripping, the composition of the removed layers must be monitored to avoid excessive or insufficient stockpiling.
- 6.4 Hidden topsoil in the amount of approx. 246,030 m³ and hidden subsoil in the amount of approx. 104,676 m³ will be transported to the central topsoil disposal site established within the construction site facilities of the "Set of buildings in the area of the nuclear facility NPP EDU". The topsoil and subsoil will be stored separately from other deposits and will be secured against theft or degradation. All hidden topsoil and subsoil will be used for agricultural reclamation of temporarily withdrawn land and greening of areas affected by construction. Part of the hidden topsoil will be used for greening of vacant areas within the NPP EDU. Another part of the topsoil will be deposited as a higher layer on selected areas for a long period of time, but this deposit will also be used for agricultural purposes.
- 6.5 The developer shall keep a record of the activities related to the concealment, relocation, placement, protection and spreading of the concealed cultural soil layers, which shall include all facts critical to the assessment of the correctness, completeness and appropriateness of the use of these soils. A copy of this record shall be delivered by the builder within 30 days of the completion of the concealment and at the time of the building approval to the authority for the protection of the ZPF of the Třebíč Municipal Office.
- 6.6 In accordance with the provisions of Section 11, levies will be prescribed for the permanent withdrawal of land from the Agricultural Land Fund in the amount determined in accordance with the Annex to Act No. 334/1992 Coll., on the Protection of the Agricultural Land Fund, as amended. Pursuant to Section 9(9) of Act No 334/1992 Coll., on the protection of the agricultural land fund, as amended, the amount of the levies for the permanently withdrawn land with a total area of 97.2911 ha is defined indicatively in the aggregate amount of CZK 357 323 877.81. Pursuant to Section 11(2) of Act No. 334/1992 Coll., on the protection of the agricultural land fund, as amended, the competent authority for the protection of the agricultural land fund

- (Municipality of Třebíč, OŽP) will decide on the levies separately for each individual stage of the withdrawal after its commencement. The levies for permanently withdrawn land shall be paid in one lump sum for each phase.
- 6.7 Pursuant to Section 3b(4) of Act No. 334/1992 Coll., on the protection of the agricultural land fund, as amended, the builder shall deliver a copy of the final decision for which the consent to the withdrawal is the basis within 30 days from the date of its legal force to the Ministry of the Environment, OVSS VII, and the authority for the protection of the agricultural land fund of the Třebíč Municipal Office.
7. Condition taken from the binding opinion of the Regional Hygienic Station of the Vysočina Region with headquarters in Jihlava No.: KHSV/16933/2020/JI/HOK/Sme dated 10 August 2020:
- 7.1 Prior to the issuance of the approval (final inspection) of the water main relocation, KHS kr. Vysočina region, a control analysis of the water from the relocation of the water supply line will be submitted. The analysis will be carried out in the scope of a reduced analysis according to Decree No. 252/2004 Coll., which establishes the sanitary requirements for drinking and hot water and the frequency and scope of the inspection of drinking water, as amended. The control sample will be taken by an accredited person. Evidence of the conformity of the materials used and proof that the pipes have been flushed and disinfected will also be provided. The materials used in contact with drinking water will comply with the requirements of Decree No 409/2005 Coll. on hygiene requirements for products in direct contact with water and water treatment, as amended.
8. The conditions set out on the basis of the opinion of the Regional Administration and Maintenance of Roads of the Vysočina Region, contributory organization, No.: TSÚ/No/011709/2020 dated 5 October 2020 (the validity of which was extended by the opinion No. TSÚ/No/011984/2020 of 9 October 2020 (the validity of which was extended by Opinion No. KSAÚSVPO/015440/2022 of 5 September 2022):
- 8.1 The costs of restoration of the areas and facilities of the road network affected by the construction in the ownership of the Vysočina Region and the costs of possible destruction of vegetation on the road land and replacement planting will be borne by the applicant.
- 8.2 Prior to the actual implementation of the works, discuss (and, if necessary, contractually treat) with KSÚSV the conditions for the implementation of construction works in the road body and road land, and any clarifying requirements of KSÚSV on the method of implementation itself.
- 8.3 The interference of the land plot No. 376/5, Skryje nad Jihlavou, with the road II/152 by a transverse crossing (overpressure) will be carried out at least 120 cm below the road level with placement in a protection box.
- 8.4 Encroachment of land plot No. 376/5 k.ú. Skryje nad Jihlavou of the II/152 road by longitudinal placement (outside the roadway) will be made at least 100 cm below the road level with placement in a guard.
- 8.5 The works must be carried out in uninterrupted road traffic.
- 8.6 To the maximum extent possible, the work will be carried out between 1 April and 30 October of the calendar year.
- 8.7 In the event of any changes or the possibility of further impact on road interests, this must be discussed and agreed with the KSÚSV.
9. Conditions taken from the binding opinion of the Třebíč Municipal Authority, Department of Transport and Utilities, No. ODKS 3103/21 - SPIS 207/2021/St dated 19 January 2021:
- 9.1 The action must not jeopardize the safety and smooth flow of road traffic on the road No. II/152 and make maintenance of the road land more difficult.
- 9.2 During the execution of the works, the road must not be polluted, its body, stability and drainage system must not be damaged.
- 9.3 If road traffic will be restricted in any way during the works, the contractor must apply for the relevant permits from the relevant road administration authority before starting the works, after a prior written opinion from the Police of the Czech Republic DI Třebíč.

10. Condition taken from the binding opinion of the Ministry of Industry and Trade of the Czech Republic No. MPO 523907/2020 of 20 August 2020:
 - 10.1 In the design documentation for the construction permit it will be ensured that the implementation of the construction will not restrict the operation of the existing Dukovany Nuclear Power Plant, the level of nuclear safety, radiation protection, security of nuclear equipment and nuclear material and the management of a radiation emergency will not be affected.
11. Conditions taken from the binding opinion of the Ministry of Defence, Section of Administration and Management of Organisations, Department of Protection of Territorial Interests and State Professional Supervision, ref. no. 128163/2022-7460-OÚZ-BR of 29 March 2022 (which replaces the binding opinion ref. no. 104177/2020-1150-OÚZ-BR of 3 September 2020 due to its expiry):
 - 11.1 During the implementation of the construction project, we require to maintain the passability of the road II/152 for military convoys and military oversized vehicles reaching a total length of 21.315 m and a maximum width of 3.6 m. The required clearance is necessary to maintain the protection of the nuclear power plant in the event of an emergency.
 - 11.2 Three weeks prior to the start of the construction, we request that you submit the construction date and the approved design of the traffic measure on the affected road II/152 to the Regional Centre for Military Transport Olomouc, Dobrovského 6, 771 11 Olomouc or by fax to 973 401 556 (contact persons of the Regional Centre for Military Transport Olomouc, Prap. Regmund - tel. 973 401 554, mobile phone 724 006 068, email: vd_olomouc@army.cz).
12. Condition taken from the coordinated binding opinion of the Fire Rescue Service of the Vysočina Region, Regional Directorate of the Vysočina Region, No.HSJI- 3949-4/P-2020 of 8 October 2020:
 - 12.1 The construction is located in the emergency planning zone of the Dukovany Nuclear Power Plant. In the event of a radiation accident at the Dukovany Nuclear Power Plant, it is necessary to ensure the warning of all persons on the construction site and to ensure the possible evacuation of persons from the construction site. In the period when the internal emergency plan for the new nuclear power plant, which is approved only with the issuance of the construction permit pursuant to Section 9 of Act No. 263/2016 Coll., the Atomic Act, as amended, is not in force, follow the Dukovany NPP Internal Emergency Plan in the same mode as the Dukovany NPP staff. Notification of other persons who will be on the construction site will be arranged by the person in charge of the construction site, including setting up protective measures for these persons.
13. Condition set on the basis of the statement of ČEZ, a. s. dated 5 November 2020:
 - 13.1 During the implementation of the construction, such measures will be taken to ensure that there is only minimal impact on the surrounding structures and that the construction of the entire NPP EDU project does not restrict the operation of existing nuclear facilities and does not affect the level of nuclear safety, radiation protection, security of nuclear facilities and nuclear material and the provision of radiation emergency management.
14. Conditions set out on the basis of the consent of ČEPS, a.s. No. 197/BRN/796/20/07.08.2020/Za dated 11 August 2020 (the validity of which was extended by the statement No. 01636/2022/PDV dated 15 February 2022):
 - 14.1 Construction modifications and activities in the protection zone of the line, as well as the crossing with the line itself, must be carried out in accordance with the relevant provisions of the standards ČSN EN 50341-1, ČSN EN 50341-3-19, ČSN 33 2040, ČSN 33 2030, ČSN 73 6005, ČSN EN 50110-1, PNE 33 0000-6, ČSN 33 2000 (if they are in force at the relevant stage of project preparation).
 - 14.2 In the area of the protection zone of very high voltage / extra high voltage lines (vvv/zvn), no parking of mechanisms will be carried out during the construction and no material will be stored and stacked there.
 - 14.3 In case of any changes concerning the area of the line protection zone compared to the submitted documentation, these changes must be discussed and approved in advance by ČEPS, a.s., as the transmission system operator.

- 14.4 The contractor of the aforementioned project will ensure measures against dangerous influences in the vicinity of the existing lines (electric field, electromagnetic induction, noise, falling ice from the wires).
 - 14.5 The stability of the masts or their earthing system must not be disturbed during the earthworks.
 - 14.6 For the implementation of the activity, it is necessary to choose such technological procedures and solutions that the lines do not need to be shut down. Should it still be necessary to shut down the line, it is necessary to request the operator to shut it down no later than 30 June of the previous year.
 - 14.7 Before starting work in the line protection zone, persons carrying out construction and other work must be demonstrably familiar with the relevant provisions of the Energy Act, the legislation on occupational safety and the technical standards ČSN EN 50110-1 and ČSN 33 2040 (if they are in force at the relevant stage of project preparation). Commencement of work in the line protection zone and contact person including tel. The contact person must be notified in writing to ČEPS, a.s. at least 15 days in advance and within 5 days after completion of the work.
 - 14.8 In case of bad weather (storm, rain, fog, strong wind) and extraordinary events on the power lines, the activity in the protection zone of the line must be interrupted and the protection zone must be abandoned.
 - 14.9 Upon completion of the work in the line protection zone, a written report will be prepared and submitted to ČEPS, a.s. The report must describe the extent of the works carried out and the modifications of the affected area in the protection zone of the existing line (technical report, a clear situation of the location of the above mentioned construction in relation to the route of the line axis and the boundaries of the protection zone according to the actual design, a drawing in JTSK coordinates, possibly a longitudinal profile, a situation of access roads).
 - 14.10 Activities and works in the protection zone of the existing line must not make it difficult for the operator to access the power equipment. Access (driveway) from at least one side as well as handling space must be maintained to all existing transmission towers for inspection, maintenance and repair.
 - 14.11 In this case, the handling area must be made within the total width of the existing buffer zone at a minimum distance of 16 m on all sides of the mast. This space and access (driveway) must be available and sized for heavy ground equipment (cranes, platforms, trucks, etc.) at all times.
 - 14.12 Property fencing or guardrails may be placed within the line protection zone provided that they are constructed of non-conductive materials that are considered insulated from the standpoint of hazardous contact. If the site fencing is to be made of conductive material (wire fencing, metal posts, etc.), the provisions of EN 33 3300 and EN 50341-3-19 (conductive fences, barriers under or near the line must be earthed with a maximum earth resistance of 500 ohms) must be complied with if they are in force at the relevant design stage. An initial inspection report is required on the earthing of the fence in the line protection zone. The maximum height of the fence shall be designed to comply with all standards and regulations that restrict the placement of objects within the protection zone of the overhead line. The applicant is responsible for the technical condition of the fence, its design and maintenance.
 - 14.13 All roads can be reconstructed or otherwise modified in the line protection zone provided that the appropriate distances between the road body (road) and the phase conductors of the vvn/zvn line are maintained according to the applicable technical standards that will be in force at the relevant stage of project preparation.
 - 14.14 If the level of the reconstructed road is raised by a height that would contradict the standard minimum safe distances at the crossing point, or if the type of road is changed, it is necessary to prepare a professional assessment of the road crossing with the line in question.
- In the protection zone of power lines, it is further prohibited, beyond the prohibitions specified in Act No. 458/2000 Coll., the Energy Act, as amended, to:
- 14.15 Establishing without the owner's consent of the HV/LV line or placing structures and other similar equipment, including earthworks.

- 14.16 Throwing materials and soil in such a way that persons could approach with their bodies, tools or machinery closer than the safe distance in accordance with EN 50110-1 (if applicable at the relevant stage of the design). Carry out activities that could endanger the reliability, safety and operation of overhead lines or endanger persons, animals and property e.g. car parks, parking areas etc.
 - 14.17 Going under the el. vehicles or machines whose height, load or handling surfaces could come closer to the conductors than specified in EN 50110-1 (if in force at the relevant stage of project preparation).
 - 14.18 Use mechanisms with cable drives unless they are secured against ejection if they break.
 - 14.19 Use water spraying equipment where there is a possibility of dangerous contact of the water jet with the phase conductors of the line.
 - 14.20 Tipping cars or using machinery with a working position higher than 4 m.
 - 14.21 Stay under 220 kV and 400 kV lines with machinery and vehicles for longer than strictly necessary.
 - 14.22 Disrupt the stability of masts or their earthing system during earthworks.
15. The conditions set out on the basis of the opinion of E.ON Distribuce, a.s. (now EG.D, a.s.) No. 20082020-2/hro of 20 August 2020:
- 15.1 In all copies of the implementation documentation, the routes of overhead and underground lines occurring in the area of interest will be plotted and these routes will be marked in a clearly visible manner on the ground before the start of construction. This includes, in particular, points of crossing or coincidence of the route of the line with the route of movement of machinery, with the route of excavation lines, etc., so that workers on the construction site are permanently informed about the boundaries of the protection zone.
 - 15.2 To solve the method of implementation of concurrences and crossings of the construction with the distribution equipment so that it complies with the relevant ČSN (if they are in force at the relevant stage of project preparation).
 - 15.3 After completion, the construction must comply with the relevant standards in terms of protection against operational and fault influences of the distribution system, in particular PNE 33 33 3301, PNE 33 3302, PNE 34 1050, ČSN EN 50 341-1, PNE 33 0000-1, ČSN EN 50 522, ČSN EN 61 936-1 (if in force at the relevant stage of project preparation).
 - 15.4 All construction activity in the protection zone (OP) of distribution and communication equipment will be consulted with the relevant equipment manager before commencement, who will determine safety measures for work in the OP of the relevant distribution equipment in accordance with the applicable ČSN EN 50 110-1 (if in force at the relevant stage of project preparation).
 - 15.5 Work with machinery in the OP line must be carried out under supervision, or in a de-energized state of the line (work with rope machinery) and any shutdown will be discussed with E.ON Distribuce, a.s. (now EG.D, a.s.) well in advance.
16. Condition imposed on the basis of the opinion of the Municipality of Rouchovany dated 19.10.2020:
- 16.1 Before starting the works it is necessary to mark out the route of the communication line - cable for cable television of the village of Rouchovany, which runs in parallel with the road III/15249 Dukovany - Rouchovany on the right along the road .
17. Condition set on the basis of the statement of the company VODÁRENSKÁ AKCIOVÁ SPOLEČNOST, a.s., division Třebíč, No.: TR/5564/2022-Ka dated 13 September 2022 (which replaces the statement No.: TR/7383/2020-Ka dated 15 December 2020):
- 17.1 The construction of the water supply feeder relocation, which is part of the construction placed by this decision as SO 321, must be designed in accordance with the applicable legislation, technical standards and requirements for the technical design of water supply and sewerage systems, in particular it is necessary to comply with the requirements of ČSN 75 5401 Design of

water supply pipelines, ČSN 73 6005 Spatial arrangement of technical equipment networks (if they are in force at the relevant stage of project preparation).

18. Conditions set out on the basis of CETIN a.s. statement No 760851/22 of 27 September 2022 (which replaces statement No 806149/20 of 24 November 2020 and statement No 805066/20 of 29 October 2020 due to their expiry):

- 18.1 The applicant shall ensure that all crossings are routed under the PVSEK (underground electronic communications network) route. ČSN 73 6005 (if in force at the relevant stage of project preparation) will be complied with. The level above the cable route will not be changed. No machinery will be used within a distance of 1 m. At the crossing points of the cable route and the new entrances, the PVSEK will be placed in cable protectors and a spare thick-walled cable protector with a minimum diameter of 110 mm will be installed parallel to the route and its ends sealed against the ingress of dirt. An inspection will be carried out prior to burial. The trench can only be included after the inspection and recording. The builder shall notify the relevant Brno Network Protection Centre in writing of the date of commencement of work.
- 18.2 The applicant shall comply with the general conditions for the protection of the SEC (electronic communications network) issued by CETIN a.s., which will be in force at the relevant stage of project preparation.
- 18.3 In the event that it is necessary to relocate the SEC (electronic communications network), the owner of the SEC, CETIN a.s., will always ensure such relocation.
- 18.4 In the event that the relocation of the SEC is necessary, the applicant is obliged to conclude a contract with CETIN a.s. for the implementation of the relocation of the SEC.

This decision shall be valid for 5 years from the date of its entry into force, provided that it shall not expire if a final building permit or other similar decision pursuant to the Building Act or special legislation is issued on the basis of an application submitted during its validity.

II.

a s s e s s m e n t

pursuant to Section 8(6) of Act No. 114/1992 Coll., on the Protection of Nature and Landscape, as amended, Decree No. 189/2013 Coll., on the Protection of Trees and Permitting Their Felling, as amended, and Section 9(5) of Decree No. 503/2006 Coll., on more detailed regulation of spatial decision-making, zoning measures and building regulations, as amended, and on the basis of a positive binding opinion dated 12 June 2023, which under no. OUDUK-220/2023/02-ŽP issued by the Municipal Authority of Dukovany, as the nature protection authority, authorised on the basis of the resolution of the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region, Department of Environment and Agriculture, issued on 15 February 2023 under No. KUJI/19720/2023, file No. OŽPZ 298/2023, in the meaning of Section 7(2), Section 61(1)(a) and Section 109(3)(b) of Act No. 128/2000 Coll., 114/1992 Coll., on Nature and Landscape Protection, as amended, and within the meaning of Sections 10 and 11 of Act No. 500/2004 Coll., the Administrative Code, as amended, for the construction "Connection of the NJZ EDU to the transport infrastructure"

P a g e

to cut down trees with a trunk circumference of 80 cm or more at a height of 130 cm above the ground, a total of 16 trees on the following plots:

-	parc. no. 302/1	in k. ú. Heřmanice u Rouchovan	7 pcs	
-	parc. no. 109/12	in k. ú. Heřmanice u Rouchovan	1 pcs	
-	parc. no. 166	in k. ú. Heřmanice u Rouchovan	1 pcs	
-	parc. no. 177	in k. ú. Heřmanice u Rouchovan	2 pcs	
-	parc. no. 199	in k. ú. Heřmanice u Rouchovan	3 pcs	(including 1x double stone)

- | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| - | parc. no. 166 and 302/1 | in k. ú. Heřmanice u Rouchovany | 1 pcs |
| - | parc. no. 177 and 302/1 | in k. ú. Heřmanice u Rouchovany | 1 pcs. |

The following conditions are set for felling trees:

- 1) The felling of trees/engaged vegetation can only be carried out in the case of the implementation of the above-mentioned construction.
- 2) Cutting will be done prior to construction, preferably during the dormant season, depending on the current construction schedule.

At the same time the **applicants**

A c c o u n t r i b u t e d

114/1992 Coll., on the protection of nature and landscape, as amended, and § 9 (5) of Decree No. 503/2006 Coll., on more detailed regulation of spatial decision-making, zoning measures and building regulations, as amended,

obligation to carry out replacement planting

to compensate for ecological damage, namely 35 plum trees (tall trunk, trunk height 170 cm and above); 15 winter oak trees (trunk circumference 10-12 cm, with bundle), 20 bird crane trees (trunk circumference 10-12 cm, with bundle); 10 hawthorn trees (size 100 cm and above), 10 myrobalan plum trees (size 100 cm and above), 10 hazel trees (size 100 cm and above).

The following conditions shall apply to the replacement planting:

- 1) Replacement planting will be carried out on plots of land parc. no. 591/24 and 1277 in the area of. Rouchovany.
- 2) Replacement planting will be carried out no later than 2 years after the felling.
- 3) At the same time, the applicant is obliged to take care of the planted trees for a period of 5 years from the date of planting. Subsequent care will consist of regular watering, weeding, pruning, and repair of anchoring.

This decision shall be valid for 5 years from the date of its entry into force, provided that it shall not expire if, on the basis of an application submitted during its validity, a final building permit or other similar decision is issued pursuant to the Building Act or special legal regulations for the construction of the "Connection of the NJZ EDU to the transport infrastructure".

Participants in the proceedings to whom the decision of the administrative authority applies pursuant to Section 27(1) of Act No. 500/2004 Coll., the Administrative Code, as amended (hereinafter referred to as the "Administrative Code") in conjunction with Section 87(1) of the Building Act:

a party to the proceedings pursuant to Section 85(1)(a) of the Building Act:

Elektrárna Dukovany II, a. s., ID: 04669207, registered office: Duhová č. p. 1444/2, Michle, 140 00 Praha 4

parties to the proceedings pursuant to Section 85(1)(b) of the Building Act:

Municipality Rouchovany, ID: 00290378, Rouchovany č. p. 35, 675 57 Rouchovany

Municipality Dukovany, ID: 00289329, Dukovany No. 99, 675 56 Dukovany

Municipality Slavětice, ID: 00378615, Slavětice No. p. 58, 675 55 Hrotovice

parties to the proceedings pursuant to Section 85(2)(a) of the Building Act

Institute of Archaeology of the CAS, Brno, v. v. i., ID: 68081758, Čechyňská č. p. 363/19, Trnitá, 602 00 Brno 2

CETIN a.s., ID: 04084063, Českomoravská č. p. 2510/19, Libeň, 190 00 Prague 9

ČEPS, a.s., ID: 25702556, Elektrárenská č. p. 774/2, Michle, 101 00 Praha 10

Czech Republic, with the right to manage: the Czech Hydrometeorological Institute, ID: 00020699, Na Šabatce č. p. 2050/17, Komořany, 143 00 Praha 12

ČEZ, a. s., ID: 45274649, Duhová č. p. 1444/2, Michle, 140 00 Prague 4

EG.D, a.s., ID: 28085400, Lidická č. p. 1873/36, Černá Pole, 602 00 Brno 2

Vysočina Region, ID No.: 70890749, Žižkova no. p. 1882/57, 586 01 Jihlava 1

Regional Administration and Maintenance of Roads of Vysočina Region, contributory organization, ID No.: 00090450, Hrotopická č. p. 1102, 674 82 Třebíč

Vysočina Regional Authority, Property Department, ID: 70890749, Žižkova č. p. 1882/57, 587 33 Jihlava

Povodí Moravy, s.p., ID: 70890013, Dřevařská č. p. 932/11, Veveří, 602 00 Brno 2

VODÁRENSKÁ AKCIOVÁ SPOLEČNOST, a.s., division Třebíč, ID: 49455842, Kubišova č. p. 1172, 674 11 Třebíč 1

Justification:

The subject matter of the proceedings and the grounds for the decision:

On 1 June 2021, the applicant, Elektrárna Dukovany II, a. s., Duhová č. p. 1444/2, Michle, 140 00 Praha 4, ID No.: 04669207, submitted an application to the Třebíč Municipal Office, Department of Construction, for a zoning decision on the location of the construction in the application entitled "Connection of the NJZ EDU to the transport infrastructure" (which also includes an application for permission to cut down trees for this construction).

The subject of the zoning proceedings is the issuance of a zoning decision on the location of the aforementioned transport construction, i.e. new parts of existing roads for the purpose of modifying the existing communication solution caused by the construction of the NJZ EDU project, on the land specified in the operative part I of this decision, as well as a permit to cut down trees growing outside the forest within the meaning of Section 8 of Act No. 114/1992 Coll., on Nature and Landscape Protection, as amended (hereinafter referred to as the "Act on Nature and Landscape Protection").

On the date of submission of the application, a planning procedure was initiated, which is a follow-up procedure within the meaning of Section 3(g)(1) in conjunction with Section 9b et seq. of Act No. 100/2001 Coll. The construction is part of the NJZ EDU project, which was assessed within the EIA process and for which a binding opinion on the environmental impact assessment of the implementation of the project was issued pursuant to Section 9a(1) of the EIA Act on 30 August 2019 under No. MZP/2019/710/7762 (hereinafter referred to as the "binding EIA opinion").

Within the meaning of Section 3(2) of Act No. 458/2000 Coll., on the conditions of business and the exercise of state administration in the energy sectors and on amendments to certain acts (Energy Act), as amended (hereinafter referred to as the "Energy Act"), this is a construction related to the construction of an electricity generation plant with a total installed electrical capacity of 100 MW_e and more with the possibility of providing support services to ensure the operation of the electricity system. At the same time, within the meaning of Section 1(1) in conjunction with Section 1(4) of Act No. 416/2009 Coll., on accelerating the construction of transport, water, energy and electronic communications infrastructure (the Line Act), as amended (the Line Act), this is a construction related to energy infrastructure, and therefore the Line Act also applies to the proceedings in question. The construction authority (Třebíč Municipal Office,

Department of Construction) informed the parties to the proceedings of these facts in the notice of commencement of the planning proceedings.

Along with the above application, the documentation for the issuance of the zoning permit, including all attachments required by law, was submitted by the applicant and placed on file. This documentation was prepared in accordance with Section 86(2)(e) of the Building Act in relation to Section 1a of Decree No. 499/2006 Coll., on documentation of buildings, as amended, which was verified in terms of the overall concept of the work, completeness and coordination of works by Ing. Roman Kotas, authorised engineer for transport constructions ČKAIT 1103123 pursuant to Act No. 360/1992 Coll., on the exercise of the profession of authorised architects and on the exercise of the profession of authorised engineers and technicians active in construction, as amended.

In particular, the following documents have been placed on file as supporting documents for the decision:

- decisions, binding opinions, opinions and statements of the authorities concerned:
- 1. Ministry of the Environment, Department of Environmental Impact Assessment and Integrated Prevention (binding opinion on the environmental impact assessment of the project - New nuclear source in the Dukovany site No.: MZP/2019/710/7762 of 30 August 2019)
- 2. Ministry of the Environment, Department of Environmental Impact Assessment and Integrated Prevention (binding opinion on the verification of changes to the plan No. MZP/2021/710/2941 of 1 September 2021 and resolution on correction No. MZP/2021/710/4700 of 16 September 2021)
- 3. Ministry of the Environment, Department of Environmental Impact Assessment and Integrated Prevention (statement No: MZP/2020/710/4011 of 14 October 2020)
- 4. Regional Authority of Vysočina Region, Department of Environment and Agriculture (statement No. KUJI 8502/2020 ref. OŽPZ 219/2020 Mi-2 of 24 January 2020)
- 5. Vysočina Regional Authority, Department of Environment and Agriculture (Communication No: KUJI 71684/2020 OZPZ 2268/2020 of 18 November 2020)
- 6. Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region, Department of Environment and Agriculture (Resolution No.: KUJI 81069/2020 OZPZ 153/2020 MI dated 24 August 2020)
- 7. Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region, Department of Environment and Agriculture (binding opinion No.: KUJI 88447/2020 OŽPZ 1931/2020 PP-2 of 21 September 2020)
- 8. Vysočina Regional Authority, Department of Environment and Agriculture (Communication No: KUJI 71675/2020 OZPZ 1531/2020 of 5 August 2020)
- 9. Ministry of the Environment, Department of State Administration VII (consent No.: MZP/2021/560/371 of 22 March 2021)
- 10. Ministry of the Environment, Department of State Administration VII (consent No.: MZP/2021/560/163 of 27 April 2021)
- 11. Vysočina Regional Authority, Department of Transport and Road Management (statement No: KUJI 16887/2021 ODSH of 23 February 2021)
- 12. Třebíč Municipal Authority, Environmental Department (statement No.: OŽP 59072/20 - SPIS OŽP/9500/2020/Or dated 19.8.2020)
- 13. Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic (reply to the question No MZDR 55072/2019-2/OVZ of 20 December 2019)
- 14. Regional Hygienic Station of the Vysočina Region with its seat in Jihlava (binding opinion No.: KHSV/16933/2020/JI/HOK/Sme dated 10 August 2020)
- 15. Třebíč City Council, Department of Education and Culture (statement No: OŠK 54385/20 - SPIS 9824/2020/OI dated 26.8.2020)
- 16. Vysočina Regional Authority, Department of Transport and Road Management (binding opinion No.: KUJI 71679/2020 Ma/V/110 ODSH 26/2020 of 11 August 2020)
- 17. Třebíč Municipality, Department of Transport and Municipal Services (statement No: ODKS

- 54388/20 - SPIS 53/2020/PJ dated 14.8.2020)
18. Třebíč City Council, Department of Transport and Municipal Services (binding opinion No: ODKS 3103/21 - SPIS 207/2021/St dated 19 January 2021)
 19. Třebíč Municipal Authority, Department of Transport and Municipal Services (Decision No: ODKS 90073/20 - SPIS 14652/2020/PJ of 21.12.2020)
 20. Třebíč Municipal Authority, Department of Transport and Municipal Services (Decision No: ODKS 93037/20 - SPIS 14853/2020/PJ of 18 January 2021)
 21. Regional Police Directorate of the Vysočina Region, Territorial Department Třebíč, Traffic Inspectorate (opinion for planning and construction proceedings, binding opinion with attachment, opinion with placement of permanent road markings No.: KRPJ-92075-5/ČJ-2020-161006-ROU of 6 November 2020)
 22. Ministry of Industry and Trade of the Czech Republic (binding opinion No.: MPO 523907/2020 of 20 August 2020)
 23. State Office for Nuclear Safety (binding opinion No: SÚJB/OKHJB/24017/2020 of 12 January 2021)
 24. Ministry of Defence, Section of Administration and Management of Organizations, Department of Protection of Territorial Interests and State Professional Supervision (binding opinion No.: 104177/2020-1150-OÚZ-BR of 3 September 2020, which was replaced by binding opinion No.: 128163/2022-7460-OÚZ-BR of 29 March 2022)
 25. Ministry of the Interior of the Czech Republic, Department of Property Management (Opinion No.: MV-115433-8/OSM-2020 dated 20 August 2020, which was replaced by Opinion No.: MV-115433-29/OSM-2020 dated 15 March 2021, and subsequently supplemented by Opinion No. MV-115433-31/OSM-2020 of 19 March 2021. These opinions were updated by opinion No. MV-115433-41/OSM-2020 of 14 February 2022 and subsequently by opinion No. MV-115433-54/OSM-2020 of 5 December 2022)
 26. Ministry of the Interior, General Directorate of the Fire Rescue Service of the Czech Republic (letter No MV-50494-3/PO-PRE-2020 dated 24 March 2020)
 27. Fire Brigade of the Vysočina Region, Regional Directorate (coordinated binding opinion No.: HSJI- 3949-4/P-2020 of 8 October 2020)
 28. Třebíč City Council, Department of Development and Spatial Planning (binding opinion No: ORÚP 83188/20 - SPIS 1488/2021/HaD dated 25 February 2021, supplemented by communication No: ORÚP 75361/22 - SPIS 1488/2021/HaD dated 19 October 2022, and the validity of the binding opinion was confirmed by communication No: ORÚP 2776/23 - SPIS 1488/2021/HaD dated 26 January 2023)
 29. Ministry of Industry and Trade of the Czech Republic (Communication No: MIT 720009/2020/41600 of 17 December 2020)
 30. Vysočina Regional Authority, Department of Transport and Road Management (statement No.: KUJI 119476/2020 ODSH dated 21.12.2020)
 31. Ministry of Health, Czech Inspectorate of Spas and Health Resorts (Communication No.: MZDR 37807/2020-2/OZP-ČIL-Sk dated 15 September 2020)
 32. State Veterinary Administration, Regional Veterinary Administration of the State Veterinary Administration for the Vysočina Region (Communication No. SVS/2020/109368-J of 25 September 2020)
 33. Vysočina Regional Authority, Vysočina Region Council (decision and authorisation of the exemption from the building closure No.: KUJI 75431/2020 of 11 August 2020)
 34. Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region, Council of the Vysočina Region (Resolution No: KUJI 80877/2020 of 24 August 2020)
 35. Municipal Authority Rouchovany (binding opinion of 29 March 2021, which was cancelled by the

resolution of the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region No. j. KUJI/16460/2023, file No. OŽPZ/298/2023 of 7 February 2021) KUJI/19720/2023, file no. OŽPZ 298/2023 of 15 February 2023, replaced by the binding opinion of the Municipal Authority of Dukovany no. OUDUK-220/2023/02-ŽP of 12 June 2023)

- opinions, statements and communications of administrators and owners of technical and transport infrastructure and other selected entities:
- 36. Regional Administration and Maintenance of Roads of Vysočina Region, Contributory Organization, Třebíč Workplace (opinion No: TSÚ/No/011709/2020 dated 5.10.2020, whose validity was extended by opinion No: KSAÚSVPO/015441/2022 dated 5.9.2022)
- 37. Regional Administration and Maintenance of Roads of the Vysočina Region, contributory organization (opinion No: TSÚ/No/011984/2020 dated 9 October 2020, whose validity was extended by the opinion No: KSAÚSVPO/015440/2022 dated 5 September 2022)
- 38. Povodí Moravy, s.p. (opinion No: PM-31636/2020/5203/Pav dated 24 August 2020, extended by opinion No: PM-35121/2022/5203/Pav dated 27 July 2022)
- 39. Institute of Archaeology of the CAS, Brno, v.v.i. (confirmation dated 2 November 2020)
- 40. Municipality Dukovany (consent to the documentation for the issuance of the decision on the location of the construction No. OUDUK-270/2020 of 31 July 2020)
- 41. Municipality Rouchovany (consent to documentation for the issuance of a decision on the location of the building dated 19 October 2020)
- 42. ČD - Telematika a.s. (statement No. 1202019293 dated 29.10.2020, which was replaced by statement No. 1202203430 dated 14.2.2022)
- 43. CETIN a.s. (statement No 805066/20 of 29 October 2020, supplemented by a clarifying statement from CETIN a.s. (statement No 806149/20 of 24 November 2020), which was replaced by statement No 760851/22 of 27 September 2022)
- 44. České Radiokomunikace a.s. (statement No. UPTS/OS/266336/2021 dated 4 February 2021, which was replaced by statement No. UPTS/OS/295185/2022 dated 21 January 2022 and subsequently replaced by statement No. UPTS/OS/320593/2022 dated 5 December 2022)
- 45. GasNet, s.r.o. (formerly GridServices, s.r.o.) (Opinion No. 5002250483 of 29.10.2020, replaced by Opinion No. 5002555953 of 15.2.2022)
- 46. GasNet, s.r.o. (formerly GridServices, s.r.o.) (Opinion No. 5002250514 of 29.10.2020, replaced by Opinion No. 5002555953 of 15.2.2022)
- 47. itself s.r.o. (statement No. 20/005710 dated 23.11.2020, which was replaced by statement No. VYJNEJ-2022-01039-01 dated 28.1.2022, which was subsequently replaced by statement No. VYJNEJ-2023-00033-01 dated 10.1.2023, issued by Nej.cz s.r.o. due to the merger of itself s.r.o. with Nej.cz s.r.o.)
- 48. Nej.cz s.r.o. (statement No. VYJNEJ-2021-00505-01 dated 4 February 2021, which was replaced by statement No. VYJNEJ-2022-01039-01 dated 28 January 2022, and subsequently replaced by statement No. VYJNEJ-2023-00033-01 dated 10 January 2023)
- 49. Quantum, a.s. (Opinion No 315/JF/2020 of 29 July 2020)
- 50. Telia Carrier Czech Republic a.s. (statement ref. 1312100701 of 16 March 2021, which was replaced by statement ref. 1312200345 of 8 February 2022, which was subsequently replaced by statement ref. 1312202554 of 8 December 2022)
- 51. T-Mobile Czech Republic a.s. (Statement No. E05329/21 of 1 February 2021, which was replaced by Statement No. E03903/22 of 29 January 2022, and subsequently replaced by Statement No. E00031/23 of 11 January 2023)
- 52. T-Mobile Czech Republic a.s. (Statement No. E05331/21 of 1 February 2021, which was replaced by Statement No. E03904/22 of 29 January 2022, and subsequently replaced by Statement No. E00034/23 of 11 January 2023)

53. Dukovanská teplárenská s.r.o. (statement no. 210129-007 of 29 January 2021, which was replaced by statement no. 210129-007-2 of 20 January 2022, which was subsequently replaced by statement no. 210129-007-3 of 13 December 2022)
54. Vodafone Czech Republic a.s. (statement no. 210201-1108253075 of 1 February 2021, which was replaced by statement no. 220112-1454377663 of 12 January 2022, which was subsequently replaced by statement no. 221202-1113494851 of 2 December 2022)
55. NET4GAS, s.r.o., also issued on behalf of BRAWA, a.s . (statement No 10293/20/OVP/N dated 30.10.2020, which was replaced by statement No 1976/22/OVP/N dated 15.2.2022)
56. Enecos, s.r.o. (statement of 8.9.2020)
57. OPTILINE a.s. (statement ref. 1412100648 of 16.3.2021, which was replaced by statement ref. 1412200352 of 8.2.2022, and this was subsequently replaced by statement ref. 1412202560 of 8.12.2022)
58. SITEL, spol. s r.o. (statement ref. 1112101157 of 16.3.2021, which was replaced by statement ref. 1112200573 of 8.2.2022, which was subsequently replaced by statement ref. 1112204276 of 8.12.2022)
59. MERO ČR, a. s. (statement No. 2020/10/17953 of 30 October 2020, which was replaced by statement No. 4101 of 12 January 2023)
60. ČEPRO, a. s. (Communication No. 5017 of 1 February 2021, which was replaced by statement No. 4662/22 of 19 January 2022 and subsequently replaced by statement No. 14367/22 of 5 December 2022)
61. Coprosys - LEONET, s.r.o. (statement dated 26.8.2020 , which was replaced by a statement dated 29.3.2022)
62. ČEPS, a.s. (consent No. 197/BRN/796/20/07.08.2020/Za dated 11 August 2020, whose validity was extended by statement No. 01636/2022/PDV dated 15 February 2022)
63. ČEPS, a.s. (Communication No 351/BRN/20/1323/16.12.2020/Za of 17 December 2020)
64. ČEZ ICT Services, a.s. (statement dated 21 September 2020, which was replaced by a statement with an extension of its validity dated 23 March 2022)
65. ČEZ, a. s. (statement of 5 November 2020)
66. E.ON Distribuce, a.s. (now EG.D, a.s.) (statement No 20082020-2/hro of 20.8.2020)
67. Czech Hydrometeorological Institute (opinion no. CHMI/611/436/2020, no. CHMI/9818/2020 a, dated 30 September 2020 and statement No. CHMI/561/605/2021, ref. CHMI/7821/2021, dated 4.8.2021)
68. Ministry of Defence, Department for the Protection of Territorial Interests and State Professional Supervision (binding opinion No.: 104177/2020-1150-OÚZ-BR of 3 September 2020, which was replaced by binding opinion No.: 128163/2022-7460-OÚZ-BR of 29 March 2022)
69. Municipality of Dukovany (statement No. OUDUK-269/2020 of 31 July 2020)
70. Municipality of Rouchovany (comments of 19.10.2020)
71. Regional Police Directorate of the Vysočina Region Department of Property Management Department of Real Property Management (opinion No KRPJ-2350-453/ČJ-2020-1600MN dated 1 December 2020)
72. VODÁRENSKÁ AKCIOVÁ SPOLEČNOST, a. s., division Třebíč (statement No. TR/7383/2020-Ka dated 15.12.2020, which was replaced by statement No. TR/5564/2022-Ka dated 13.9.2022)
73. Ministry of the Interior of the Czech Republic, Department of Property Management (Opinion No.: MV-115433-8/OSM-2020 dated 20 August 2020, which was replaced by Opinion No.: MV-115433-29/OSM-2020 dated 15 March 2021, and subsequently supplemented by Opinion No. These opinions were updated by opinion No. MV-115433-41/OSM-2020 of 14 February 2022 and subsequently by opinion No. MV-115433-54/OSM-2020 of 5 December 2022).

The administrative file for the above-mentioned planning proceedings also includes other documents, including expert documents and studies, documents pursuant to Section 9b para. 5 of the EIA Act, objections and comments to the construction to be located, as well as procedural documents, calls, decisions and official records related in particular to the conduct of the proceedings and the transfer of the file within the framework of the transfer of competence for the conduct of these proceedings from the Municipal Office of Třebíč to the Ministry of Industry and Trade, objections of bias of officials of the Municipal Office of Třebíč, respectively. the Regional Office of the Vysočina Region involved in the conduct of this procedure or the cancellation of the binding opinion issued by the Rouchovany Municipal Office and the subsequent authorisation to issue it by the Dukovany Municipal Office.

Notice of the initiation of the proceedings and its further conduct:

The Třebíč Municipal Office, Department of Construction, as the construction authority which was competent to conduct the above-mentioned proceedings at the time, notified the affected authorities, participants in the proceedings and the public of the commencement of the subsequent planning proceedings by means of letter No. OV 46969/21 - SPIS 7232/2021/Pec dated 29 July 2021 in accordance with Section 87(1) of the Building Act.

In accordance with Section 9b(3) of the EIA Act, a follow-up procedure is always considered a procedure with a large number of parties within the meaning of Section 144 of the Administrative Procedure Code. When serving the notice, the Třebíč Municipal Authority, Department of Construction, proceeded in accordance with Section 2(5) of the Linear Act by serving the notice of initiation of the proceedings by public notice and individually only to the parties to the proceedings pursuant to Section 85(2)(a) of the Act. (a) of the Building Act, the applicant, the municipalities on whose territory the project is to be carried out and the authorities concerned, while at the same time informing the parties pursuant to Section 85(2)(a) of the Building Act that other documents would be served on them by public notice, in accordance with Section 2(5) of the Linear Act. The other documents were therefore subsequently served individually only on the applicant, the municipalities in whose territory the project is to be carried out and the authorities concerned, in accordance with Section 2(5) of the Lines Act. The other parties to the proceedings were served by public notice.

For the subjects served individually, the rules for service set out in Sections 19 to 24 of the Administrative Procedure Code applied. Service by public notice was carried out in accordance with Section 25(2) of the Administrative Procedure Code by posting the documents on the official board of the administrative authority which served the document (here on the official board of the relevant construction authority, which was initially the Třebíč Municipal Office, Department of Construction, and subsequently, as a result of a change in legislation, the Ministry of Industry and Trade - see below); the posted documents were always marked with the date of posting and the date of removal. The documents were also published in a manner allowing remote access on the Internet at the address www.trebic.cz, at the time when the proceedings were conducted by the Třebíč Municipal Office, Department of Construction, and subsequently, after the transfer of competence for conducting the proceedings to the Ministry of Industry and Trade, on the Internet at www.mpo.cz. Documents served by public notice were deemed to have been served, in accordance with Section 25(2) of the Administrative Procedure Code, on the fifteenth day after they were posted on the official notice board of the relevant construction authority conducting the subsequent proceedings.

Furthermore, the applicant's application, other related information and the notice of the initiation of the related planning proceedings were published in accordance with Section 9b(1) of the EIA Act by public notice on the official board of the Třebíč Municipal Office, Karlovo nám. 104/55, 674 01 Třebíč, on 30 July 2021 and removed from the official board on 30 August 2021. At the same time, the public notice in question was published on the official boards of the municipalities whose territory is affected by the applicant's project, namely on the official board of the Dukovany Municipal Office, Dukovany No 99, 675 56 Dukovany, between 2 August 2021 and 2 September 2021, and on the official board of the Rouchovany Municipal Office, Rouchovany No 35, 675 57 Rouchovany, between 2 August 2021 and 2 September 2021. The public notice in question was also published on the official notice board of the Slavětice Municipal Office, Slavětice No 58, 675 55 Hrotovice, between 3 August 2021 and 4 September 2021. The same content of the notice was also published by the Třebíč Municipal Office in a manner allowing remote access on the Internet at www.trebic.cz.

The parties to the proceedings pursuant to Section 85(2)(b) of the Building Act were identified in the notice

of commencement of the proceedings and in other acts in the proceedings served by public notice in accordance with Section 87(3) of the Building Act by the identification of the land and buildings registered in the Land Registry directly affected by the impact of the construction to be located.

The published information also included a warning that the project is subject to assessment under the EIA Act, or a project subject to environmental impact assessment beyond the borders of the Czech Republic, together with information on where to view the documentation for the issuance of the zoning decision, as well as information on the rules and method of delivery to individual subjects resulting in particular from Section 2(5) of the Linear Act.

The following information was published:

- the subject matter and nature of the decision to be taken in the subsequent proceedings,
- that documents produced during the environmental impact assessment of the project can be consulted at https://portal.cenia.cz/eiasea/detail/EIA_MZP469,
- about the conditions for public participation in the proceedings pursuant to Section 9c(1) of the EIA Act and the Building Act, including information about the possibility of submitting comments on the plan no later than the deadline, with a warning that comments submitted later will not be taken into account, information about the possibility of consulting the decision documents, and information about the authorities concerned,
- about the possibility for the public concerned to participate in the follow-up procedure if they apply to the Třebíč Municipal Authority by submitting a written notification within 30 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the procedure, in compliance with the requirements of Section 3(i)(2) of the EIA Act, and including information that the local authority concerned may also apply within the same time limit,
- the possibility for the public concerned to appeal against a decision issued in a subsequent procedure, even if it was not a party to the proceedings at first instance, pursuant to Section 3(i)(2) of the EIA Act.

The public had the opportunity to submit their comments on the applicant's plan in the subsequent planning proceedings within the time limit set by law under Section 9c(1) of the EIA Act, i.e. within 30 days of the publication of the information on the official notice board (i.e. by 30 August 2021). The Building Authority warned in the notice of initiation of the proceedings that public comments submitted later would not be taken into account. The construction authority pointed out that if the affected local authority or the public concerned referred to in Section 3(i)(2) of the EIA Act applies to the administrative body conducting the subsequent proceedings by submitting a written notification pursuant to Section 9c(3) of the EIA Act within 30 days of the date of publication of the information in the manner referred to in Section 9b(1) of the EIA Act (i.e. by 30 August 2021), the construction authority will not be able to provide the information to the administrative body conducting the subsequent proceedings. EIA Act, also becomes a participant in the subsequent zoning proceedings, who may, due to this change in his/her procedural status, submit his/her objections in the subsequent zoning proceedings within the time limit set by the competent building authority on the basis of Section 89(1) of the Building Act, within 30 days from the date on which he/she became a participant in these proceedings.

The following affected public intervened in the planning procedure:

- a branch of the Children of the Earth - Club for Sustainable Transport,
- Calla - Association for the Preservation of the Environment, z.s.,
- OIŽP - Civic Initiative for Environmental Protection, z.s.,
- the association "WATER FROM TETČICE z.s.",
- Association of South Bohemian Mothers, z.s.

Since all the above-mentioned notifications were sent to the Třebíč Municipal Authority within the set deadline, these associations became participants in the planning proceedings pursuant to Section 9c(3)(b) of the EIA Act. Subsequently, some of the above-mentioned associations submitted their objections and comments on the construction to be sited, namely:

- Children of the Earth - Club for Sustainable Transport submitted objection No 1 dated 13.9.2021 and

objection No 2 dated 29.9.2021,

- the association "WATER FROM TETČICE z.s." filed an objection dated 28.9.2021,
- the Association of South Bohemian Mothers, z.s. filed an objection dated 10.9.2021.

The notice of the commencement of the planning procedure including the annex (application for the issue of a planning decision) was further sent to the states concerned by means of letters from the Ministry of the Environment of the Czech Republic No. MZP/2021/710/3123 dated 17 August 2021 and No. MZP/2021/710/4553 dated 2 September 2021.

The Ministry of the Environment's letter No. MZP/2022/710/429 dated 11 February 2022 shows that the states concerned published the notice of the initiation of the planning procedure, including the annexes, for 30 calendar days in the manner prescribed by the national regulations of each state, and thus informed about the ongoing planning procedure as follows:

- the Austrian public, NGOs and the authorities concerned were informed about the ongoing planning procedure in the official publication of the newspaper 'Wiener Zeitung' on 5 October 2021 and the documents provided were published and available on the website of the 'Umweltbundesamt',
- The Saxon public and other stakeholders were informed about the ongoing planning procedure on 15 October 2021 by brief information in German and by publishing the provided documents on the website of the Free State of Saxony,
- the Bavarian public and other stakeholders were informed about the ongoing planning procedure on 24 November 2021 by means of a brief information in German and a link to all the documents provided on the website of the Bavarian State Ministry for the Environment and Consumer Protection,
- the Slovak public and other subjects were informed about the ongoing zoning procedure on 24 August 2021 by brief information in the Slovak language and by publishing the provided documents in the Czech language on the web pages of the Information Portal of the Ministry of the Environment of the Slovak Republic; the Slovak authorities and self-governing regions concerned were informed about the ongoing zoning procedure by letter dated 27 August 2021,
- the Polish public and other subjects were informed about the ongoing planning procedure on 12 and 13 October 2021 respectively, by information taken from the Ministry of the Environment's letter No. MZP/2021/710/3123 in Polish and by publishing the provided documents on the official boards and websites of the Regional Environmental Protection Directorates in Wrocław, Katowice and Opole,
- the Hungarian public and other entities were informed about the ongoing planning procedure on 3 September 2021 by information taken from the Ministry of Environment's letter No. MZP/2021/710/3123 in Hungarian and by publishing the provided documents on the website of the Hungarian Ministry of Agriculture.

In order to ensure that the opportunity afforded to the public of the Party concerned is equivalent to that afforded to the public of the Party of origin (and thus fulfilling the requirement of Article 2(6) of the Espoo Convention), the 30-day public comment period and the period for the public concerned to intervene in the planning procedure and the period for the public concerned to object to the planning procedure commenced on the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the planning procedure, including the annexes, in the territory of the State concerned in a manner consistent with the relevant national legislation of the State concerned.

The following foreign entities have commented on the proposed construction:

- Ministry of the Environment of the Slovak Republic, Section of Climate Change and Air Protection, Department of Air Protection, via opinion of 27. 9. 2021,
- The Ministry of Health of the Slovak Republic through an opinion dated 28.9.2021,
- District Office Banská Bystrica, Department of Environmental Care, through the opinion of 11. 10. 2021,
- Banskobystrický samosprávny kraj, Oddelenie územného plánovania a životného prostredia,

prostřednictvím stanoviska ze dne 14. 9. 2021,

- Trnava Self-Governing Region, Department of Strategies and Projects by letter dated 22.9.2021,
- Prešovský samosprávny kraj, Department of Strategic Development, via the opinion of 27.9. 2021,
- The Office of Nuclear Supervision of the Slovak Republic by letter dated 28.9.2021.

Since the Třebíč Municipal Office, Department of Construction, was well aware from its previous official activities of the conditions in the area affected by the applicant's project, in which the zoning plans of the municipalities of Dukovany and Rouchovany, on whose territory the building is located, were issued, and the application also provided sufficient grounds for the assessment of the project, it refrained from ordering an oral hearing, or also from ordering an optional public hearing, in accordance with Section 87(1), second sentence, in conjunction with Section 87(2), first sentence, of the Building Act. The parties to the proceedings, the authorities concerned and the public were informed of this fact in the notice of initiation of the proceedings. The Třebíč Municipal Authority stipulated that the authorities concerned may submit their binding opinions and the parties to the proceedings may submit their objections in the subsequent planning proceedings within 30 days of receipt of the notice, within the time limit set pursuant to Section 89(1) of the Building Act. It pointed out that binding opinions and objections lodged later would not be taken into account, even if they were lodged within the time limit set for exercising the right of the parties to the proceedings under Section 36(3) of the Administrative Procedure Code to comment on the grounds for the decision.

The applicant also ensured that information about his intention and that he had submitted an application for a planning decision was posted on an information device for 30 days immediately after the commencement of the planning procedure was notified, in accordance with Section 87(2) of the Building Act. Specifically, in accordance with the requirement of the Třebíč Municipal Authority stated in the notice of initiation of the procedure, this information was posted in a set of display cases located in the construction area of the New Nuclear Power Plant in Dukovany (NPP EDU) on plot no. 182/18 - other area in the area of the land plot no. Lipňany u Skryjí. The information contained data on the applicant and the subject of the planning proceedings. The information included a graphic representation of the plan, which consisted of a situational drawing of the subject of the planning procedure and its links and effects on the surroundings, in particular the distances from neighbouring land and buildings on them.

The Třebíč Municipal Office, Department of Construction, and subsequently the Ministry of Industry and Trade, in accordance with Section 9b(4) of the EIA Act, ensured, in the period between the publication of the information and the issuance of the decision on the applicant's plan, access to the statements and binding opinions of the authorities concerned, which were issued for the purposes of the subsequent zoning proceedings, and other documents for the issuance of a decision in the matter, where these authorities considered it expedient, both through the possibility of consulting the file and also electronically through the Ministry of the Environment. As can be seen from the summary of the binding opinions of the authorities concerned referred to above, during the above-mentioned proceedings, the binding opinion on felling of trees issued by the Rouchovany Municipal Authority was simultaneously revoked by the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region on the grounds of possible doubts concerning the bias of that administrative authority. The Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region therefore subsequently entrusted the issue of the binding opinion in question to the Municipal Authority of Dukovany, which issued the relevant binding opinion on the felling of trees, with the binding conditions set out in that binding opinion being taken over in the conditions of this decision. The relevant documents were also made available in the manner described above in accordance with Section 9b(4) of the EIA Act.

During the entire procedure, the participants had the opportunity to physically inspect the complete administrative file kept in documentary form and containing all the documents, including the documentation and its annexes, statements and binding opinions of the authorities concerned, procedural documents, and all other documents for the issuance of the decision in the subsequent zoning proceedings, first at the premises of the Třebíč Municipal Office, Department of Construction, at Karlovo nám. 104/55, 674 01 Třebíč, and then, after the competence for the conduct and completion of the above-mentioned joint zoning proceedings was transferred to the Ministry of Industry and Trade, also at the premises of this Ministry at Na Františku 32, 110 15 Prague 1 (see below).

In the course of the proceedings, there were partial changes in the Land Registry consisting in the division

or consolidation of some plots of land and related minor modifications to their numerical designation or the manner of their use, or changes in the ownership rights to the land affected by the construction. The Building Authority has checked the current numbering (registered in the Land Registry) of the land located in the area of the building and has already taken these changes into account in its decision. This is a formal modification which has no effect on the actual location of the building and its individual parts in the terrain, which has remained unchanged compared to the form presented in the documentation submitted by the applicant for the zoning decision. Similarly, there is no change in the parties to the proceedings as a result of these modifications.

In the course of the proceedings, the building authority - the Municipal Office of Třebíč, Department of Construction, repeatedly requested the superior administrative authority - the Regional Office of the Vysočina Region, Department of Planning and Building Code, to extend the time limit for issuing a decision, as follows:

- By a measure dated 13 August 2021, the superior authority requested an extension of the deadline for the issuance of the decision until 1 December 2021, which the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region, Department of Spatial Planning and Building Regulations, by a resolution dated 27 August 2021, granted and decided to extend the deadline until 1 December 2021. This was mainly due to the scope and complexity of the subject of the zoning proceedings, as a result of which the phase from the submission of the application for a zoning decision to the notification of the commencement of the zoning proceedings lasted 60 days, and only then did the process of notifying the commencement of the zoning proceedings to the authorities concerned, the parties to the proceedings and the states concerned abroad and the related running of the time limits for commenting on the construction begin.
- By a measure dated 22 November 2021, the superior authority requested an extension of the deadline for the issuance of the decision until 1 June 2022, which the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region, Department of Spatial Planning and Building Regulations, by a resolution dated 29 November 2021, granted and decided to extend the deadline until 1 June 2022. The reasons for this were, in particular, the submission of an objection of systemic bias by a party to the proceedings, which is to be decided by superior administrative authorities, and the ongoing process of notifying the initiation of the planning proceedings to the states concerned abroad and the running of the time limits for commenting on the construction.
- By a measure dated 9 May 2022, the superior authority requested an extension of the deadline for the issuance of the decision until 1 December 2022, which the Regional Office of the Vysočina Region, by a resolution dated 27 May 2022, granted and decided to extend the deadline until 1 December 2022. The reason for this was, in particular, the ongoing process of deciding on the objection of systemic bias filed by the party to the proceedings by the superior administrative authorities.
- By a measure dated 14 November 2022, the superior authority requested an extension of the deadline for the issuance of the decision until 1 March 2023, which the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region, Department of Spatial Planning and Building Regulations, by a resolution dated 16 November 2022, granted and decided to extend the deadline until 1 March 2023. The reason for this was in particular the issue of Update No. 4 of the Czech Republic's Spatial Development Policy requiring a proper assessment of the construction with this spatial planning instrument with national scope binding for the acquisition and issuance of spatial planning documentation and for decision-making in the territory.
- By a measure dated 13 February 2023, the superior authority requested an extension of the deadline for the issuance of the decision until 1 June 2023, which the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region, Department of Spatial Planning and Building Regulations, by a resolution dated 27 February 2023, granted and decided to extend the deadline until 1 June 2023. This was mainly due to a request from the Třebíč Municipal Authority, Department of Construction, to review the binding opinion on felling of trees issued by the Rouchovany Municipal Authority, since the binding opinion was issued by a person about whom there may be reasonable doubts as to his/her lack of bias, and, in the event of its revocation, also a request to authorise another municipal authority to issue a new binding opinion.

- By a measure dated 16 May 2023, the superior authority requested an extension of the deadline for the issuance of the decision until 1 November 2023, which the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region, Department of Spatial Planning and Building Regulations, by a resolution dated 22 May 2023, granted and decided to extend the deadline until 1 November 2023. The reason for this was in particular the issuance of a new binding opinion on felling of trees by the Municipal Authority of Dukovany following the cancellation of the binding opinion on felling of trees issued by the Municipal Authority of Rouchovany, which must be communicated to the authorities concerned, the parties to the proceedings and the public.

The Třebíč Municipal Office, Department of Construction, by means of letters No. OV 53085/22 - SPIS 7232/2021/Pec dated 30 June 2022 and No. OV 86934/22 - SPIS 7232/2021/Pec dated 3 November 2022, repeatedly invited the parties to the proceedings to acquaint themselves with the documents for the decision and to comment on them in accordance with Section 36(3) of the Administrative Procedure Code. In response to these invitations, the South Bohemian Mothers' Association, z.s. submitted its comments of 26 November 2022, in which it followed up its objections of 10 September 2021 (see above).

In the course of the proceedings, the documents on file for the decision were updated, in particular the binding opinions of the authorities concerned and the statements of other entities whose validity was limited (see the list of binding opinions, statements and opinions submitted for the construction described in the reasons for this decision above). In addition, a new binding opinion on the felling of trees issued by the Municipal Authority of Dukovany and the preceding procedural decision and an updated list of land (see above) have been placed on file.

After all documents were finally collected, the Třebíč Municipal Office, Department of Construction, by letter dated 14 June 2023 announced that it had collected all documents for the decision in the case, i.e. that it had completed the procurement of the decision documents, informed about the transfer of the competence to conduct and complete the above-mentioned proceedings to the Ministry of Industry and Trade with effect from 1 June 2023. 7.2023 and invited the parties to the above-mentioned proceedings to exercise their statutory right to examine and comment on all the decision documents collected within the 45-day period set for that purpose. In the invitation, the building authority pointed out that a decision on the matter would be issued after the expiry of that period.

Since the subject letter of 14 June 2023 contained certain inaccuracies caused by typing errors, which may have caused confusion as to the (in)consistency between the individual statements of the subject letter, its reasoning and the instruction, the Třebíč Municipal Office, Department of Construction, issued a new invitation to acquaint oneself with the documents for the issuance of the decision on 21 June 2023, including a new deadline of 45 days for comments on the documents, which started to run from the date of its notification.

The deadline of 45 days was also set in view of the fact that Act No.152/2023 Coll. amended the Building Act so that with effect from 1 July 2023 the competence to conduct and complete the prescribed proceedings was transferred to the Ministry of Industry and Trade as the newly competent building authority for conducting the prescribed proceedings pursuant to Section 16, Paragraph 1 of the Act. The parties to the proceedings and the authorities concerned were thus able to consult the file until 30 June 2023 at the Třebíč Municipal Office. Subsequently, the file was handed over to the Ministry of Industry and Trade, where the parties and the authorities concerned were able to consult it from 17 July 2023. The 45-day period was set so that all parties could properly exercise their right to acquaint themselves with the decision documents and comment on them.

Within the time limit for submitting comments on the decision, the Ministry of Industry and Trade received the following comments:

- Comments of the Association of South Bohemian Mothers, z.s. on the collected documents of 20 July 2023, in which the association followed up its objections of 10 September 2021 and comments of 26 November 2022 (see above);
- The comments of the OIŽP - Civic Initiative for Environmental Protection, z.s. submitted to the Třebíč Municipal Authority, Department of Construction, on 3 August 2023, which were subsequently forwarded by this authority to the Ministry of Industry and Trade on 8 August 2023 with comments relating in particular to the future operation of the NJZ EDU.

The Ministry of Industry and Trade, after receiving the administrative file for the above-mentioned proceedings, familiarised itself with its contents and verified the completeness of the application and the documents supporting the decision, which it found to be sufficient without the need for further supplementation. In view of its previous official activity as a special construction authority pursuant to Section 16(2)(d) of the Building Act, the Ministry of Industry and Trade is well aware of the conditions in the area affected by the applicant's project. The Dukovany and Rouchovany municipalities have issued zoning plans for this area at the same time. Therefore, the Ministry of Industry and Trade found no reason to order an oral hearing or an optional public hearing.

Definition of the parties to the proceedings:

The scope of participants in the subsequent planning proceedings was determined by the Building Authority pursuant to Section 85 of the Building Act in relation to Section 9c(3) of the EIA Act, and pursuant to Section 2(2) of the EIA Act. 5 of the Linear Act, taking into account the type, scope and purpose of the construction in question, including the possible method of its implementation, the impact on the interests protected by the Construction Act and its implementing regulations, and concluded that the decision may directly affect the ownership or other rights in rem of the land and buildings on it and the ownership or other rights in rem of neighbouring buildings or neighbouring land or buildings on it of the following persons, whom it granted the status of a party to the proceedings:

Participants in the planning proceedings under Section 85(1)(a) of the Building Act (applicant):

Elektrárna Dukovany II, a. s., ID: 04669207 , Duhová č. p. 1444/2, Michle, 140 00 Praha 4
(- applicant and owner of the land: parc. no. 109/1, 109/24, 109/6, 109/26, 109/22, 109/7, 109/8, 109/9, 109/10, 108/2, 108/3, 124/38, 124/36, 124/43, 143/6, 143/7, 143/11, 143/8, 143/10, 107 in the area of. Skryje nad Jihlavou, LV 219;
- easement to land: parc. no. 317/2 in the area of. Heřmanice u Rouchovan, LV 10 001)

Participants in the planning proceedings pursuant to Section 85(1)(b) of the Building Act (municipalities on whose territory the requested project is to be carried out):

Municipality of Dukovany, ID: 00289329 , Dukovany No. 99, 675 56 Dukovany
Municipality Rouchovany, ID: 00290378 , Rouchovany č. p. 35, 675 57 Rouchovany

as well as the following subjects, with whom the Třebíč Municipal Authority has been acting as a party to the proceedings within the meaning of Section 85(1)(b) of the Building Act since the beginning of the proceedings:

Municipality Slavětice, ID: 00378615 , Slavětice No. 58, 675 55 Hrotovice

Participants in the zoning proceedings under Section 85(2)(a) of the Building Act (owners of the land or buildings on which the requested project is to be carried out or those who have other rights in rem to such land or buildings):

Czech Hydrometeorological Institute, ID No.: 00020699, Na Šabatce č. p. 2050/17, Komořany, 143 00 Praha 12 (ownership right for the Czech Republic, right to manage the land: parc. Skryje nad Jihlavou, LV 164)

ČEPS, a.s., ID No.: 25702556, Elektrárenská č. p. 774/2, Michle, 101 00 Praha 101 (easements to land parc. Heřmanice u Rouchovan, LV 10 001)

ČEZ, a. s., ID: 45274649, Duhová č. p. 1444/2, Michle, 140 00 Prague 4
(- owner of land parc. no. 251/16, 251/2, 109/12, 177, 200/5, 196, 197 in the district of. Heřmanice u Rouchovan, LV 144 ;
- easements to land: parc. no. 376/5 in the area of. Skryje nad Jihlavou, LV 162; parc. Skryje nad Jihlavou, LV 164; parc. Skryje nad Jihlavou, LV 219)

Vysočina Region, ID No.: 70890749, Žižkova no. p. 1882/57, 586 01 Jihlava 1 (owner of land: parc. no. 376/5 in the cad. No. 376/5 in Skryje nad Jihlava, LV 162; parc. Heřmanice u Rouchovan, LV 100)

Krajská správa a údržba silnic Vysočiny, příspěvková organizace, ID No.: 00090450, Hrotovická č. p. 1102, 674 82 Třebíč (ownership right for the Vysočina Region, right to manage the land: parc. no. 376/5 in the area of. Skryje nad Jihlavou, LV 162; parc. Heřmanice u Rouchovan, LV 100)

Municipality of Rouchovany, ID No.: 00290378, Rouchovany č. p. 35, 675 57 Rouchovany (owner of land parc. Heřmanice u Rouchovany, LV 10 001)

as well as the following subjects, with whom the Třebíč Municipal Authority has been acting as a party to the proceedings within the meaning of Section 85(2)(a) of the Building Act since the beginning of the proceedings:

Institute of Archaeology of the CAS, Brno, v. v. i., ID: 68081758, Čechyňská č. p. 363/19, Trnitá, 602 00 Brno 2

CETIN a.s., ID: 04084063, Českomoravská č. p. 2510/19, Libeň, 190 00 Prague 9

EG.D, a.s., ID: 28085400, Lidická č. p. 1873/36, Černá Pole, 602 00 Brno 2

Vysočina Regional Authority, Department of Property, ID: 70890749, Žižkova č. p. 1882/57, 587 33 Jihlava

Povodí Moravy, s.p., ID: 70890013, Dřevařská č. p. 932/11, Veveří, 602 00 Brno 2

VODÁRENSKÁ AKCIOVÁ SPOLEČNOST, a.s., ID: 49455842, Division Třebíč, Kubišova č. p. 1172, 674 11 Třebíč 1

Participants in the zoning proceedings pursuant to Section 85(2)(b) of the Building Act (persons whose ownership or other property right to neighbouring buildings or neighbouring land or buildings on them may be directly affected by the zoning decision) identified pursuant to Section 87(3) of the Building Act by identification of land and buildings registered in the Land Registry:

Vysočina region, Třebíč district:

Municipality of Dukovany:

Cadastral territory Skryje nad Jihlavou: parc. no. 124/32, 124/39, 124/44, 126, 130, 132, 133, 139, 143/5, 421

Cadastral territory Lipňany u Skryjí: parc. no. 110, 112/2, 142/61, 142/62, 142/63, 142/64, 142/65, 142/67, 181/1

Village Rouchovany:

Cadastral territory Heřmanice u Rouchovan: parc. no. 90/5, 90/11, 90/15, 90/20, 169, 170/1, 170/2, 187/2, 187/14, 189, 190, 191, 200/1, 200/6, 251/14, 260/12, 260/27, 272/1, 326, 328/1, 328/3, 335/2, 360, 363/2, 366/2, 382, 1526

Participants in the planning procedure pursuant to Section 9c(3) of the EIA Act (affected public who have registered in the procedure):

Children of the Earth - Club for Sustainable Transport, ID No.: 67010041, Cejl No. 866/50a, Zábrdovice, 602 00 Brno 2, registered in the proceedings by letter dated 29.8.2021 (delivered to the building authority on 29.8.2021)

"VODA Z TETČIC z.s.", ID No.: 22678956, Hybešova No. 178, 664 17 Tetčice, registered in the proceedings by letter dated 27 August 2021 (delivered to the building authority on 31 August 2021)

OIŽP - Civic Initiative for Environmental Protection, z.s., ID No.: 65983092, Kubatova č. p. 1240/6, České Budějovice 3, 370 04 České Budějovice 4, registered in the proceedings by letter dated 23.8.2021 (delivered to the building authority on 23.8.2021)

Jihočeské matky, z.s., ID No.: 45019703, Karla Buriana č. p. 1288/3, České Budějovice 6, 370 01 České Budějovice 1, registered in the proceedings by letter dated 16 August 2021 (delivered to the building authority on 16 August 2021).

Calla - Sdružení pro záchranu prostředí, z.s., ID No.: 62536761, Fráni Šrámka č. p. 1168/35, České Budějovice 3, 370 01 České Budějovice 1, registered in the proceedings by letter dated 24.8.2021 (delivered to the building authority on 24.8.2021)

Assessment of the application:

The Building Authority assessed the application for a zoning decision submitted by the applicant and verified that it contained the requirements set out in Section 86(1) of the Building Act in accordance with Decree No. 503/2006 Coll., on the detailed regulation of zoning decision-making, zoning measures and building regulations, as amended. The documentation for the issuance of the zoning decision was prepared in accordance with Decree No. 499/2006 Coll., on the documentation of buildings, as amended, and verified by authorised persons pursuant to Act No. 360/1992 Coll., on the practice of the profession of authorised architects and on the practice of the profession of authorised engineers and technicians active in construction, as amended (see above).

At the same time, this documentation corresponds to the version of the documentation submitted to the authorities concerned for the purpose of obtaining their binding opinions, statements or communications, with the proviso that, compared to this version, it also includes the settlement of the requirements received from the authorities concerned, respects the state of ownership relations to the land concerned and the land in the construction protection zone (current as of the date of issue 05/2021), clarifies the positions of utility networks, corrects minor formal errors or takes into account amendments to statutory regulations. Information on whether and in which parts of the documentation the conditions of the binding opinions of the authorities concerned are taken into account is contained in Part B. Summary Technical Report, Chapter B.2..1.e). The authorities concerned had access to the documentation after the planning procedure was initiated without making any further comments.

In accordance with Section 9(1)(e) of Decree No. 503/2006 Coll., on more detailed regulation of spatial decision-making, zoning measures and building regulations, as amended, the area affected by the construction is defined as the area that includes the land affected by the project and neighbouring land.

The Building Authority further examined the submitted application pursuant to Section 90 of the Building Act, in particular whether it complies with:

- The currently valid spatial planning documentation (with the Principles of Spatial Development of the Vysočina Region, with the Spatial Plan of the Municipality of Dukovany to the extent in which decisions can be made according to the Spatial Plan of the Municipality of Dukovany, and with the Spatial Plan of the Municipality of Rouchovany to the extent in which decisions can be made according to the Spatial Plan of the Municipality of Rouchovany), with the Spatial Development Policy of the Czech Republic, and with the objectives and tasks of spatial planning, especially with the character of the territory, with the requirements for the protection of architectural and urban values in the territory.

In this context, the building authority relied in particular on the binding opinion of the Třebíč Municipal Office, Department of Development and Spatial Planning, Department of the Office of Spatial Planning, as the competent planning authority, No. ORÚP 83188/20 - SPIS 1488/2021/HaD of 25 February 2021, the continuing validity of which was subsequently confirmed by this planning authority in its communication No. ORÚP 75361/22 - SPIS 1488/2021/HaD of 19 October 2022, which evaluates the compliance of the submitted construction with Update No. 4 of the Czech Republic's Spatial Development Policy and states that there has been no substantial change in the conditions under which the binding opinion in question was issued. The continued validity of the binding opinion of 25 February 2021 was subsequently confirmed by the communication of the Třebíč Municipal Office, Department of Development and Spatial Planning, Department of the Office of Spatial Planning, No.

ORÚP 2776/23 - SPIS 1488/2021/HaD of 26 January 2023.

This binding opinion shows that the planning authority has assessed the compliance of the project with the current version of the Spatial Development Policy of the Czech Republic, the Principles of Spatial Development of the Vysočina Region, and the Zoning Plan of the Municipality of Dukovany to the extent of, in which decisions can be made according to the Zoning Plan of the Municipality of Dukovany, the Zoning Plan of the Municipality of Rouchovany in which decisions can be made according to the Zoning Plan of the Municipality of Rouchovany, the spatial planning documents and the objectives and tasks of spatial planning, and concluded that the project is permissible. In this context, he stated that the project is in accordance with all the above-mentioned documents, is directly related to the expansion of the Dukovany Nuclear Power Plant and by its nature falls within the type of use that is determined as permissible for the individual areas and corridors on which it is to be located. These areas and corridors, including the public utility structures concerned, were specifically identified and described in the binding opinion. At the same time, the spatial planning authority explained that the spatial plans of the municipalities of Dukovany and Rouchovany do not take into account the update No. 4 of the Principles of Spatial Development, which was adopted after they were issued, and therefore, according to Section 54(5) of the Building Act, they do not apply to the construction under consideration to the extent in question.

- Requirements for public transport or technical infrastructure on the possibility and method of connection or on the conditions of the affected protection and safety zones, including zoning measures and sanitary protection zones.

In this context, the building authority proceeded mainly from the fact that the construction itself deals with the modification of existing roads or connection to these roads, namely to the road of II. and III. class (II/152 and III/15249), while the requirements for other connection do not arise from the documentation for the issuance of the zoning decision. There is no restriction on the access of firefighting equipment or the execution of its intervention. The connection to the roads meets the requirements for the safe use of the buildings and safe and smooth traffic on the adjacent roads (which is confirmed by the binding opinion on the connection, the opinion for the planning and construction proceedings and the opinion on the placement of permanent traffic signs issued by the Regional Police Directorate of the Vysočina Region, Territorial Department Třebíč, Traffic Inspectorate, no.j. KR PJ-92075-5/ČJ-2020-161006-ROU dated 6 November 2020 and the decision of the Třebíč Municipal Authority, Department of Transport and Municipal Services No. ODKS 90073/20 - SPIS 14652/2020/PJ dated 21 December 2020). The drainage design of the road construction remains as it is - both roads II/152 and III/15249 are drained into ditches, which, due to the absence of recipient ditches, function mainly as soakage/evaporation ditches.

- The requirements of the Building Act and its implementing legislation, in particular the general requirements for land use and technical requirements for buildings.

In this context, the construction authority verified in particular that the location of the construction complies with Decree No. 501/2006 Coll., on general requirements for the use of the territory, as amended (including Section 9 *Transport infrastructure areas*, since the subject defined transport areas are necessary to ensure transport accessibility/serviceability of the New Nuclear Source in Dukovany). Furthermore, the construction is in compliance with Decree No. 268/2009 Coll., on technical requirements for buildings, as amended (including § 6 paragraph 4, when the building has been provided with the drainage of rainwater from its surface), or Regulation (EU) No. 305/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 March 2011 laying down harmonised conditions for the marketing of construction products.

The Building Authority verified the fulfilment of the requirements of the above-mentioned ordinances and regulations (to the extent that these requirements are relevant for the subject construction with regard to its nature and parameters) based mainly on the documentation submitted by the applicant for the issuance of the planning decision prepared in accordance with Ordinance No. 499/2006 Coll. (specifically from the relevant chapters of Part B. Summary Technical Report). The building authority also verified compliance with the requirements of the above-mentioned ordinances and regulations on the basis of the binding opinions issued by the authorities concerned protecting the individual interests mentioned above (including the binding opinion of the EIA), which are in all cases in agreement (see below).

Due to the fact that the building does not contain any walking surface requiring special treatment according to § 4 paragraph 1 of Decree No. 398/2009 Coll., on general technical requirements ensuring barrier-free use of buildings, as amended, the provisions of this decree are not applied.

From the documentation submitted for the issuance of the zoning decision, it is evident that the characteristics of the building land, in particular its size, location, layout and spatial arrangement and foundation conditions, allow the location, implementation and use of the building for the proposed purpose. The Building Authority has further verified that the building complies with the urban, architectural, environmental, sanitary, surface and groundwater protection, state conservation, fire protection, safety, civil protection and environmental quality requirements and will also allow for the maintenance of the buildings. The building is located outside the built-up areas of the municipalities.

- The requirements of special legal regulations and binding opinions and decisions of the authorities concerned under special legal regulations or the Building Act, as well as the requirements for the protection of the rights and legally protected interests of the parties to the proceedings, including the interest in protecting the environment and human health, ensuring the safety of persons and property, protection of ownership and other rights to property affected by the construction, etc.

The construction was assessed by the building authority and the authorities concerned, which issued consenting opinions, in particular in terms of economy, fire safety, protection of the health of persons and animals, healthy living conditions and the environment, protection against noise, fire safety and safety in use.

In this context, the building authority verified that all binding opinions of the concerned authorities defending the interests protected under special regulations, which were required for the project under consideration, were documented, including the consent binding opinion of the EIA and the so called. This included the consenting binding opinion (coherence stamp) within the meaning of Section 9a(6) of the EIA Act, which was issued by the Ministry of the Environment on 1 September 2021 under reference MZP/2021/710/2941 and subsequently corrected obvious inaccuracies - typing errors - by means of Resolution No. MZP/2021/710/4700 of 16 September 2021.

On 14 October 2020, the Ministry of the Environment, Department of Environmental Impact Assessment and Integrated Prevention, issued a statement under No.MZP/2020/710/4011 on the basis of Section 23(3)(a) of the EIA Act, according to which the part of the construction to be placed on the road III. III/15249, which was not assessed in the binding EIA opinion, is not in itself a significant change to the existing project "New nuclear source at the Dukovany site" and is therefore not subject to an environmental impact assessment under the EIA Act.

The Building Authority has further verified that none of the binding opinions submitted are in conflict with each other. The conditions set out in the binding opinions of the authorities concerned have been incorporated into the conditions contained in the operative part of this decision and the Building Authority has also taken into account the comments and requirements contained in other comments, communications and opinions of the authorities concerned and other bodies (see below for details).

In order to ensure the protection of ownership and other rights to the property affected by the construction and to minimize the negative effects of the construction on the surroundings, the building authority also adopted into the conditions those requirements of the technical and transport infrastructure managers and other entities that it considered justified and reasonable (which is described in detail in the justification of the individual conditions below).

On this basis, the building authority found that the proposed construction is in compliance with all the requirements and aspects assessed above (including requirements arising from the spatial planning documentation, binding opinions and other requirements under Section 90 of the Building Act) and is permissible also from the point of view of protecting the interests of the owners of the affected properties, the interest in environmental protection and other interests arising from special legal regulations protected by the authorities and other entities concerned.

The Building Authority summarises that in its decision-making, as regards the decision documents, it based its decision on the documentation for the planning decision (including annexes), binding opinions of the authorities concerned, opinions, statements and consents of the authorities concerned, owners and administrators of public infrastructure and other subjects and in accordance with Section 9b para. 5 of the EIA Act, as well as from the EIA documentation (including annexes), notifications, public comments and statements of the states concerned (which are recapitulated in the EIA report and the binding opinion of the

EIA) and the results of the public hearing held on the New Nuclear Power Plant at the Dukovany site as part of the EIA process, as well as from all other documents for the issuance of the decision included in the administrative file.

On the basis of the above, the building authority found that these documents are up-to-date and form a sufficient and complete basis for the issuance of a decision in the matter and, after their evaluation, set the conditions of this decision (including the conditions for the preparation of project documentation for the construction procedure) and permitted the location of the subject construction, including the related felling of trees to the extent required, while the reasons for setting the individual conditions are explained in more detail below.

The ownership of the land and the buildings on it and the existence of rights in rem to the land and the buildings were verified by the building authority (Ministry of Industry and Trade) by remote access to the land register kept by the Cadastral Office for Vysočina before the issuance of this decision. Pursuant to Section 184a(3) of the Building Act, the application for a zoning decision was not accompanied by the landowners' consent, since, in accordance with the above-mentioned provision of the Building Act, the landowners' consent is not required if the purpose of expropriation is established by law in order to obtain the necessary rights to the land or building for the requested construction project or measure. The possibility of expropriation of the necessary rights in the case of the construction under consideration is explicitly provided for in Section 3(2) of the Energy Act, as it is a construction related to the construction of an electricity generation plant with a total installed electrical capacity of 100 MW_e and more with the possibility of providing support services to ensure the operation of the electricity system (the purpose of the construction under consideration is to ensure the transport connection of the NPP EDU project, including the transport connection of dedicated roads to serve the NPP EDU site).

The reasons for the decision and the reasons for the terms of the decision:

In relation to the specific conditions set out in the operative part of this decision on the location of the building, the building authority states the following:

Most of the conditions of the decision were set on the basis of the requirements contained in the binding opinions, opinions and statements of the authorities concerned, the parties to the procedure and other selected entities (in particular technical and transport infrastructure managers), which are justified in detail below. In addition to these conditions, the construction authority considered it appropriate and expedient to impose conditions consisting, on the one hand, in the location of the construction in accordance with the documentation submitted by the applicant for the zoning decision, in which the location of the construction is drawn in detail, and, on the other hand, the condition of mutual coordination of all the constructions forming the New Nuclear Source at Dukovany project.

With regard to the individual conditions of the binding EIA opinion, the construction authority first of all verified that the conditions of the binding EIA opinion, which already apply to the phase of preparation of the documentation itself, were respected in the documentation submitted by the applicant for the issuance of the planning decision. This applies in particular to conditions Nos. 1-3 of the EIA binding opinion, which the construction authority found to be irrelevant in relation to the construction to be sited, as they relate to other constructions forming the NJZ EDU, the siting of which is the subject of a joint zoning procedure under MPO 76834/2023.

For those conditions of the EIA binding opinion which refer to the next stages of project preparation, without clearly specifying which stage, the construction authority concluded that:

- The fulfilment of some of them will only be possible to verify in a qualified manner at later stages of the project preparation, especially after the selection of a specific contractor and the specification of the technology and the final design of the NPP EDU (which concerns in particular Condition 11).
- Condition 31 has been complied with in the context of the above-mentioned planning procedure, as the neighbouring municipalities and the public have been duly informed of the initiation of this procedure and have been given the opportunity to fully exercise their rights in accordance with the requirements arising from the legislation (for details, see the section on 'Notice of initiation and further conduct of the procedure' above).
- Other conditions of the binding EIA opinion, which refer to other phases of project preparation without specifying unambiguously which phase (i.e. conditions No. 10 and 12 to 22), are not relevant

in relation to the construction to be located, as they relate to other constructions forming the plan of the NJZ EDU, the location of which is the subject of joint planning proceedings under MPO 76834/2023.

The above conclusions correspond to the content of the documentation for the planning decision submitted by the applicant (see the section "How the conditions of the binding opinion of the environmental impact assessment of the project have been taken into account" in Part B. of the Summary Technical Report). It is also apparent from this Technical Summary Report that certain other conditions of the EIA binding opinion are being met on an ongoing basis, albeit at different stages of the preparation of the NJZ EDU project than the planning application stage. In particular, this concerns in particular condition No 23 (concerning the conditions of the tender procedure for the construction contractor).

Compliance with all the conditions of the EIA binding opinion (including those not listed above) is ensured by the fact that all the conditions of the EIA binding opinion have been fully incorporated into the conditions of this planning decision (as well as the conditions of all other binding opinions of the authorities concerned).

The Building Authority proceeded as follows in setting the conditions of the decision taken from binding opinions or set on the basis of opinions, consents and statements of the authorities concerned and other subjects:

As already mentioned above, the construction authority verified the mutual consistency of the binding opinion of the EIA and other submitted binding opinions of the concerned authorities required by special legal regulations, and included the conditions set out in these binding opinions in full in the conditions of the planning decision. The above procedure complies with the requirements of Article 149(1) of the Administrative Procedure Code, although some of the conditions required by the authorities concerned by their nature may not directly relate only to the location of the construction and the requirements for the preparation of project documentation in the next phase of the permitting process, but also relate to later phases of the permitting process, project preparation and the actual implementation and subsequent operation of the entire NJZ EDU project, within which they will always be adequately taken into account to the extent that they are relevant to the construction to be located by this decision.

The administrative file for the above-mentioned zoning proceedings also includes a letter from the Regional Police Directorate of the Vysočina Region, Třebíč Territorial Department, Traffic Inspectorate, No. KR PJ-92075-5/ČJ-2020-161006-ROU dated 6 November 2020, including a binding opinion on the connection, an opinion for zoning and construction proceedings and an opinion on the placement of permanent traffic signs. However, according to Section 10(4) of Act No. 13/1997 Coll., on Roads, as amended, the binding opinion on the connection is the basis not for the zoning decision but for the decision of the road administrative authority on the connection, which was issued separately by the Třebíč Municipal Authority on 21 December 2020, under reference No. ODKS 90073/20 - SPIS 14652/2020/PJ. This decision is also part of the administrative file for the zoning procedure. Since the conditions set out in the binding opinion of the Regional Police Directorate of the Vysočina Region on the connection, the opinion for the zoning and construction proceedings and the opinion on the placement of permanent traffic signs are already fully reflected in the decision of the Třebíč Municipal Office on the connection, which is binding on the applicant, the construction authority did not adopt them in its decision.

The other binding opinions of the authorities concerned on the subject construction not included in the conditions of the planning decision were issued as consents without conditions.

Certain additional conditions were imposed within the framework of this decision on the basis of the requirements resulting from the opinions and statements of the parties and other selected entities (in particular technical and transport infrastructure managers), for the following reasons:

- a) Condition set on the basis of the opinion of the Morava River Basin Authority, s.p. ref: PM-31636/2020/5203/Pav dated 24.8.2020, the validity of which was extended by the opinion ref: PM-35121/2022/5203/Pav dated 27.7.2022

In the opinion in question, the river basin district manager requested that the next stage of the project documentation be submitted for his comments. As this requirement appears to be justified, it has been adopted as a condition of the planning permission.

- b) Conditions set out on the basis of the opinions of the Regional Administration and Maintenance of Roads of the Vysočina Region, contributory organisation, No: TSÚ/No/011709/2020 of 5 October 2020, the validity of which was extended by opinion No: KSAÚSVPO/015441/2022 of 5 September 2022, and No: TSÚ/No/011984/2020 of 9 October 2020, the validity of which was extended by opinion No: KSAÚSVPO/015440/2022 of 5 September 2022

In their opinions, the competent body formulated requirements in connection with the course of the implementation of the proposed construction on the affected roads or in their protection zones (including the need to obtain the relevant permits). These requirements appear to be adequate, consistent with the intent of the proposed development, in the interest of maintaining traffic safety, and have therefore been adopted as conditions of the planning permission. The applicant has also highlighted certain obligations set out in the applicable legislation and therefore did not need to be incorporated into the conditions of the planning permission. Furthermore, the building authority did not consider it necessary to adopt the conditions of the opinions that were consumed by the decision on the establishment of new communication connections of the Trebic Municipal Authority, Department of Transport and Municipal Services, dated 21.12.2020, no. ODKS 90073/20 - SPIS 14652/2020/PJ and the binding opinion of the Trebic Municipal Authority, Department of Transport and Municipal Services, dated 19.12.2020, no. ODKS 3103/21 - SPIS 207/2021/St. Also, the conditions of the decision did not include the condition of the obligation to draw up a contract with the Vysočina Region on the right to carry out the construction, as this contract was already documented as a basis for the issuance of the zoning decision. Furthermore, the Building Authority did not include in the conditions of the planning decision the requirements concerning the property settlement between the applicant and the Vysočina Region in connection with the property of the Vysočina Region, affected by the construction, as these aspects are a matter of private law relations between the above-mentioned entities. However, the protection of the property rights of the Vysočina Region and the safety of traffic are sufficiently ensured by the other conditions of the decision.

- c) Condition set on the basis of the statement of ČEZ, a. s. dated 5 November 2020

The participant in the proceedings and the owner of the technical infrastructure in its statement raised the requirement to take measures during the implementation of the proposed construction that will minimize the impacts on the surrounding structures and that the structures forming the New Nuclear Source at the Dukovany site will not restrict or in any way affect nuclear safety and the operation of the existing nuclear facilities at the site. This condition appears to be adequate with regard to the purpose of the proposed construction and has therefore been adopted in the conditions of the planning permission.

- d) Conditions imposed on the basis of CETIN a.s.'s statement No: 760851/22 of 27 September 2022, which replaced statement No: 806149/20 of 24 November 2020 and statement No: 805066/20 of 29 October 2020

The statement shows that there will be a conflict between the proposed construction and the electronic communications network of CETIN a.s., as a result of which the company has set conditions regarding compliance with the technical requirements set for the implementation of earthworks in the place of collision with the PVSEK route (underground electronic communications network) and for possible crossings under this route. At the same time, the applicant was ordered to comply with the General Conditions for the Protection of the SEC issued by CETIN, a.s., always in the version that will be in force at the relevant stage of the project preparation. In the event that the need for relocation of electronic communications networks arises at a later stage of the project documentation, CETIN a.s. has laid down additional conditions, including the conclusion of a contract for the implementation of the relocation of the SEC. As these requirements appear to be justified and appropriate to the extent of the interference of the networks by the proposed construction, they have been taken over into the conditions of the planning decision. To the remaining extent, the company has pointed out some of the obligations relating to possible relocations already arising from the applicable legislation and therefore did not need to be incorporated into the conditions of the planning permission.

- e) Conditions set on the basis of the consent of ČEPS, a.s. No. 197/BRN/796/20/07.08.2020/Za dated 11 August 2020, the validity of which was extended by the statement No. 01636/2022/PDV dated 15 February 2022

In its consent, ČEPS, a.s. formulated conditions for compliance with the technical requirements for the preparation and execution of the proposed construction in the affected locality due to the existence of the protection zone of overhead lines. General conditions were set for work in the protection zone, the location of fencing, road and line crossings (with the assumption that the level is to be maintained at the level of the relevant standards and that exceeding it would require the preparation of a professional assessment). These requirements are justified and correspond to the extent of the interference of the lines in question by the proposed construction and have therefore been taken over in the conditions of the planning permission.

In the consent in question, ČEPS, a.s. also requires that the new construction route be located at least 30 m from the edge of the concrete base of the transmission line mast. The Planning Authority has verified that the documentation submitted for the purposes of this planning permission was prepared in accordance with this condition (see Section C. Situation drawings, Chapter C.1. ČEPS, a.s. also requires that, when the project is modified, it is consulted on the change made in terms of the reliable operation of the power lines and the safety of work in the protection zone of the lines. This is a condition arising from the legislation in force and therefore the construction authority did not consider it necessary to include this condition in the planning decision. Nor was the statement by ČEPS, a.s. regarding the applicant's liability for any damage to the electrical installation arising in connection with its activities, which is not a condition by its nature and, moreover, is not relevant to the subject matter of the planning permission. For the remainder, the company pointed to certain obligations relating to compliance with legal provisions and activities within the transmission line protection zones, which, however, already arise from the applicable legislation and therefore did not need to be included as conditions of the planning permission.

- f) Conditions set out on the basis of the opinion of E.ON Distribuce, a.s. (now EG.D, a.s.) No. 20082020-2/hro of 20 August 2020

In the opinion in question, E.ON Distribuce, a.s. (now EG.D, a.s.) formulated requirements for compliance with the technical requirements for the preparation of the project documentation and the implementation of the proposed construction in the affected locality due to the existence of the protection zone of the distribution system equipment. These requirements are adequate and correspond to the extent of the interference of the facilities in question by the proposed construction and have therefore been taken over in the conditions of the planning decision. The requirement to submit a relocation agreement has not been incorporated into the conditions of the decision, as this agreement has already been submitted as a basis for the planning permission. For the remainder, the company pointed out certain obligations relating to activities in the protection zones of the distribution system and overhead lines, which, however, already stem from the applicable legislation and therefore did not need to be incorporated into the conditions of the planning permission.

- g) Condition imposed on the basis of the opinion of the Municipality of Rouchovany dated 19.10.2020

In its opinion, the party to the proceedings and the owner of the technical infrastructure raised a request for the route of the cable television communication line to be marked out. This condition appears to be adequate with regard to the purpose of the proposed construction and has therefore been taken over in the conditions of the planning decision.

- h) Conditions set out on the basis of the statement of VODÁRENSKÁ AKCIOVÁ SPOLEČNOST, a. s., division Třebíč, no.j.: TR/5564/2022-Ka dated 13 September 2022, which replaced the statement no.j.: TR/7383/2020-Ka dated 15 December 2020

The statement made demands for the relocation of the Slavětice - Dukovany water supply pipeline. However, this requirement concerns the relocation (or a section thereof), which is not part of the construction to be placed by this decision (the relevant requirement is reflected in the conditions of the planning decision for the construction 'Set of buildings in the area of the nuclear facility "New nuclear

source in the locality of Dukovany", which was issued under reference No 76834/23/422 - SU of 30 October 2023), and therefore has not been taken over in the conditions of the decision for the construction 'Connection of the NJZ EDU to the transport infrastructure'. In this context, only the conditions relating to the relocation (or a section thereof), which is part of this construction as SO 321, have been taken over. Furthermore, the requirement to submit a contract for the relocation has not been taken over in the decision conditions, as this contract has already been documented as a basis for the planning permission. In addition, the competent body requires compliance with the capacity of the water supply system and required the submission of a written consent from the operator of the facility to carry out the relocation for the approval of the relocation and the conclusion of a future contract for the establishment of a utility easement for the relocation . However, these conditions do not apply to the construction of "Connection of the NJZ EDU to the transport infrastructure", the building authority did not consider it necessary to adopt these conditions in the planning decision .

With regard to the above-mentioned conditions set out on the basis of the opinions and statements of the parties to the proceedings and other selected entities (in particular the administrators of transport and technical infrastructure), the Building Authority further states for completeness that some of them may not be directly related only to the location of the construction and the requirements for the preparation of project documentation in the next stage of the permitting process, but also relate to later stages of the permitting process, project preparation and the actual implementation of the entire NJZ EDU project (similarly to the conditions set out in the binding opinions of the authorities concerned - see above). However, in order to ensure proper protection of all public interests relevant to the zoning procedure, including the protection of property rights of the entities affected by the location and subsequent implementation of the construction, the construction authority has included these conditions in the zoning decision, with the understanding that they will be adequately taken into account in the subsequent stages of the project preparation and permitting process to the extent that they are relevant to the procedure and the construction to be placed by this decision.

The Building Authority further adds that it also dealt with all other opinions, communications and statements of the authorities concerned, other subjects and the parties to the proceedings, which were included in the administrative file without having to be explicitly mentioned in the list above. However, on the basis of an assessment of the content of these opinions, communications and statements, the construction authority did not consider it necessary to impose any further conditions on the planning decision. The opinions and statements in question did not, as a rule, contain any specific requirements beyond those arising from the applicable legislation and merely copied the requirements laid down by the legislation, which it is neither practical nor appropriate to impose as special conditions in the planning decision. These opinions also contained some very general and non-specific statements, without formulating specific and enforceable conditions in relation to the further preparation (or, where appropriate, implementation) of the permitted project which could be taken over in this decision (e.g. description of the project under consideration, warning owners and managers of public infrastructure that they do not accept liability for breaches of the legislation by the applicant, copying the legal definitions of public infrastructure and protection zones, etc.). Similarly, some requirements concerning the regulation of private law relations between the applicant and the entities concerned, which go beyond the scope of the planning procedure and the competence of the building authority, were not incorporated into the terms of the decision.

Beyond this, the Building Authority did not consider it appropriate to include the following selected requirements in the conditions of the planning permission:

- a) Requirements resulting from the opinion of the Ministry of the Interior of the Czech Republic, Department of Property Management No.: MV-115433-54/OSM-2020 dated 5 December 2022, which builds on previous opinions, i.e. opinion No. MV-115433-29/OSM-2020 of 15 March 2021, subsequently supplemented by opinion No MV-115433-31/OSM-2020 of 19 March 2021 and an update of these opinions No MV-115433-41/OSM-2020 of 14 February 2022

The Ministry of the Interior of the Czech Republic, Department of Property Management, issued a summary opinion on the construction of the New Nuclear Power Plant at the Dukovany site, including all related conditional and induced constructions. The conditions specified in the opinions concern the construction "Set of buildings in the nuclear facility 'New Nuclear Source in the locality of Dukovany'" (which is the subject of a joint planning procedure on the location and establishment of a protection

zone for selected buildings related to the New Nuclear Source in the locality of Dukovany, filed under file no. 76834/2023), and not the construction "Connection of the NPP EDU to the transport infrastructure", for which there is no risk of conflict in terms of electromagnetic compatibility with the electronic or radio communication equipment of the Ministry of the Interior of the Czech Republic. Therefore, no further conditions are set in this respect.

- b) Condition resulting from the statement of the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region, Department of Transport and Road Management, No.: KUJI 16887/2021 ODSH of 23 February 2021

In the statement in question it was recommended to consider the possibility of a joint solution of the construction "Connection of the NJZ EDU to the transport infrastructure" with the construction "II/152 Hrotopice - Dukovany, 2nd stage". Taking into account the nature of both constructions and the very close connection of the construction "Connection of the NPP EDU to the transport infrastructure" to the project of the New Nuclear Power Plant in Dukovany as one of the most important constructions, the permitting process of which is by nature significantly more complex and administratively and time-consuming than the permitting process for the construction "II/152 Hrotopice - Dukovany, 2nd stage", it does not seem practical that the preparation and implementation of these constructions should be time-dependent and that these constructions should be designed and implemented together. This is also true in view of the fact that the separate design of the two constructions will not prevent or significantly impede the completion of their design preparation and implementation, and their mutual coordination in time is therefore not a necessary condition for the completion of these constructions. The remaining requirements and recommendations contained in the statement of the Vysočina Regional Authority, Department of Transport and Road Management, related to other structures forming the plan of the NJZ EDU than the one being placed by this decision and therefore were not taken over into the conditions of the decision.

- c) Requirements resulting from the statement of the Třebíč Municipal Authority, Department of Transport and Utilities, No.: ODKS 54388/20 - SPIS 53/2020/PJ dated 14 August 2020

In the statement in question, the administrative authority draws attention to the need to obtain a communication connection permit for the subject construction (including the definition of the documents accompanying the application for a communication connection permit). This is a condition arising from the legislation in force, the fulfilment of which has been documented by Decision No ODKS 90073/20 - SPIS 14652/2020/PJ of 21.12.2020, which is part of the file. Therefore, the condition has not been taken into the planning permission.

In relation to the specific conditions set out in Recital II of this decision on the permission to fell trees, the Building Authority states the following:

The construction authority decided on the felling of the trees on the basis of the binding opinion of the Municipal Authority Dukovany, as the competent nature protection authority, which was issued on the basis of the applicant's application. The Municipal Authority of Dukovany was entrusted with the issue of the binding opinion in question by the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region instead of the Municipal Authority of Rouchovany, which was excluded from the consideration of the applicant's application on the grounds that it was not possible to exclude doubts as to its potential impartiality (see list of submitted decisions, binding opinions and opinions of the authorities concerned above).

The binding opinion was issued as a consent and the building authority fully respected the conditions set out therein, which it has taken over in the operative part of this decision, both as regards the felling permission itself and its conditions, and as regards the requirement for replacement planting. At the same time, the construction authority has verified that the binding opinion is consistent with the other binding opinions and that the conditions set out therein do not conflict with the other conditions of the decision. In making its decision, the building authority took note of the content of the binding opinion of the Dukovany Municipal Authority on the felling of trees. It is clear from its reasoning that the Municipality of Dukovany, as the competent nature protection authority, respected the requirements of the legislation and took into account the functional and aesthetic significance of the trees to be felled and the ecological damage that would result from their felling, and weighed these aspects against the reasons for their felling, which are in

conflict with the permitted construction, which is part of the NPP EDU project of national and international importance.

The extent of the replacement planting corresponds to the ecological damage that would result from the felling of the relevant trees and was determined in accordance with the methodological materials of the Agency for Nature Conservation and Landscape Protection.

Similarly, the requirements for replacement planting to be carried out only in the event of construction, preferably during the dormant season, as well as the requirement for replacement care of newly planted trees, also appear to be logically justified and justifiable.

The Building Authority has no objections to the location of the replacement planting on the land specified in the binding opinion. The extent of the replacement planting has been consulted and agreed with the municipality of Rouchovany by the nature conservation authority.

In view of the above, the construction authority based its decision on felling of trees entirely on the binding opinion of the Municipal Authority of Dukovany, without considering it necessary to set any additional conditions in this context beyond those resulting from the binding opinion.

Settlement of the objections, comments and observations of the parties:

During the proceedings, objections, comments and observations of the parties were submitted, which were dealt with by the building authority as follows:

1.1 Children of the Earth - Club for Sustainable Transport

Date of delivery: 13.9.2021 (objection No 1) and 29.9.2021 (objection No 2)

1.1.1 OBJECTION NO. 1 OF THE CHILDREN OF THE EARTH ASSOCIATION OF 13.9.2021 - SYSTEMIC BIAS

By Objection No. 1 of 13 September 2021, the Children of the Earth association, in accordance with Section 14 of the Administrative Procedure Code, draws attention to the systemic risk of bias of the entire Třebíč Municipal Office (i.e. all employees, including the Secretary) and the City of Třebíč (i.e. all employees), as it has reasonable doubts as to whether the proceedings will be conducted without political and financial influence from the supervisors of the Municipal Office and the City.

Pursuant to Section 14(1) and (2) of the Administrative Procedure Code, Children of the Earth object to the bias of all relevant employees of the Třebíč Municipality, including the Secretary and the Mayor, as they could have a significant interest in the decision being issued expeditiously and also in violation of the law and the rights of the parties to the proceedings, including Children of the Earth.

According to the Children of the Earth, the proof is not only the political and media activities of various politicians of the Czech government and at the regional level, but also at the municipal level, including a number of associations that are involved in the energy sector of the region or actively support the operation of the EDU and the construction of the NPP EDU (e.g. Energetické Třebíčsko, ENERGOREGION 2020, s.p.o., etc.), in order to expeditiously locate the building (possibly regardless of the law) with the aim of expeditiously starting its construction (in 2029).

Children of the Earth also draws attention to the political and media pressures exerted by a number of politicians at the national, regional and municipal level, as well as lobbyists, including associations, on the City Council's (or the Regional Council's) officials.

In their objections, the Children of the Earth then summarize the applicable legal regulation on systemic bias, the Ministry of the Interior's methodological aid on Section 14 of the Administrative Procedure Code of 9 May 2017 entitled "Systemic Bias" and refer to the Supreme Administrative Court's decision-making practice on the systemic risk of bias.

*In the opinion of the Children of the Earth, the long-standing and strongly politically and financially conditioned discussions represent a significant risk in the public administration's decision-making on the location and permitting of the subject NPP EDU project (resp. It is therefore desirable (for the participants in the proceedings and for the administrative authorities at the Municipality) that the **decision** on this project should **be made by a different Municipality than the Municipality of Třebíč, or outside the Třebíč region**, or even outside the Vysočina Region (which also has an interest in accelerated permitting and construction), based on the precautionary principle and the maximum reduction of the risk of so-called systemic bias.)*

In their objections, the Children of the Earth express their doubts as to whether the Třebíč Municipal Construction Authority is able to guarantee impartiality in the conduct of this procedure and whether it will issue an objective decision when it will "have" to comply with the expected tasks of the Třebíč management (the Secretary and the Mayor), respectively the company Elektrárna Dukovany II, a. s. or local lobby associations with close ties to politicians at all levels.

In the opinion of the Children of the Earth, in this situation, all employees of the Třebíč Municipal Office and the town of Třebíč who will decide on the application and on the comments and objections of the parties to the proceedings (including the Children of the Earth) must be considered biased, so it is necessary that another municipal office outside the Třebíč region (or outside the Vysočina Region) decide on the application.

In support of their claims, Children of the Earth present a number of media outputs by various politicians or lobby groups that demonstrate the so-called risk of systemic bias, as these outputs are made by politicians at all levels of public administration, i.e. from the leadership of the town of Třebíč, through the leadership of the region to the leadership of the state. At the same time, Children of the Earth point to the close personal relationships of local/local private or public entities (the Energy Třebíč and ENERGOREGION 2020 platforms) to local/local, regional and national politicians in order to expeditiously permit and build the NJZ EDU, and this activity may pose a so-called risk of systemic bias to all employees of the Municipality.

In their objections, the Children of the Earth conclude that all persons working at the Třebíč Municipal Office (including all employees at the construction office) have been under great media and political pressure for many years and are therefore heavily biased against the subject plan for the location of the NPP EDU in favour of the speedy processing of the application of Elektrárna Dukovany II, a. s., and the swift issuance of a zoning decision so that the project could be built immediately, even at the risk of an illegal decision being issued, so that it is highly doubtful that the construction office at the Municipality would have made an impartial and diligent decision according to the law as a fair and professional public administration (although the communication between the construction office and Children of the Earth after the application was sent to the proceedings has been procedurally and temporally correct).

Children of the Earth believes that it is necessary for another building authority outside Třebíč to be in charge of this procedure.

According to the Children of the Earth, when deciding on the so-called risk of systemic bias of the employees of the Municipal Office, including the mayor and the secretary, the KÚ should take into account a similar case, which is the placement and permitting of the D0136 Říkovice - Přerov motorway, as among others. This is also the case of the KÚ in Olomouc of 20 June 2018, no. KUOK 52031/2018 in the procedure for amending the zoning decision and of 2 July 2021, no. KUOK 70760/2021 in the procedure for permitting five buildings.

Settlement:

The objections of systemic bias were discussed as follows:

On 11 January 2022, the Ministry of Regional Development issued a resolution under No.: MMR-847/2022-83, which decided on the objection of bias of all officials of the Regional Office of the Vysočina Region raised by the Children of the Earth Association that the Director of the Regional Office of the Vysočina Region is not excluded from the deliberations and decision-making in the zoning proceedings.

The Children of the Earth filed an appeal against this decision, which was rejected by the Minister for Regional Development in a decision issued on 29 April 2022 under No. MMR-21603/2022-31 and confirmed the Ministry of Regional Development's decision of 11 January 2022, No. MMR-847/2022-83.

On 9 February 2022, the Director of the Regional Office of the Vysočina Region issued a resolution under No.: KUJI 12441/2022, by which he decided on the objection of bias of all official persons of the Třebíč Municipal Office raised by the Children of the Earth Association that this objection is rejected and that the Mayor of Třebíč and other official persons assigned to the Třebíč Municipal Office are not excluded from deliberations and decision-making in the zoning proceedings. The Children of the Earth filed an appeal against this decision, which was rejected by the Ministry of Regional Development by decision issued on 11 May 2022 under No. MMR-23417/2022-83 and confirmed the decision of the Director of the Regional Office of the Vysočina Region dated 9 February 2022, No. KUJI 12441/2022.

On the basis of the above, it can be concluded that the objection of systemic bias was duly assessed and decided by the relevant superior authorities, and that it was found to be unfounded both in relation to the Mayor of Třebíč and the officials of the Třebíč Municipal Office, and in relation to the Director of the Regional Office of the Vysočina Region (who decided on the objection of bias of the Mayor and the officials of the Třebíč Municipal Office).

Moreover, as a result of legislative changes, the competence to issue this decision was subsequently transferred to the Ministry of Industry and Trade with effect from 1 July 2023, which completed the above-mentioned proceedings and decided on the matter. In doing so, the Ministry of Industry and Trade familiarised itself with the complete content of the administrative file, verified its completeness, examined the correctness of the previous course of the proceedings and verified that it had all the documents and information necessary for the decision to be issued, or that all the necessary steps had been taken to enable a decision to be issued on the basis of the collected documents (including proper familiarisation of the parties to the proceedings with all the decision documents pursuant to Article 36(3) of the Administrative Procedure Code). On that basis, the Ministry of Industry and Trade then assessed the merits of the application and issued this decision. Thus, the Ministry of Industry and Trade, as the competent construction authority, decided on the merits of the case, to which the objections of systemic bias raised against the Třebíč Municipal Office and the Regional Office of the Vysočina Region do not apply.

1.1.2 OBJECTION NO. 2 OF THE CHILDREN OF THE EARTH ASSOCIATION DATED 29/09/2021 - TO THE PROCEDURE FOR THE LOCATION OF THE CONSTRUCTION, I.E. CHANGES TO ROADS II/152 AND III/15249 ETC.

1.1.2.1 In their submission of 29.9.2021, the Children of the Earth argue the following:

On the content of the file - request to check and possibly complete missing or outdated documents

- 1. *Children of the Earth demand a check to prove that the project complies with the ZÚR and the Master Plan***

Settlement:

Compliance of the submitted documentation for the issuance of the zoning decision with the Czech Republic's Spatial Development Policy, with the Principles of Spatial Development of the Vysočina Region, with the Zoning Plan of the Municipality of Dukovany in the scope in which decisions can be made according to the Zoning Plan of the Municipality of Dukovany, and with the Zoning Plan of the Municipality of Rouchovany in the scope in which decisions can be made according to the Zoning Plan of the Municipality of Rouchovany, is supported by a binding opinion of the Municipal Office of Třebíč, Department of Development and Spatial Planning, Department of the Office of Spatial Planning, no. ORÚP 83188/20 - SPIS 1488/2021/HaD dated 25 February 2021.

This binding opinion was subsequently followed up by the planning authority in its written communication No. ORÚP 75361/22 - SPIS 1488/2021/HaD dated 19 October 2022, in which the planning authority states that the project is still in compliance with the ZÚR and PÚR and that there has been no substantial change in the conditions under which the binding opinion No. ORÚP

83188/20 - SPIS 1488/2021/HaD dated 25 February 2021 was issued. The continuing validity of this binding opinion was subsequently confirmed by the communication of the planning authority No ORÚP 2776/23 - SPIS 1488/2021/HaD of 26 January 2023.

The Building Authority has familiarized itself with the contents of the above mentioned binding opinion and the communication of the planning authority and considers them to be a complete, up-to-date and complete basis for the issuance of the decision, which sufficiently demonstrates the compliance of the permitted construction with the current Principles of Spatial Development and the spatial plans of the municipalities concerned (see the justification of the assessment of compliance with the currently valid spatial planning documentation in the section Assessment of the application above).

2. *Children of the Earth demand control of the verification of the EIA binding opinion*

Settlement:

On 1 September 2021, the Ministry of the Environment, Department of Environmental Impact Assessment and Integrated Prevention, issued a consent binding opinion on the verification of changes to the project that could have a significant negative impact on the environment, under No. MZP/2021/710/2941, pursuant to Section 9a(6) of the EIA Act, in relation to the binding EIA opinion. All binding conditions resulting from the binding EIA opinion have been fully incorporated into the terms of this decision.

Subsequently, on 16 September 2021, the Ministry of the Environment issued Resolution No. MZP/2021/710/4700, which corrects an obvious inaccuracy - a typing error - in the issued binding opinion on the verification of changes to the plan.

In accordance with the requirements of Section 9a(6) of the EIA Act, the subject EIA Verification Binding Opinion was issued in relation to the current version of the documentation for the zoning decision, which was submitted by the applicant together with its application for the zoning decision and served as the basis for the issuance of this decision, without any changes. The Building Authority has also read the content of this binding EIA opinion and has no objections to it.

3. *Children of the Earth request control of the documentation of a convincing analysis of the fulfilment of the requirements of the binding EIA opinion (2019) on the location of the construction*

Settlement:

In accordance with Decree No. 499/2006 Coll., on documentation of buildings, as amended, the fulfilment of the requirements resulting from the binding EIA opinion is described in the dedicated chapter (B.6.d)) of Part B. Summary Technical Report, which is always part of the documentation for the application for a zoning decision on the location of this construction. As already mentioned in the description of the reasons for the decision and the justification of the decision conditions, all the conditions of the binding EIA opinion have been incorporated into the conditions of the planning decision in accordance with Section 149(1) of the Administrative Code. At the same time, the construction authority has considered and commented in detail on the fulfilment of all the conditions of the EIA binding opinion relevant to the subject matter of the present planning procedure and has concluded that the fulfilment of all the conditions of the EIA binding opinion is sufficiently ensured (see in detail the section of the justification of this decision entitled '*Reasons for the decision and justification of the conditions of the decision*'). The Ministry of the Environment has also issued a binding consent pursuant to Section 9a(6) of the EIA Act, binding opinion No MZP/2021/710/2941 of 1 September 2021, to verify changes to the project that could have a significant negative effect on the environment. On 16.9.2021, it then issued Resolution No. MZP/2021/710/4700, which corrected an apparent inaccuracy in the issued consent binding opinion - a typing error (see above).

The conditions of the binding EIA opinion are implemented in a manner appropriate to the current stage of the permitting process, taking into account their specific wording, purpose and the period of time when they are to be implemented. All binding conditions resulting from the EIA binding opinion have been fully incorporated into the conditions of this Decision.

The applicable legislation does not require the applicant to submit any further analyses and supporting documents regarding compliance with the requirements of the binding EIA opinion. The documents submitted by the applicant, as described above, constitute a sufficient basis for this decision.

4. ***Children of the Earth demand a check on the documentation of binding opinions on the intervention into the VCP and the landscape character within the meaning of Act No. 114/1992 Coll., including a study on the impact on the landscape character with visualizations and a study on the impact on light pollution of the landscape***

Settlement:

One of the bases for the decision is a communication issued by the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region, Department of Environment and Agriculture, No. KUJI 71684/2020, OZPZ 2268/2020, dated 18 November 2020, which, after evaluating the content of the documentation for the issuance of the planning decision, concluded that no binding opinions or other administrative acts will be issued for this construction, as no impacts on significant landscape elements can be expected and the construction cannot have an impact on the reduction of the landscape character of the sites. This conclusion seems logical in view of the nature of the construction to be permitted, as the connection to the transport infrastructure is by its nature a low-rise structure with negligible visual impact on the surrounding area. At the same time, the documentation submitted for the planning permission does not indicate that the permitted structure is to be a source of light and therefore the Planning Authority does not consider it necessary to submit a Landscape Light Pollution Impact Study.

The issue of the impact of the project on significant landscape elements and landscape character was therefore addressed in detail during the above-mentioned proceedings and the building authority had sufficient documents to assess the issue and issue a decision, including a communication from the competent nature protection authority.

The evaluation of the effects of the entire New Nuclear Power Plant project at the Dukovany site on the landscape, including the preparation of a corresponding background study, was also the subject of the environmental impact assessment process (EIA process). Attention was also paid to the issue of light pollution in this process and in the documentation of the environmental impact of the project (EIA documentation). The Ministry of the Environment, in the resulting consent EIA binding opinion, set out the relevant conditions to be fulfilled in the framework of the documentation for the building permit or before the application for the building permit (see in particular conditions 7, 9 and 10 of the EIA binding opinion). It is therefore clear that this issue is to be dealt with in greater detail at later stages of the project documentation, which appears logical and reasonable in the present case.

5. ***Children of the Earth demand control of the documentation of binding opinions according to Act No. 254/2001 Coll. on the protection of water conditions***

Settlement:

Binding opinions to the extent required under Act No. 254/2001 Coll., on Water and on Amendments to Certain Acts (Water Act), as amended, are the basis for the issuance of the decision. In particular, the consenting binding opinion of the water authority issued by the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region, Department of Environment and Agriculture, No KUJI 88447/2020, OŽPZ 1931/2020 PP-2 of 21 September 2020, was submitted.

The Building Authority has verified that the binding opinion is complete and constitutes a sufficient basis for the decision. The condition of the binding opinion has been taken over in its entirety in the operative part of this decision.

6. ***Children of the Earth demand a check on the documentation of binding opinions according to Act No. 201/2012 Coll. on air protection, including a dispersion study***

Settlement:

The basis for issuing the decision is a communication issued by the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region, Department of Environment and Agriculture, No. KUJI 71675/2020, OZPZ

1531/2020, dated 5 August 2020, which, after studying all the documents available to it, concluded that there is no need to issue a binding opinion on this type of action pursuant to Act No. 201/2012 Coll., No. 201/2012 Coll., on air protection, as amended, as the said project is neither an enumerated stationary source of air pollution nor an unenumerated stationary source of air pollution not listed in Annex No. 2 of this Act No. 201/2012 Coll.

Therefore, the issue of air protection was addressed in detail during the above-mentioned proceedings and the building authority had sufficient supporting documents to assess the issue and issue a decision, including a communication from the competent air protection authority.

In addition to the above conclusions made by the competent air protection authority, it can be added for completeness that the evaluation of the effects of the entire project of the New Nuclear Source at the Dukovany site on the dispersion situation, including the preparation of a corresponding background study, was the subject of the environmental impact assessment process (EIA process). The Ministry of the Environment, in the resulting EIA binding consent opinion, imposed condition No. 29 dealing with, among other things, ensuring the minimisation of air quality impacts during the construction period or selecting measures to minimise the impact of emissions from vehicle movements on site roads. This condition was subsequently adopted in full in the operative part of this decision.

The documentation of the environmental impact of the project is part of the documents for the issuance of this decision and is also published on the Internet at https://portal.cenia.cz/eiasea/detail/EIA_MZP469. The binding EIA opinion is part of the documentary part of the documentation for the decision on the location of the construction. The relevant conditions of the EIA binding opinion concerning the minimisation of emissions from site traffic are thus fully respected.

7. *Children of the Earth demand a check on the documentation of binding opinions according to Act No. 258/2000 Coll. for the protection of public health, including a noise study*

Settlement:

Binding opinions to the extent required under Act No. 258/2000 Coll., on the protection of public health and on amendments to certain related acts, as amended, are the basis for the issuance of the decision. In particular, the binding opinion of the public health protection authority issued by the Regional Hygienic Station of the Vysočina Region, based in Jihlava, No KHSV/16933/2020/JI/HOK/Sme of 10 August 2020, which is bound only to the condition relating to the water quality control to be carried out as a basis for the approval for the construction of the water supply line relocation, has been documented. The condition in question has been taken over in full in the operative part of this decision.

In relation to the issue of noise, the public health authority did not set any conditions, stating that noise protection will be addressed in the next stage of the project documentation, when the requirements for traffic servicing the NPP EDU site will be known.

These conditions also correspond with the conclusions of the binding EIA opinion, where the EIA process included an evaluation of the effects of the entire New Nuclear Power Plant project at the Dukovany site on the noise situation, including the preparation of a corresponding background study. On this basis, the Ministry of the Environment, in the resulting EIA binding opinion, set out conditions 25, 26, 30 and 44 containing requirements for the preparation of acoustic studies or noise measurements for defined periods and further stages of the project (e.g. after the selection of the contractor or before the start of construction, etc.) (see above). These conditions have subsequently been taken over in full in the operative part of this decision.

It is therefore obvious that the noise issue should be addressed in greater detail only in later stages of the project documentation, which seems logical and reasonable in the case under consideration.

The documentation of the environmental impact of the project is part of the documents for the issuance of this decision and is also published on the Internet at https://portal.cenia.cz/eiasea/detail/EIA_MZP469. The binding EIA opinion is part of the documentary part of the documentation for the decision on the location of the construction. The relevant conditions of the EIA binding opinion concerning noise protection are thus fully respected.

The basis for this decision was also a detailed noise study, which was prepared by Amec Foster Wheeler s.r.o. for the purposes of the EIA process and is publicly available on the EIA Information Portal and was thus available for consultation during the proceedings.

8. ***Children of the Earth demand a check on the documentation of binding opinions according to Act No. 289/1995 Coll. on the protection of 50 metres from the edge of the forest for the location of the construction***

Settlement:

The documentation for the planning permission shows that the building does not encroach into the 50 m zone from the forest edge, and therefore the documentation of the binding opinion is not necessary.

9. ***Children of the Earth demand a check on the documentation of the underlying decision on the authorisation of an exemption according to Section 56 of Act No. 114/1992 Coll. for the intervention into habitats, including the Biological Assessment and the NATURA 2000 Impact Assessment***

Settlement:

Act No. 152/2023 Coll. amended the Linear Act so that with effect from 1 July 2023 for selected energy infrastructure constructions referred to in Section 1(4)(a) of Act No. (b) of the Linear Act, which are also constructions of nuclear facilities, and for constructions related to these constructions, if they require a building permit, exemptions from the prohibitions for monumental trees and specially protected species of plants and animals pursuant to Section 56 of the Act on Nature and Landscape Protection, which have not yet been issued, shall not be issued before the issuance of a zoning decision and shall be issued before the issuance of a building permit. According to the transitional provisions in Article XXXIII of Act No 152/2023 Coll., proceedings and other procedures initiated before the date of entry into force of this Act shall be completed and the rights and obligations related thereto shall be assessed in accordance with the legislation in force as of the date of entry into force of this Act.

Therefore, in the case under review, the construction authority did not have to deal with the question of the need to submit a decision on the authorisation of an exemption pursuant to Section 56 of the Act on Nature and Landscape Protection for the permitted construction. As a result of the above-mentioned legislative changes, such a decision would not have been issued anyway before the decision was issued.

In addition to this, it can be added for completeness that the evaluation of the impacts of the entire project of the New Nuclear Source at the Dukovany site on biodiversity, including the Biological Assessment and the Natura 2000 Impact Assessment, was subject to the environmental impact assessment process (EIA process). The Ministry of the Environment, in the resulting EIA binding consent opinion, set out the relevant conditions (Nos. 32 and 35) concerning the provision of ecological (biological) supervision for the entire course of the construction of the project and its orientation, as well as the condition to carry out floristic and faunistic surveys of the area concerned during the last 2 growing seasons before the start of construction in order to identify and locate the most valuable communities and the occurrence of specially protected plant and animal species. On the basis of the results of these surveys, the subject condition prescribes that the competent nature protection authority shall be requested to grant an exemption from the protection conditions for the specially protected species concerned before construction commences and to specify appropriate mitigation and compensation measures.

This procedure is necessary in order to ensure up-to-date information on the state of the environment at the time of commencement of the project and necessary for an accurate assessment of the exemptions granted and the establishment of appropriate and precise conditions in order to maximise compensation and minimise environmental impacts.

Therefore, the requirement to submit a supporting decision on the authorisation of an exemption pursuant to Section 56 of the Act on Nature and Landscape Protection is premature and unjustified in the light of the binding opinion of the EIA and the applicable legal regulations.

10. *Children of the Earth requests control of the documentation of a convincing analysis of compliance with the requirements of the exemption under Section 56 of Act No. 114/1992 Coll.*

Settlement:

As it follows from the settlement of the objection regarding the submission of exemption decisions pursuant to Section 56 of the Nature and Landscape Conservation Act (see Section 1.1.2.1.9 above), according to Section 2k of the Linear Act, it is not necessary to document pending exemption decisions as a basis for the issuance of a planning decision. It would thus be premature and unjustified to carry out the required analysis of compliance with the requirements of that decision already in the context of the planning procedure.

11. *Children of the Earth request a check on the inclusion in the file of all mandatory statements, opinions, binding opinions and supporting decisions*

Settlement:

In accordance with Section 86 of the Building Act, the Třebíč Municipal Authority has assessed the completeness of the submitted application for a zoning decision and the attached documents. As the application contained all the required elements, the Třebíč Municipal Authority announced the commencement of the planning procedure by means of public notice No. OV 46969/21 - SPIS 7232/2021/Pec dated 29 July 2021.

In the course of the above-mentioned proceedings, updated binding opinions of the authorities concerned and statements of other entities were submitted, in particular due to their limited validity or (in the case of the binding opinion on felling of trees issued by the Municipal Authority of Rouchovany) due to its cancellation and replacement by a binding opinion issued by the newly authorised Municipal Authority of Dukovany (see the list of binding opinions, statements and opinions submitted for the construction above). Within the framework of these updates, a communication from the Třebíč Municipal Office, Department of Development and Spatial Planning, dated 19 October 2022 was issued, among others, in which the compliance of individual buildings with Update no. 4 of the Spatial Development Policy of the Czech Republic, and in which it is stated that there has been no substantial change in the conditions under which the binding opinion of the Třebíč Municipal Office, Department of Development and Spatial Planning, was issued and therefore remains valid.

As it is clear from the overview of the binding opinions of the concerned authorities mentioned above, during the above proceedings the binding opinion on felling of trees issued by the Municipal Authority of Rouchovany was cancelled by the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region due to possible doubts regarding the bias of this administrative authority. The Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region therefore subsequently entrusted the issue of the binding opinion in question to the Municipal Authority of Dukovany, which issued the relevant binding opinion on the felling of trees, with the binding conditions set out in that binding opinion being taken over in the conditions of this decision.

Furthermore, it should be stressed that the authorities concerned issuing the supporting decisions, binding opinions, opinions and statements for this decision were among the addressees of the invitation to familiarise themselves with the documents and to comment on them pursuant to Section 36(3) of the Administrative Procedure Code, which the building authority last made on 21 June 2023 after it had collected all the supporting documents for the decision. Even in response to this invitation, the authorities concerned did not file any additional acts beyond those already on file in the previous proceedings.

The Building Authority, after a thorough examination of the documents on file for the above-mentioned procedure (including decisions, binding opinions and statements of the authorities concerned, statements of the owners/managers of technical and transport infrastructure and other entities, as well as other documents submitted by the applicant), found that these documents are up-to-date and complete as of the date of this decision and form a sufficient basis for the issuance of this decision.

12. *Children of the Earth demand a review of the temporal validity of all documents, in particular*

the underlying decisions and statements of owners and managers of technical and transport infrastructure

Settlement:

In accordance with Section 86 of the Building Act, the Třebíč Municipal Authority has assessed the completeness of the submitted application for a zoning decision and the attached documents. As the application contained all the required elements, the Třebíč Municipal Authority announced the commencement of the planning permission procedure by means of public notice No OV 46969/21 - SPIS 7232/2021/Pec dated 29 July 2021. None of the state administration bodies concerned added anything to the request of the building authority, as all the binding opinions and statements had already been submitted by the applicant before the commencement of the procedure.

In the course of the above-mentioned procedure, updated binding opinions of the authorities concerned and statements of other entities were submitted due to their limited validity (see the summary of binding opinions, statements and statements submitted for the construction above).

The Building Authority, after a thorough examination of the documents on file for the above-mentioned procedure (including decisions, binding opinions and statements of the authorities concerned, statements of the owners/managers of technical and transport infrastructure and other entities, as well as other documents submitted by the applicant), found that these documents are up-to-date and complete as of the date of this decision and form a sufficient basis for the issuance of this decision.

1.1.2.2 Children of the Earth's proposals to impose the requirements of the planning permission, if issued

- 1. Children of the Earth propose to impose a requirement in the planning decision, namely that a Vegetation Management Project be submitted for the construction procedure, which will include a planting list of only locally native tree species and the species composition of the grasses will include only a local so-called butterfly mix.***

Settlement:

The requirement to submit the Vegetation Management Project for the construction procedure does not need to be included in this decision, as the solution of vegetation and related landscaping, including the vegetation elements and biotechnical measures used, is, according to Annex 12 of Decree No. 499/2006 Coll., on the documentation of buildings, as amended, a necessary part of the project documentation for the issuance of a building permit (specifically in Chapter B.5 (Solution of vegetation and related landscaping) of Part B. Summary Technical Report). The requirement is thus directed at the method of construction or reclamation, which is not subject to the planning procedure. Furthermore, the issue of protecting nature and the landscape from non-native invasive species is addressed in the legislation in force, in particular in the Nature and Landscape Protection Act.

As regards the new planting already established on the basis of this decision in its operative part, it is a so-called replacement planting for the felled trees, which was established by the building authority in a specific manner, including the exact species of trees to be planted, their number, trunk circumference and location on specific plots. In addition, the building authority also set out the conditions for the care of the new trees, including a list of what this care should include. The above procedure was based on the requirements of the Municipality of Dukovany, as the competent nature protection authority, formulated in its binding opinion, which were respected by the construction authority.

- 2. The Children of the Earth propose to impose a requirement in the planning decision, namely that a monitoring plan for all environmental components from the start of field work to the issuance of the building permit be submitted to the construction procedure.***

Settlement:

The requirement to submit a Monitoring Plan for all environmental components from the start of the field work to the issuance of the building permit for the construction procedure was not considered justified by the building authority. Environmental protection during construction, protection of the construction site surroundings and requirements for related remediation, demolition, tree felling is, according to Annex 12 of Decree No. 499/2006 Coll., on construction documentation, as amended, a necessary part of the project documentation for the issuance of a building permit (specifically in Chapter B.8 (Principles of construction organisation) of Part B. Summary Technical Report). The project documentation for the building permit should also include a description of the environmental impact of the construction and its protection (specifically in chap. B.6 (Description of the environmental impact of the construction and its protection) of Part B. Summary Technical Report).

Furthermore, it can be noted that in relation to the protection of the environment throughout the construction of the New Nuclear Power Plant at the Dukovany site, the Ministry of the Environment set out in its binding EIA opinion conditions no. 32, 33, 34 and 37, which require to ensure that prior to the commencement of the construction of the project, an ecological (biological) supervisor is appointed for the entire course of the project, who will in particular supervise compliance with the established conditions for nature protection, monitor the construction areas for the presence of plants and animals, non-native and invasive plant species and European sites of European importance, monitor the risk of possible excessive dust pollution during construction work, propose protective and preventive measures and ensure their implementation. These conditions have subsequently been taken over in full in the operative part of this decision.

This fact was also referred to by the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region, Department of Environment and Agriculture, in its communication of 18 November 2020 issued on the construction from the point of view of nature protection, which emphasised that the appointed biological supervision will supervise the respect of plant and animal protection throughout the construction, monitor and subsequently propose solutions in the event of the occurrence of non-native and invasive species and prepare interim reports on the interventions carried out from the point of view of nature protection interests.

3. *Children of the Earth propose to add to the conditions of the planning permission a mandatory requirement that landscaping/roofing works should only be carried out between 1 September and 31 March of the current year.*

Settlement:

The Planning Authority firstly notes that the time limitation on the carrying out of the ground works requested in the objection relates to the carrying out of the construction, which is not subject to consideration in the planning procedure.

Moreover, the requirement is not supported by the valid legal regulations of the Czech Republic. The implementation of the field/roofing works depends on the actual meteorological conditions, when it is advisable to implement these works to the maximum extent possible in the period without frost and without increased precipitation, whether rain or snow, or in the period following it, when the soil is still significantly waterlogged.

The construction is a transport construction, it takes place in the corridor along the existing roads II/152 and III/15249 with minimal overlaps into the surroundings, which will be dominantly influenced by the main construction within the construction "Set of buildings in the area of the nuclear facility "New Nuclear Source in the locality of Dukovany" (about which a joint zoning procedure on the location and determination of the protection zone for the selected buildings forming the New Nuclear Source is conducted together with other selected buildings forming the New Nuclear Source at the construction office under ref. No. MPO 76834/2023).

1.1.3. OBJECTIONS OF THE ASSOCIATION CHILDREN OF THE EARTH NO. 2 - TO THE ISSUE OF BINDING OPINIONS ON FELLING OF NON-FOREST TREES

*In their objections, the Children of the Earth demand that the Municipality verify whether **it actually has on file all the necessary consent binding opinions** pursuant to Section 8(1) of Act No. 114/1992 Coll. on the permission to cut down all non-forest trees, including, for example, the permission to cut down **bushes on an area of 54.2 m²** (or not to cut them down!) as requested by the applicant on 25 **January 2021** to the Slavětice Municipality.*

Settlement:

The administrative file contains all the necessary consent binding opinions for the felling of trees. Following the cancellation of the binding opinion of the Municipal Authority of Rouchovany on felling of trees dated 29 March 2021 and the authorisation of the Municipal Authority of Dukovany, the Municipal Authority of Dukovany issued on 12 June 2023 a consent binding opinion on felling of trees pursuant to Section 8(6) and Section 9 of the Act on Nature and Landscape Protection.

As there is no need to cut down trees in the territory of the Slavětice municipality for the purposes of the permitted construction, there was no need to apply to the Slavětice Municipal Authority for a binding opinion on felling pursuant to Section 8 of the Act on Nature and Landscape Protection. The construction authority is aware from its official activities that the applicant's objectionable application of 25 January 2021 addressed to the Slavětice Municipal Authority is part of another administrative procedure (namely, the joint planning procedure on the location and establishment of the protection zone for the selected buildings forming the EDU NPP project under MPO 76834/2023).

1.1.3.1

Although the content of the binding opinion, if any, can be reviewed, in accordance with Section 149 of the Administrative Procedure Code, at the latest together with an appeal against the issuance of the subsequent (zoning) decision, Children of the Earth, out of caution, submits below its requirements for the content of such binding opinion(s).

*Children of the Earth specifically argue that the administrative authority must **assess the real necessity of felling these trees** (felling can be carried out **ONLY for serious reasons**) pursuant to **Section 8(1) of Act No. 114/1992 Coll.** According to the Children of the Earth, such a serious reason is, for example, a final zoning decision, which should be part of the application and the file (see, for example, the judgment of the Supreme Administrative Court of 21 August 2008, 4 As 20/2008-84 or the judgment of the Regional Court in Ústí nad Labem of 22 May 2013, no. 15 A 36/2011-100, which annulled the decision of both the defendant and the first instance authority on the felling of non-forest trees for the part of the D8-0805 Lovosice - Řehlovice motorway precisely because there was no final planning decision on file), or in this case it is possible to issue a binding consent opinion together with the planning decision.*

Settlement:

The justification of the binding opinion of the Municipal Authority of Dukovany on the felling of trees dated 12 June 2023 (issued instead of the Municipal Authority of Rouchovany) shows that the Municipal Authority of Dukovany took into account the objection of the assessment of the necessity of felling trees when assessing the application for the binding opinion. Specifically, it stated that, when issuing the binding opinion, the necessity of felling the trees in question and the interest in its implementation on the one hand and the interest in preserving the trees in question on the other hand were assessed, including in the context of the functional and aesthetic significance of the trees in question, which was assessed on the basis of the available documents and the local investigation carried out, as well as taking into account the location and function of the individual trees in the locality and their characteristics. On the basis of this comparison, according to Dukovany Municipality, the interest in carrying out the felling was shown to outweigh the interest in preserving the trees concerned.

The Building Authority considers the settlement of this objection by the Dukovany Municipality to be logical and sufficient. The binding opinion of the Dukovany Municipal Authority is the basis for issuing a permit for felling trees (which, according to the submitted documentation for the planning decision, is necessary for the implementation of the relevant construction) and for imposing replacement planting, which are part of the operative part of this decision in accordance with Section 8(6) of the Act on Nature

and Landscape Protection. This procedure is fully in accordance with Section 8(6) of the Nature and Landscape Conservation Act .

1.1.3.2

*Children of the Earth argue that the administrative authority should have the **binding EIA opinion** (issued pursuant to Section 9a(1), (3) and (4) of Act No. 100/2001 Coll., as amended on 1 April 2015) **in its file**, even though according to the law and the case law of the administrative courts it is not a mandatory basis for decision-making in the proceedings. It is an important technical basis for the decision-making of nature protection authorities under Act No. 114/1992 Coll., since such a binding EIA opinion contains conditions that relate to the subject of the felling procedure, it is appropriate for the administrative authority to take them into account in its decision-making (or to justify why this basis is not necessary in the file).*

Settlement:

The justification of the binding opinion of the Municipality of Dukovany on the felling of trees dated 12 June 2023 (issued instead of the Municipality of Rouchovany) shows that the Municipality of Dukovany had the binding opinion of the EIA at its disposal when issuing this binding opinion, as the Municipality of Dukovany was the local authority concerned, which received the binding opinion after its issue. At the same time, the recitals state that the content of the binding opinion fully corresponds to the content of the EIA binding opinion and does not contradict it in any way.

The requirements in this objection were thus reflected by the Municipal Authority Dukovany in the binding opinion, although the binding opinion of the EIA is not a mandatory part of the application for a binding opinion on felling of trees according to Section 4 of Decree No. 189/2013 Coll., on the protection of trees and permitting their felling, as amended, i.e. it is not the duty of the administrative authority to keep this binding opinion in the file. It is clear from the reasoning of the binding opinion of the Municipal Authority of Dukovany on felling of trees of 12 June 2023 that the content of the EIA binding opinion was taken into account when issuing the binding opinion on felling of trees and that the binding opinion is not in any way contradictory. Similarly, the EIA binding opinion was available to and taken into account by the construction authority, which also verified that the decision to cut down trees issued by it corresponded to the content of the EIA binding opinion. The objection in question is therefore unfounded.

1.1.3.3

*Children of the Earth argue that the administrative authority should have on file **all final decisions on exemptions pursuant to Section 56 of Act No. 114/1992 Coll.** to interfere with the biotopes of specially protected species of animals (no older than 7 years), even if they are not a mandatory basis for decision-making. However, these documents are also important for the decision-making of nature protection authorities under Act No. 114/1992 Coll., as they may contain conditions that may directly or indirectly concern the felling of non-forest trees, so that the administrative authority may take their conditions into account in its decision-making (or justify why these documents are not necessary in the file).*

Settlement:

From the justification of the binding opinion of the Municipal Authority Dukovany on felling of trees dated 12 June 2023 (issued instead of the Municipal Authority Rouchovany) it follows that the Municipal Authority Dukovany considers the requirement for a decision on exemptions pursuant to Section 56 of the Act on Nature and Landscape Protection to be unjustified and unfounded for the purpose of issuing a binding opinion on felling of trees, as the subject matter does not fall within its competence as a nature protection authority competent to issue a binding opinion on felling of trees.

The Building Authority fully agrees with the above statement of the Municipal Authority Dukovany. The decision to grant an exemption pursuant to Section 56 of the Act on Nature and Landscape Protection is not a mandatory part of the application for a binding opinion on felling of trees pursuant to Section 4 of Decree No. 189/2013 Coll., on the protection of trees and permitting their felling, as amended, i.e. the administrative authority is not obliged to keep this binding opinion on file. If the Municipal Authority of Dukovany were to deal with the issue of exemptions, this would clearly exceed its competence under the Act on Nature and Landscape Protection. The decision to grant an exemption pursuant to Section 56 of the Act on Nature and Landscape Protection is not even a mandatory part of

the application for a binding opinion on felling trees pursuant to Section 4 of Decree No. 189/2013 Coll., on the protection of trees and permitting their felling, as amended. The issued binding opinion on felling of trees is thus complete and constitutes a sufficient basis for a decision on the matter.

The Building Authority also stresses that this objection appears to be irrelevant in view of the legislative changes effective from 1 July 2023 (see the settlement of the objection in section 1.1.2.1.9 above).

1.1.3.4

*Children of the Earth further argue that the administrative authority should have a **binding consent opinion on file for the intervention in the HCV pursuant to Section 4(2) of Act No. 114/1992 Coll., if the non-forest tree species proposed for destruction grow on their territory, so that it will be a harmful intervention in the ecological-stabilising function of the HCV concerned. Without this consent, the felling cannot be authorised.***

Settlement:

From the justification of the binding opinion of the Municipal Authority Dukovany on felling of trees dated 12 June 2023 (issued instead of the Municipal Authority Rouchovany) it follows that the Municipal Authority Dukovany considers the requirement that for the purpose of issuing a binding opinion on felling of trees, a binding opinion on the intervention in a significant landscape element pursuant to Art. 2 of the Act on Nature and Landscape Protection as unjustified and unfounded, as the issue in question does not fall within its competence as a nature protection authority competent to issue binding opinions on felling of trees.

The Building Authority fully agrees with the above statement of the Municipal Authority Dukovany. If the Dukovany Municipal Authority were to deal with the issue of interference with a significant landscape element, it would clearly exceed its competence under the Nature and Landscape Protection Act. A binding opinion on the intervention in a significant landscape element pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Act on Nature and Landscape Protection is not even a mandatory part of the application for a binding opinion on felling trees pursuant to Section 4 of Decree No. 189/2013 Coll., on the protection of trees and permitting their felling, as amended. The issued binding opinion on felling of trees is thus complete and constitutes a sufficient basis for the decision in the case.

Moreover, in the case under consideration, the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region, Department of Environment and Agriculture, after evaluating the content of the documentation for the issuance of the zoning decision for the subject construction, concluded in its communication of 18 November 2020 that the subject binding opinion on the intervention in a significant landscape element will not be issued for this construction, as no impacts on significant landscape elements can be expected (see above). This was the basis for the decision of the building authority.

1.1.3.5

*Children of the Earth argue that the administrative authority should have a **binding consent opinion on the interference with the landscape character in the file pursuant to Section 12(2) of Act No. 114/1992 Coll., if the felled trees form alleys with an impact on the landscape character, as this may be a harmful interference with the landscape character. Without this consent, the felling cannot be authorised.***

Settlement:

From the justification of the binding opinion of the Municipal Authority Dukovany on felling of trees dated 12 June 2023 (issued instead of the Municipal Authority Rouchovany) it follows that the Municipal Authority Dukovany considers the requirement that for the purpose of issuing a binding opinion on felling of trees, a binding opinion on the impact on the landscape character according to Section 12 para. 2 of the Nature and Landscape Protection Act as unjustified and unfounded, as the issue in question does not fall within its competence as a nature protection authority competent to issue binding opinions on felling of trees.

The Building Authority fully agrees with the above statement of the Municipal Authority Dukovany. If the Dukovany Municipal Authority were to deal with the issue of landscape impact, this would clearly exceed its competence under the Nature and Landscape Protection Act. According to Section 4 of Decree No. 189/2013 Coll., on the protection of trees and the authorisation of their felling, as amended,

the binding opinion on the intervention in the landscape character pursuant to Section 12(2) of the Act on Nature and Landscape Protection is not a compulsory part of the application for a binding opinion on felling trees. The issued binding opinion on felling of trees is thus complete and constitutes a sufficient basis for the decision in the case.

Moreover, in the case under consideration, the Regional Authority of the Vysočina Region, Department of Environment and Agriculture, after evaluating the content of the documentation for the issuance of the zoning decision for the subject construction, concluded in its communication of 18 November 2020 that the subject binding opinion on the intervention into the landscape character will not be issued for this construction, as the construction under consideration cannot have an impact on the reduction of the landscape character due to its scale and location (see above). This was the basis for the decision of the building authority.

1.1.3.6

*Specifically, Children of the Earth argue that the administrative authority should have on file a **Dendrological Assessment** of the felled trees, which will complement the **Tree Inventory** with a detailed assessment of their condition, so that this assessment will describe the vitality of the trees, their health, etc., which will serve as evidence of the condition of the trees prior to their felling and as a basis for a functional and aesthetic assessment of the trees being disposed of.*

Settlement:

The justification of the binding opinion of the Municipal Authority of Dukovany on the felling of trees dated 12 June 2023 (issued instead of the Municipal Authority of Rouchovany) shows that the documents submitted by Elektrárna Dukovany II, a. s. included, among other things, a dendrological assessment, including an inventory of felled trees. These documents submitted by the applicant were sufficient, which was verified by the Municipality of Dukovany during the local investigation carried out during the assessment of the application in question. Although the data and supporting documents (including dendrological assessments) provided in the applications for binding felling opinions were sufficient, the Municipal Office of Dukovany also took into account the dendrological surveys of the site in question, which it had become familiar with in the course of its previous official activities (in particular in connection with the preparation of the comments on the objections of the parties to the proceedings concerning the binding felling opinions issued by the Municipal Office of Dukovany on 16 June 2006). 2.2021 in connection with the selected structures forming the New Nuclear Power Plant Dukovany, in the framework of the joint planning procedure on the location and establishment of the protection zone for the selected structures forming the NPP EDU project, filed with the construction office under the registration number MPO 76834/2023). Having familiarised itself with the content of these dendrological surveys, the Municipality of Dukovany stated that the data presented in the dendrological assessment, which was an annex to the application for a binding opinion on felling of trees, are in line with the dendrological surveys and that the required extent of felling approved by Elektrárna Dukovany II, a. s. in the binding opinion on felling of trees corresponds to the conclusions of the dendrological surveys and is even lower than what was considered in the dendrological surveys.

1.1.3.7

*Specifically, Children of the Earth argue that the administrative authority should **evaluate the functional and aesthetic significance of the trees** proposed for felling before issuing a felling permit pursuant to **Section 8(1) of Act No. 114/1992 Coll.**, which may, of course, be based on various expert methodologies or on the expert documentation of the application. However, according to the Children of the Earth, it is essential that this evaluation is carried out by the author and duly justified in order to be convincing and reviewable. It can therefore reasonably be expected that this assessment will examine the following characteristics of the trees to be felled: biological (ecological) function, sanitation and remediation function, insulation function, amelioration function, microclimatic function, recreational function, educational function, cultural function, aesthetic function and negative impact.*

In this sense, according to Children of the Earth, it is desirable to use the methodological instruction of the Ministry of the Environment in the Bulletin of the Ministry of the Environment, 7-8/2014, No. 5: [http://www.mzp.cz/web/edice.nsf/B95ED63879016512C1257D480045E0D2/\\$file/V%C4%9Bstn%C3%ADk_05_cervenec_srpen_opr.pdf](http://www.mzp.cz/web/edice.nsf/B95ED63879016512C1257D480045E0D2/$file/V%C4%9Bstn%C3%ADk_05_cervenec_srpen_opr.pdf)

Methodological instruction of the Ministry of Environment in the Ministry of Environment Bulletin, 4/2021, No. 4:

[https://www.mzp.cz/C1257458002F0DC7/cz/vestnik_mzp_2021/\\$FILE/SOTPR-Vestnik_duben_2021-210429.pdf](https://www.mzp.cz/C1257458002F0DC7/cz/vestnik_mzp_2021/$FILE/SOTPR-Vestnik_duben_2021-210429.pdf)

Within the framework of this evaluation, the administrative authority should, according to the Children of the Earth, carry out a personal inspection of the trees proposed to be felled in order to assess the condition of these trees (see the methodological instruction of the Ministry of the Environment), so that its description of this evaluation is convincing and verifiable (e.g. with photographic documentation). The management authority should also assess whether all the proposed tree species really need to be removed.

Settlement:

The justification of the binding opinion of the Municipal Authority of Dukovany on the felling of trees dated 12 June 2023 (issued instead of the Municipal Authority of Rouchovany) shows that the Municipal Authority of Dukovany, when assessing the application for a binding opinion, carried out a local investigation and evaluated the functional and aesthetic significance of the trees proposed for felling. The assessment took into account the position and function of individual trees, tree and shrub stands in the landscape (e.g. the dividing function of stands dividing intensively cultivated agricultural land, the visual covering function of trees in distant views in relation to high voltage lines, the accompanying function of trees along roads, the aesthetic function of trees in relation to small architectural objects). For the purpose of evaluating the specific stands that were the subject of the felling application, a table was prepared taking into account the biological function of the trees (bird nesting sites, cavities, possible presence of xylophagous insects), the landscape function of the trees (solitaire, part of a group, importance of the tree as an aesthetic element, visual effect, frequency of movement of people), the condition of the trees (vitality, health, perspective). The assessment shows that all of the objected aspects have been taken into account and the assessment of functional and aesthetic significance has been described and justified in words, including in relation to the location and function of individual trees, tree and shrub stands on the site and their characteristics. At the same time, the municipality of Dukovany has considered the functional and aesthetic significance of the trees to be felled on the one hand and the seriousness of the reasons for their felling in conflict with the construction project on the other.

It follows from the above that the requirements set out in this objection have already been reflected in the binding opinion on the felling of the trees, when the assessment of the functional and aesthetic significance has been described and justified in words, also taking into account the location and function of the individual trees, tree and shrub stands in the locality and their characteristics.

1.1.3.8

*In particular, Children of the Earth argue that the administrative authority should have on file a **professional expert opinion** that evaluates the condition and quality of the felled trees and **calculates the planting value of these destroyed trees**, which should then serve as a significant basis for the imposition of mandatory adequate replacement planting.*

Settlement:

The justification of the binding opinion of the Municipal Authority of Dukovany on the felling of trees dated 12 June 2023 (issued instead of the Municipal Authority of Rouchovany) shows that the requirement to provide a professional expert opinion assessing the condition, quality and horticultural value of the felled trees is not justified, as such a requirement is not supported by the law or the implementing decree. It is intended to serve as a basis for the property settlement between the applicant and the owner of the felled trees and therefore has no bearing on the issue of a binding opinion. The Municipal Authority of Dukovany adds that the documents submitted in the application, which included, inter alia, a dendrological assessment, were quite sufficient for the issuance of a binding opinion on the felling of the trees and enabled, inter alia, a proper assessment and evaluation of the ecological damage that could potentially result from the felling of the trees concerned and the extent of the replacement planting needed to compensate for this potential damage. At the same time, these documents are consistent with the results of the dendrological surveys, and the proposed (and subsequently approved) extent of felling is even lower than that assumed in the dendrological surveys.

The Building Authority agrees with the above settlement, also taking into account the fact that a professional expert opinion is not a mandatory part of the application for a binding opinion on felling of

trees according to Section 4 of Decree No. 189/2013 Coll., on the protection of trees and permitting their felling, as amended.

1.1.3.9

Children of the Earth further argue that if the administrative authority considers that the application for felling will be granted and issues a binding opinion on felling all or only some of the requested non-forest trees, then, according to Children of the Earth, the following is required:

- 1. The administrative authority should state that the investor will ensure **replacement planting of only native trees in the range of approximately 3-5 times the number of trees felled** (and if locally non-native, it should place such a felling permit on file before issuing it, in accordance with Section 5(4) and (5) of Act No. 114/1992 Coll.). At the same time, it may be noted that land suitable for replacement planting is registered by the competent administrative authority. The current calculator (2021) for the valuation of trees for planting is here: <https://vwww2.safetrees.cz/ocenovani-drevin/2021/>*
- 2. The administrative authority should state that the investor will ensure that the **new trees are cared for for a minimum of 5 years** from the date of planting, as felled trees and shrubs provide shelter (habitat) for a number of animals. If a new tree dies, it will be replaced immediately with the same species. The site for the replacement planting, indicating **the number of plots, the number of trees planted and the specific species to be planted**, will be determined by the administrative authority according to its register of land suitable for replacement planting (this obligation follows from Article 9(2) of Act No 114/1992 Coll.).*
- 3. The management authority should state that **the felling will only take place during the dormant season, i.e. from 1 October to 31 March of the current year**, as this will ensure that birds are not disturbed during the breeding season.*
- 4. The administrative authority should state that the felling was to take place **after the final planning permission had been granted**, so that the existence of the trees would be as long as possible in terms of time and there would be no unnecessary felling after the final planning permission had been granted.*
- 5. The administrative authority should state by when the replacement planting will be carried out, or preferably **within 2 years of the felling**.*

Settlement:

The justification of the binding opinion of the Municipal Authority Dukovany on felling of trees dated 12 June 2023 (issued instead of the Municipal Authority Rouchovany) shows that all the specific conditions of felling and parameters of replacement planting mentioned above fully comply with the requirements. On the basis of the assessed functional and aesthetic significance of the trees to be felled, the ecological damage caused by the felling of the trees has been determined. In order to compensate for this, the applicant has been required to carry out adequate (appropriate) replacement planting of native species corresponding to this ecological damage, suitable planting plots have been identified and aftercare is required for a maximum permissible duration of 5 years. The extent of the replacement planting was designed according to the nature of the land allocated for replacement planting and the needs of its owners. For the calculation, the programme for valuation of trees according to the Methodology of the AOPK ČR Valuation of trees growing outside the forest available at the time of issuing the binding opinions was used, taking into account the functional and aesthetic significance of the trees (tabular assessment of functional and aesthetic significance). The felling of trees is linked to the implementation of the construction.

The time limit for felling has not been strictly set in the above described procedure for the assessment of the application for a binding opinion on felling of trees, but felling is required to be carried out preferably during the dormant season. This requirement corresponds to Section 5 of Decree No 189/2013 Coll. on the protection of trees and authorisation of their felling, as amended, according to which felling of trees is generally carried out during the dormant season. It is therefore not a strict and non-negotiable condition that would exclude the possibility of felling outside the dormant season in justified cases. Under the terms of this decision, felling is to be carried out, where possible, during the dormant season, depending on the current construction schedule. It is the conflict with the current construction schedule that may justify the permitted project to consider felling outside the growing

season, especially in view of the close interdependence of the permitted construction with the other constructions comprising the New Nuclear Source at Dukovany project.

At the same time, the obligation to carry out the replacement planting within 24 months of the felling and to care for the planted trees for five years to ensure the adaptation and acclimatization of the newly planted trees.

According to the building authority, the requirements for the extent of replacement planting, care of newly planted trees and time limits for felling of trees mentioned in these objections have already been reflected in the binding opinion on felling of trees and subsequently taken over by the building authority in the operative part No. II of this decision.

1.2 "VODA Z TETČIC z.s."

Date of delivery: 30. 9. 2021

In accordance with the information on page 6 of the notice of initiation of the subsequent planning proceedings, the Water from Tetčice z.s. submitted the following objection to the procedure for the location of the construction "Connection of the NJZ EDU to the transport infrastructure" by its submission of 28 September 2021:

The provisions of § 88 and § 90 of the Building Act apply to the connection of the construction to the transport infrastructure.

The Water from Tetčice z.s. does not agree with the placement of the structures specified in the notifications before the legal force of the decision on the placement of the main structure, which is the nuclear power plant itself.

If the provisions of Sections 88 and 90 of the Building Act are to be respected, then both planning decisions must be

1) "New nuclear source at the Dukovany site"

*2) "Connection of the NJZ EDU to the transport infrastructure"
issued only in a joint decision.*

Settlement:

The construction "Set of buildings in the area of the nuclear facility "New nuclear source in the locality of Dukovany"" and the construction "Connection of the NPP EDU to the transport infrastructure" were discussed with the concerned authorities and owners/managers of public technical and transport infrastructure separately and the consenting binding opinions, statements, consents and partial decisions were issued separately. The applicant did not request the consolidation of the procedures for the location of the above-mentioned structures and the construction authority did not consider it expedient in view of the nature of the construction, and the individual procedures were conducted as defined by the applicant in its applications. At the same time, however, the building authority has ensured the coordination of functionally related buildings in condition 1.2 in the operative part of this decision.

For the sake of completeness, it can be stated that in terms of the impact of the subject construction on the interests of the association "VODA Z TETČIC z.s." (which deals, among other things, with the protection of nature and the landscape and the environment), it is significant that a substantial part of the permitted construction, together with other selected constructions forming the New Nuclear Source at the Dukovany site, were assessed in their entire context within the framework of the environmental impact assessment (EIA) process. Following this assessment, the Ministry of the Environment issued a binding EIA opinion. For the remaining part of the construction to be located on the Class III road no. III/15249, the Ministry of the Environment, Department of Environmental Impact Assessment and Integrated Prevention, issued a statement on 14 October 2020 under Section 23(3)(a) of the EIA Act under no. MZP/2020/710/4011, according to which this part of the construction, which was not assessed in the framework of the binding EIA opinion, is not in itself a significant change to the existing project "New nuclear source at the Dukovany site" and is therefore not subject to environmental impact assessment under the EIA Act.

1.3 Association of South Bohemian Mothers, z. s.

Date of delivery: 10.9.2021 (objections) + 26.11.2022 (comments) + 20.7.2023 (comments on documents collected)

OBJECTIONS OF THE ASSOCIATION OF SOUTH BOHEMIAN MOTHERS OF 10.9.2021

In its submission of 10 September 2021, the Jihočeské matky, z.s. argued that the documentation submitted for the zoning decision should assess the impact of the operation of further nuclear units on the water conditions in the area with regard to the sufficient supply of cooling water to the nuclear power plant and the water conditions in the streams above and below the nuclear power plant.

Justification of the request of the Association of South Bohemian Mothers, z.s.:

The opinion of the Ministry of the Environment requires that the water management balances be updated only at the stage of the building permit: Quote: "Within the documentation for the building permit, update the results of the water management balances (resp. The water balance (as part of the water supply analysis, as well as the security of abstraction), on the basis of new data from the selected NJZ contractor and on the basis of the extended flow series in the Jihlava River in the Jihlava - Ptáčov profile, the current values of the then valid minimum residual flow in the Jihlava - Mohelno profile below and other actually monitored data on climatic changes (temperature, precipitation).

The preparer of the documentation for the issuance of the planning permission accepted the requirement of the Ministry of Environment and stated, quote. The water balances (i.e. the security of abstraction) will be updated within the documentation for the building permit on the basis of new data from the selected contractor NJZ EDU and on the basis of the extended flow series in the Jihlava River in the Jihlava - Ptáčov profile, the current values of the then valid minimum residual flow in the Jihlava - Mohelno profile below and other actually monitored data on climatic changes (temperature, precipitation)."

The documentation deals in great detail with issues such as dendrological or hydrogeological surveys. That is, of course, fine. However, the issue of the water supply to the NPP EDU should be evaluated in the same detail. This is especially so in view of climate change. These were also taken into account in the EIA documentation: "The NPP is being prepared for a long period of operation. According to the timetable presented in the documentation, the end of operation of the NPP can be expected around 2100. Therefore, the effects of climate change cannot be excluded during this period. The analyses carried out in the preparation of the dossier are therefore based on both a climate scenario of ± 0 °C (which represents the current state of the climate) and a climate scenario of $+2$ °C (which represents a conservative temperature change from the current state of the climate by 2100).

The hydrological assessment "Evaluation of the effects of the new nuclear power plant at the Dukovany site on surface and groundwater", prepared in 2017 as part of the EIA process, clearly shows that the negative impact on the flow in the stream is to a much greater extent due to the considered climate change (70%), not to the abstraction for cooling of the power plant (30%). This is, in the opinion of the Association, a serious argument for the availability of relevant documents for decision-making on the NPP EDU already now, at the stage of the planning procedure.

For example, climatologist Pavel Zahradníček of the CzechGlobe Global Change Research Institute of the Academy of Sciences points out that climate models were 40 percent underestimated compared to what we have experienced in the last 15 years. Earlier predictions suggested that the current state would not occur until around 2040. Over the last 60 years, the Czech Republic has warmed by an average of 2 degrees Celsius. The number of summer days when the temperature exceeds 25 degrees is half as many today as it was half a century ago. For example, the Highlands, which used to be cooler and closer to the mountains, have changed over the last 60 years to a typical central European climate (source: <https://plus.rozhlas.cz/klimaticke-modely-byly-podhodnocene-dnesni-stav-mel-u-nas-nastat-az-v-roce-2040-8519921>).

The issue of cooling, or maintaining the minimum flow required to sustain life in the stream below the plant, and other issues related to the discharge of chemicals (including radioactive ones) into the hydrosphere, represent one of the most significant environmental impacts of the EDU.

For this reason, Jihočeské matky, z.s. requests that the documentation for the planning procedure be supplemented with a hydrological assessment related to the individual performance alternatives (similar

to the current assessment from 2017), which will take into account the current scientific knowledge in the field of climate change.

COMMENTS FROM THE SOUTH BOHEMIAN MOTHERS ASSOCIATION DATED 26.11.2022

According to the Association of South Bohemian Mothers, z.s., in its comments dated 26 November 2022, the documents (statements) submitted in the proceedings in question also contain information concerning the intention to locate the new nuclear source as a whole. The comments cite the conclusions of the opinion of the Ministry of the Environment, which was issued in the framework of the EIA process for the New Nuclear Source at Dukovany. It is clear from the comments that the authorities based themselves only on the EIA process in question and did not request an update of the data concerning the sufficiency of the water supply for the cooling of the new unit at Dukovany. The need to update these data was also pointed out in the objection submitted in the context of the planning procedure.

The association therefore again draws attention to the necessity to evaluate the impact of the operation of further nuclear units on the water management conditions in the area with regard to the sufficient supply of cooling water to the nuclear power plant and the water management conditions in the streams above and below the nuclear power plant.

*The hydrological assessment "Evaluation of the effects of the new nuclear power plant at the Dukovany site on surface and groundwater", prepared in 2017 as part of the EIA process, clearly shows that the negative impact on the flow in the stream is to a much greater extent due to the considered climate change (70%), rather than the abstraction for cooling of the power plant (30%). **This, in the Society's view, is a strong argument for having the relevant background documents for the decision on the NJZ EDU already now, at the planning stage. The Society believes that without these documents, the planning decision cannot be issued.***

For this reason, it is again requesting that the documentation for the zoning proceedings be supplemented with a hydrologic assessment related to the individual performance alternatives (similar to the existing 2017 assessment) that takes into account current scientific knowledge in the area of climate change.

COMMENTS FROM THE ASSOCIATION OF SOUTH BOHEMIAN MOTHERS ON THE DOCUMENTS COLLECTED ON 20.7.2023

The Association of South Bohemian Mothers, z.s., in its comments on the collected documents dated 20 July 2023, essentially repeated its objections and comments already made in previous submissions. Specifically, it stated that in its comments dated November 2022 (26/11/2022), it had raised the need to update the data relating to the hydrological assessment and associated water availability for cooling of the new nuclear units as part of the ongoing planning process. It also pointed out that the state administration authorities concerned (which are responsible for water management issues) in their comments only quoted the conclusions of the opinion of the Ministry of the Environment, which was issued in the context of the EIA process for the new nuclear power plant at Dukovany in 2017. The authorities based their comments only on the EIA process in question and did not request an update of the data relating to the sufficiency of the water supply for cooling the new unit at Dukovany. The company stated that the need to update these data was also pointed out in its objection submitted on 10 September 2021 in the context of its own planning procedure.

After studying the Summary Technical Report prepared by ÚJV Řež and the statements of the concerned state administration authorities, the Jihočeské matky, z.s. association states that the data concerning the sufficiency of water for cooling of the new nuclear units have not been updated and supplemented.

The Association again draws attention to the need to assess the impact of the operation of further nuclear units on the water conditions in the area with regard to the sufficient supply of cooling water to the nuclear power plant and the water conditions in the streams above and below the nuclear power plant.

*The hydrological assessment "Evaluation of the effects of the new nuclear power plant at the Dukovany site on surface and groundwater", prepared in 2017 as part of the EIA process, clearly shows that the negative impact on the flow in the stream is to a much greater extent due to the considered climate change (70%), rather than the abstraction for cooling of the power plant (30%). **This, in the Society's view, is a strong argument for having the relevant background information for the decision on the NW EDU already now, at the planning stage. The Society believes that without this background information a planning decision cannot be made.***

The association also reiterates its request to supplement the documentation for the planning procedure with a hydrological assessment related to the individual performance alternatives (similar to the existing EIA from 2017), which will take into account the current scientific knowledge in the field of climate change. For example, the very comprehensive Technical Summary Report includes details such as the design of elevators and staircases in the new nuclear source area. These should and certainly will be further addressed in the construction procedure. However, in the Association's view, what should be assessed as a matter of priority already at the planning stage are the issues of a sufficient water supply for the new nuclear power plant. The meteorological situation of the present day shows that climate change associated with extreme temperatures and drought is becoming a reality in the Czech Republic, which may affect the operation of nuclear power plants.

Settlement of objections and comments:

The objections in question are not directed against the construction "Connection of the NPP EDU to the transport infrastructure", but against the construction "Set of buildings in the area of the nuclear facility "New nuclear source in the locality of Dukovany"", which is the subject of the joint planning proceedings on the location and determination of the protection zone for selected buildings related to the project of the New nuclear source in the locality of Dukovany, conducted under the file number MPO 76834/2023.

For the sake of completeness, it can be noted that the nature and character of the permitted construction "Connection of the NPP EDU to the transport infrastructure" and also the documentation for the decision on the location of the permitted construction show that the construction in question does not have an impact on the water management conditions in the area (including the impact on the supply of cooling water to the New Nuclear Power Plant at the Dukovany site or the impact on the water management conditions in the streams above and below the nuclear power plant). The requirement to supplement the documentation for the planning procedure with a hydrological assessment relating to the individual power alternatives is therefore unjustified from the point of view of the planning procedure.

1.4. Banskobystrický samosprávny kraj, Department of Urban Planning and Environment

The Banská Bystrica Self-Governing Region as a concerned authority, informed about the planning procedure for the buildings prepared on the basis of the plan "New nuclear source in the locality of Dukovany", has no objections to the information and documents for the issuance of planning decisions on the location of the above-mentioned buildings and recommends to meet the conditions set for the planning procedure in the Decision MZP/2019/710/7762 of 30 August 2019 of the Ministry of Environment of the Czech Republic.

Settlement:

The conditions set out for the planning procedure in the binding EIA opinion are adequately reflected in the documentation of the permitted construction.

The method of taking into account the relevant conditions of the above-mentioned binding EIA opinion with respect to the construction "Connection of the NJZ EDU to the transport infrastructure" is described in accordance with Decree No. 499/2006 Coll., on the documentation of buildings, as amended, in the dedicated chapter (B.6.d) of Part B. Summary Technical Report, which is part of the documentation for the application for a zoning decision on the location of this construction.

The above described recommendation of the Banská Bystrica Self-Governing Region is therefore respected.

1.5 Office of Nuclear Supervision of the Slovak Republic

Date of delivery: 1. 10. 2021

V odpovedi ze dne 28. 9. 2021 je uvedeno, že dňa 30. augusta 2021 bol na Úrad jadrového nadzoru Slovenskej republiky (ÚJD SR) jako dotknutý orgán doručený list Ministerstva životného prostredia Slovenskej republiky (MŽP SR) č.11585/2021-1.7./zg 46719/2021 46720/2021 dated 27 August 2021, informing the ÚJD SR that on 20 August 2021 the Department of Environmental Impact Assessment of the Ministry of Environment of the Slovak Republic received a letter from the Ministry of Environment of the Czech Republic informing pursuant to Art. 7(3) of the EIA Directive of the Ministry of the Environment of

the Slovak Republic as the contact point of the affected party about the commencement of the planning procedure for the construction 'Connection of the EDU NPP to the transport infrastructure' and the joint planning procedure for the construction 'Drainage of rainwater from the EDU NPP by the Lipňanský potok vč. retention', 'Set of buildings on the nuclear facility site 'New nuclear source in the Dukovany site' , 'Underground 110 kV cable line of the NJZ EDU from the TR Slavětice substation', '400 kV line - power output of V883 and V884 for the NJZ EDU' , 'Raw water supply lines from the Mohelno hydroelectric power station and a new water tank for the NJZ EDU' , 'Waste water drainage from the NJZ EDU and the HPP' , 'Drainage of wastewater from the construction of the NJZ EDU to the Skryja reservoir' , 'Drainage of rainwater from the NJZ EDU area to the Skryja reservoir' , 'Drainage of rainwater from the NJZ EDU area to the Lipňanský brook' , 'Drainage of rainwater from the NJZ EDU site facilities to the Heřmanický brook' and 'Dedicated roads to provide access to other people's land on the NJZ EDU site'.

In its letter in question, the MoEW SR informed the ÚJD SR that, on the basis of Article 7(3) of the EIA Directive, the ÚJD SR has the opportunity to provide its opinion on the relevant information published on the website of the MoEW SR in writing within 30 days of receipt of the letter from the MoEW SR to the address of the construction authority - Městský úřad Třebíč, Department of Construction.

In connection with the above, the ÚJD SR, as an affected authority pursuant to Article 6(1) of the EIA Directive in conjunction with Section 52(2) of the Act, hereby uses its possibility to provide its opinion under Article 7(3) of the EIA Directive. In particular, the ÚJD SR appreciates the Czech Republic's action at this stage of the project 'New nuclear source at the Dukovany site' in order to fulfil the principle of transparency and compliance with the international legal framework and national legislation. After studying the relevant information published on the website of the Ministry of Environment of the Slovak Republic, and in particular in view of the fact that there are already 4 nuclear units in operation at the Dukovany site (where the new nuclear source project is to be implemented), the ÚJD SR would recommend the Czech side to consider the possibility of installing (before or during the construction of the new nuclear source) at the site of the projects in question concerning wastewater and precipitation water a monitoring system for measuring radionuclides released into the environment, if the installation of such a monitoring system before or during the construction of the new nuclear source has not been planned so far.

At the same time, the SRSU proposes that the Slovak Republic continues to be kept informed of further steps taken by the Czech Republic in this regard within the framework of existing bilateral and multilateral relations.

Settlement:

Considering the nature and character of the permitted construction "Connection of the NPP EDU to the transport infrastructure" and also the documentation for the issuance of the decision on the location of the permitted construction, it follows that the recommendation regarding the installation of a monitoring system for measuring discharged radionuclides does not concern this construction (on the contrary, by its nature it falls within the scope of the joint zoning proceedings on the location and establishment of the protection zone for selected constructions related to the New Nuclear Source at the Dukovany site, conducted under MPO 76834/2023). The requirement for the installation of a monitoring system is therefore unjustified in the context of the above-mentioned zoning procedure.

1.6 OIŽP - Civic Initiative for Environmental Protection, z.s.

Date of delivery: submitted to the Municipal Office of Třebíč, Department of Construction, on 3 August 2023 and subsequently forwarded by this office to the MIT on 8 August 2023

Comments of the OIŽP - Civic Initiative for Environmental Protection z.s. on the Application for a Planning Decision JEDU II

1.6.1 OIŽP objects that the list of input documents does not include such documents that affect weather effects resulting from climate changes already evident in the wider vicinity of the JEDU, which may negatively affect not only the eventual completed power plant, but also its construction (workers, site equipment, etc.).

- 1.6.2 *In its comments, the OIŽP further states: page 40 - "The construction area and the area of the NPP EDU site facilities will be completely separated from the operating parts of the existing nuclear facilities at the Dukovany site."*

There is no precise indication of how the separation will be made, whether new separating elements will be added, whether there will be a separation corridor, road, etc. between the construction area and the site equipment area.

- 1.6.3 *In its comments, the OIE further states: page 40 - "The construction of the entire NPP project will be carried out in such a way that it will not restrict the operation of existing nuclear facilities and will not affect the level of nuclear safety, radiation protection, security of nuclear facilities and nuclear material, and the provision of radiation emergency management."*

What is missing here is an indication of the completely opposite influence. The existing nuclear facility, which also has no containment, may affect the construction of the NPP. The OIE requests that this fact be added.

- 1.6.4 *In its comments, the OIWP further states: page 40 - "and will be implemented so that, with exceptions (e.g., the EDU1-4 joint raw water pumping station), they are independent of existing nuclear facilities."*

Water should be drawn from multiple sources. This is shown by the safety experience of nuclear power plants around the world. Here, according to the OIWP, the project needs to be modified for far greater safety.

- 1.6.5 *In its comments, the OIŽP further states: page 42 - "The content of radionuclides in gaseous and liquid effluents will be minimized according to the principles of optimization of radiation protection below the level given by the authorized limits and controlled so as not to endanger the environment and the health of the population. The specific solution for the protection of the general public is described in Chapter B.2.5.6."*

After seventy years of nuclear power plant operation, no radionuclides should be released from a nuclear facility into the environment in a controlled manner with modern technology. If this is the case, the media should give sufficient advance notice to the public. We are not aware that this information is widely available in the country.

- 1.6.6 *Page 109 - "List of objects in the vicinity classified according to the Act on Prevention of Major Accidents that may be affected by the location of the set of buildings - For the NPP EDU, based on the experience of operating nuclear power plants in the Czech Republic, it is not expected to be classified in Group A or B according to the Act on Prevention of Major Accidents. If, during the design, implementation, operation or decommissioning, facts requiring the classification of the NPP EDU in Group A or B arise, the procedure will be followed in accordance with the Act on Prevention of Major Accidents."*

In the opinion of the association, by not including the NPP in any of the groups of objects, the OIŽP completely ignores the increasing trend of risk factors resulting from both climate change and the development of the security situation in Europe. Although according to the Czech law and the experience of operating power plants in the Czech Republic it is not expected to include them, we would like to remind you of the situation when strong winds, approaching the strength of a tornado, some time ago lifted and rolled the roof of the engine room of the second unit at Temelín NPP and knocked down several electricity pylons. A similarly strong wind would probably have damaged the existing Dukovany NPP much more severely. Dangerous meteorological phenomena are increasing in our country every year, and we are even being informed in advance of the possible occurrence of tornadoes. Taking into account the safety situation, and the current alarming IAEA reports from Ukraine (Enerhodar NPP), it seems to the OIŽP incredible that only the experience

of operating nuclear power plants on our territory is considered for the NPP NPP! The OIE Society asks to reconsider and add experience from Europe.

- 1.6.7 *In its comments, OIŽP further states: page 111 - "The urban concept of the site should not change in principle compared to the current situation, i.e. it should spatially and functionally complement the already existing structure, while the NW EDU campus should be seamlessly connected to the campus of the existing EDU 1-4."*

How can a more massive building with containment smoothly relate to and complement the considerably lighter flat "factory" roof without containment at the existing Dukovany NPP site? The difference in appearance between historic and contemporary technology should be better expressed here. This description does not correspond to reality.

- 1.6.8 *In its comments, the OIE further states: page 112 - "in the event of a turbine destruction, non-redundant buildings related to the safe operation of the nuclear facility were not affected by the rotor."*

Given the dangers of a huge rotating machine, it is the opinion of the OIWP that consideration should be given to whether it would be more economical and safer to choose safer smaller turbines. Or to build other types of power plants with electronic sources instead of the highly outdated atomic technology. In the opinion of the OIWP, it is quite clear that they have a far greater future.

- 1.6.9 *In its comments, OIŽP also states: page 152 - "Operating fuel - diesel or light fuel oil (LTO) for the auxiliary boiler house (approx. 2000 t/year)."*

A source that is called green should have this function provided by a greener source. The OWP requests reconsideration.

- 1.6.10 *In its comments, OIWP further states: page 153 - "Releases of radioactive substances into the environment."*

OIŽP recommends not to implement these or to choose an adequate technology that works without radioactive discharges.

- 1.6.11 *In its comments, the EIR further states: page 175 - "No heat removal is contemplated outside of the EDU Nursery."*

Czech media reported about the possibility of heating a part of Brno with heat from the Dukovany NPP. Why is nothing similar mentioned here? It should be added if you want to build.

- 1.6.12 *In its comments, the OIWP further states: page 176 - "This must be fully respected in subsequent design stages. Not fully checked."*

- 1.6.13 *In its comments, the OIE further states: page 178 - "Information Center".*

In the experience of the OIŽP, it would be more practical to build a separate reinforced concrete building. At one of the first nuclear power stations on the north coast of Scotland the OIE were not allowed into the information centre. They said it was in a dilapidated state. This could be repeated at Dukovany in time.

- 1.6.14 *In its comments, the HOA further states: page 179 - "Fencing of the guarded and protected area."*

Will the guarded and protected area, including complete fencing, be in place before the fuel is committed? In the opinion of the OIWP, this information is missing and requests that it be added to the documents.

1.6.15 In its comments, the OIE further states: page 180 - "Shelters (shelters)".

Will the shelters be sized for nuclear weapons? Russia has threatened to use them several times in the last year.

1.6.16 In its comments, the OIWP further states: page 195 - "Raw Water".

In the opinion of the OIŽP, it would be much safer to create an alternative supply from another source instead of upgrading the existing water supply. This is after the experience of the last few years with the cooling of the NPP in France and the Zaporozhye NPP. In the opinion of the OIWP, would be a good supply to add to the project.

1.6.17 In its comments, the OIE further states: page 202 - "The nuclear power plant will be designed to ensure nuclear safety, radiation protection, radiation monitoring, radiation emergency management, security and non-proliferation throughout its life cycle."

The OIE asks to add that this does not apply in the event of an extraordinary terrorist attack and during wartime.

1.6.18 In its comments, the OIE further states: page 205 - "The most important task at this level is the containment of radioactive materials within the containment envelope."

There is no mention of what would follow if an accident were to occur at the existing four units of the Dukovany NPP due to the lack of a containment envelope. The OIE requests to be added, as this may happen during the possible construction, possible operation of the Dukovany NPP, but also after the shutdown of the existing four units of the Dukovany NPP.

Summary settlement of comments 1.6.1 - 1.6.18:

These comments are not directed against the construction "Connection of the NPP EDU to the transport infrastructure", but against the construction "Set of buildings in the nuclear facility "New nuclear source in the locality Dukovany"", which is the subject of a joint planning procedure on the location and determination of the protection zone for selected buildings related to the project of the New nuclear source in the locality Dukovany, conducted under the registration number MPO 76834/2023.

From the nature and character of the permitted construction "Connection of the NJZ EDU to the transport infrastructure" and also from the documentation for the issuance of the decision on the location of the permitted construction, it follows that the construction in question does not have an impact on the aspects mentioned in the comments of the OIŽP association, or that the given aspects are not relevant for this construction. This relates in particular to the issues of climate change, nuclear and radiation safety, protection of the population and the environment, prevention of major accidents, the urban design of the landscape, the choice of technology for the new source, the operational aspects of the new units, and the discharge of radioactive substances, which are not related to the transport connection of the NPP EDU project. Similarly, the construction under consideration has no impact on the aspects objected to by the OIE concerning the separation of the site of the NJZ EDU project from the site of the existing nuclear power plant.

Therefore, the building authority found the comments of the OIŽP association to be unfounded in the context of the above-mentioned procedure.

The Building Authority adds for completeness that some other entities, including foreign entities (especially from the Slovak Republic), have also commented on the permitted project - see the section on the notification of the initiation of the procedure and its further course above. However, these comments were in agreement and without comments and therefore the construction authority did not elaborate on them in the justification of this decision.

Administrative fee:

On 13 September 2023, under reference No.MPO 76833/23/403 - SÚ, the Building Authority, pursuant to Section 5(2) of Act No.634/2004 Coll., on administrative fees, as amended, called upon the applicant to pay an administrative fee pursuant to item 17(1)(f) in the amount of CZK 20,000, item 17(1)(h) in the amount of CZK 3,000, i.e. a total of CZK 23,000. The administrative fee has been paid.

Conclusion:

The Building Authority proceeded in the zoning proceedings in accordance with the basic principles of the activities of administrative authorities under the Administrative Procedure Code, in particular, it established the state of affairs beyond reasonable doubt to the extent necessary for the issuance of the decision, ensured the completeness of the documents for the decision, and allowed the parties to the proceedings and the public to become acquainted with them and to submit objections and comments to them in accordance with the requirements of the Administrative Procedure Code, the Building Act and the EIA Act.

In order to protect public interests, the building authority examined and justified the conformity of the project with the spatial planning documentation, with the objectives and tasks of spatial planning, with the requirements of the Building Act and its implementing regulations (especially with the general requirements for the use of the territory), with the requirements for public transport and technical infrastructure with regard to the possibility and method of connection or the conditions of the affected protection and safety zones, with the requirements of the relevant special legislation, and with the binding opinions and decisions of the authorities concerned under special legislation. In order to protect public interests, the construction authority set out in the operative part of the decision the requirements resulting from the binding opinions of the authorities concerned and from the opinions, statements and consents of other entities, in particular the owners and administrators of public infrastructure.

Furthermore, the building authority concluded that the participant's or other rights in rem to other land and buildings cannot be directly affected by this decision.

On the basis of the above, and having assessed that the construction under consideration is in accordance with the public interests, the Building Authority has decided as set out in the operative part of the decision.

Lessons learned

Pursuant to Section 152(1) of the Administrative Procedure Code, the parties to the proceedings may lodge an appeal against this decision with the Minister of Industry and Trade through the Ministry of Industry and Trade, as the competent construction authority which issued the decision, within 15 days of its notification. The time limit for lodging an appeal shall run from the day following the date of notification of the decision. The Minister of Industry and Trade shall decide on the appeal.

The dissent must have the particulars referred to in Section 37(2) of the Administrative Procedure Code and must contain information on the decision against which it is directed, the extent to which it is contested, and the grounds for the contradiction with legal provisions or the incorrectness of the decision or the procedure preceding it. An appeal against the grounds of the decision alone is inadmissible (pursuant to Article 82(1) and (2) in conjunction with Article 152(5) of the Administrative Procedure Code).

According to Section 9c(4) of the EIA Act, the public concerned referred to in Section 3(i)(2) of the EIA Act may also lodge an appeal against this decision, even if it was not a party to the proceedings in the first instance.

The execution of the construction "Connection of the NJZ EDU to the transport infrastructure" requires a valid building permit (Section 115 of the Building Act).

This decision shall also be binding on the applicant's successors in title and other parties to the proceedings.

Director of the Building Authority Department

Attachment:

situational drawings at a scale of 1:500:

C.2.1 Cadastral situation drawing - part 1

C.2.2 Cadastral situation drawing - part 2

He will receive:***Participants in the zoning proceedings pursuant to Section 85(1)(a) of the Building Act, who are served individually pursuant to Section 2(5) of the Linear Act:***For delivery by data mailbox:Elektrárna Dukovany II, a. s. **IDDS:** zcnewnf Duhová č. p. 1444/2, Michle, 140 00 Prague 4***Participants in the zoning proceedings pursuant to Section 85(1)(b) of the Building Act, who are served individually pursuant to Section 2(5) of the Linear Act:***For delivery by data mailbox:Village Rouchovany **IDDS:** t7gbqvz Rouchovany nr. p. 35, 675 57 RouchovanyMunicipality Dukovany **IDDS:** u6tb3rm Dukovany nr. p. 99, 675 56 DukovanyMunicipality Slavětice **IDDS:** kjnbgas Slavětice č. p. 58, 675 55 Hrotovice***Participants in the zoning proceedings pursuant to Section 85(2)(a) of the Building Act, who are served by public notice pursuant to Section 2(5) of the Linear Act:***

Morava River Basin, s.p.

Headquarters: Dřevařská no. 932/11, Veveří, 602 00 Brno 2

Regional Administration and Maintenance of Roads of Vysočina Region, contributory organization

Headquarters: Hrotovická 1102, 674 82 Třebíč

Vysočina Region

Headquarters: Žižkova No. 1882/57, 586 01 Jihlava 1

Vysočina Regional Authority, Property Department

Headquarters: Žižkova No. 1882/57, 587 33 Jihlava

ČEPS, a.s.

Headquarters: Elektrárenská č. p. 774/2, Michle, 101 00 Prague 101

ČEZ, a. s.

Headquarters: Duhová č. p. 1444/2, Michle, 140 00 Prague 4

CETIN a.s.

Headquarters: Českomoravská č. p. 2510/19, Libeň, 190 00 Prague 9

EG.D, a.s.

Headquarters: Lidická no. 1873/36, Černá Pole, 602 00 Brno 2

VODÁRENSKÁ AKCIOVÁ SPOLEČNOST, a.s. division Třebíč

Headquarters: Kubišova č. p. 1172, 674 11 Třebíč 1

Czech Hydrometeorological Institute

Headquarters: Na Šabatce č. p. 2050/17, Komořany, 143 00 Praha 412

Institute of Archaeology of the CAS, Brno, v. v. i.

Headquarters: Čechyňská no. 363/19, Trnítá, 602 00 Brno 2

Participants in the zoning proceedings pursuant to Section 85(2)(b) of the Building Act, identified pursuant to Section 87(3) of the Building Act by identification of land and buildings registered in the Land Registry, who are served by public notice pursuant to Section 2(5) of the Linear Act:

Cadastral territory Skryje nad Jihlavou

parc. no.: 124/32 (arable land), 124/39 (arable land), 124/44 (arable land), 126 (arable land), 130 (arable land), 132 (arable land), 133 (arable land), 139 (arable land), 143/5 (arable land), 421 (arable land)

Cadastral territory Heřmanice u Rouchovan

parc. no.: 90/5 (arable land), 90/11 (arable land), 90/15 (other land), 90/20 (arable land), 169 (arable land), 170/1 (arable land), 170/2 (arable land), 187/2 (permanent grassland), 187/14 (permanent grassland), 189 (arable land), 190 (arable land), 191 (arable land), 200/1 (arable land), 200/6 (arable land), 251/14 (arable land), 260/12 (arable land), 260/27 (other area), 272/1 (arable land), 326 (other area), 328/1 (arable land), 328/3 (arable land), 335/2 (arable land), 360 (arable land), 363/2 (other area), 366/2 (arable land), 382 (arable land), 1526 (other area)

Cadastral territory Lipňany u Skryji

parc. no.: 110 (arable land), 112/2 (arable land), 142/61 (arable land), 142/62 (arable land), 142/63 (arable land), 142/64 (arable land), 142/65 (arable land), 142/67 (arable land), 181/1 (other area)

Participants in the planning proceedings pursuant to Section 9c(3) of the EIA Act, who are served by public notice pursuant to Section 2(5) of the Linear Act:

Children of the Earth - Club for Sustainable Transport, Cejl no. 866/50a, Zábrdovice, 602 00 Brno 2

"VODA Z TETČIC z.s.", Hybešova no. 178, 664 17 Tetčice

OIŽP - Civic Initiative for Environmental Protection, z.s., Kubatova č. p. 1240/6, České Budějovice 3, 370 04 České Budějovice 4

South Bohemian Mothers, z.s., Karla Buriana č. p. 1288/3, České Budějovice 6, 370 01 České Budějovice 1

Calla - Association for the Rescue of the Environment, z.s., Fráni Šrámka č. p. 1168/35, České Budějovice 3, 370 01 České Budějovice 1

Authorities concerned:

For delivery by data mailbox:

Třebíč City Council, Environmental Department,

IDDS: 6pub8mc

Headquarters: Masarykovo nám. p. 116/6, 674 01 Třebíč

Třebíč City Council, Department of Education and Culture,

IDDS: 6pub8mc

Headquarters: Karlovo nám. p. 104/55, Vnitřní Město, 674 01 Třebíč

Třebíč City Council, Department of Transport and Municipal Services,

IDDS: 6pub8mc

Headquarters: Karlovo nám. p. 104/55, Vnitřní Město, 674 01 Třebíč

Třebíč Municipality, Department of Development and Spatial Planning,

IDDS: 6pub8mc

Headquarters: Karlovo nám. p. 104/55, Vnitřní Město, 674 01 Třebíč

Vysočina Regional Authority, Department of Environment and Agriculture,

IDDS: ksab3eu

Headquarters: Žižkova no. 1882/57, 587 33 Jihlava;

Vysočina Regional Authority, Department of Transport and Road Management,

IDDS: ksab3eu

Headquarters: Žižkova no. 1882/57, 587 33 Jihlava;

The Regional Hygiene Station of the Vysočina Region is located in Jihlava,

IDDS: uuai3w

Headquarters: Tolstého č. p. 1914/15, 586 01 Jihlava 1;

Fire Rescue Service of the Vysočina Region,

IDDS: ntdaa7v

Headquarters: Ke Skalce No. 4960/32, 586 01 Jihlava 1;

Police of the Czech Republic - Regional Police Directorate of the Vysočina Region, Territorial Department Třebíč, Traffic Inspectorate,

IDDS: x9nhptc

Headquarters: Bráfova 1274/11, 674 01 Třebíč 1;

Ministry of Health, Czech Spa and Spa Inspectorate,

IDDS: pv8aaxd

Headquarters: Palackého náměstí 375/4, 128 01 Prague 2;

Ministry of the Environment, Department of Environmental Impact Assessment and Integrated Prevention,

IDDS: 9gsaax4

Headquarters: Vršovická č. p. 1442/65, Vršovice, 100 00 Prague 10;

Ministry of the Environment, Department of State Administration VII,

IDDS: 9gsaax4

Headquarters: Mezírka 1; 602 00 Brno;

Department of Defense,

IDDS: hjyaavk

Headquarters: Svatoplukova no. 2687/84, 662 10 Brno;

Ministry of the Interior,

IDDS: 6bnaawp

Headquarters: Nad Štolou No. 936/3, Holešovice, 170 00 Prague 7;

State Office for Nuclear Safety,

IDDS: me7aazb

Headquarters: Senovážné Square No. 1585/9, Nové Město, 110 00 Prague 1;

Municipal Office Dukovany,

IDDS: u6tb3rm

Headquarters: Dukovany No. 99, 675 56 Dukovany;

Municipality of Rouchovany,

IDDS: t7gbqvz

Headquarters: Rouchovany No. 35, 675 57 Rouchovany;

Slavětice Municipal Office,

IDDS: kjnbgas

Headquarters: Slavětice No. 58, 675 55 Hrotovice;

State Veterinary Administration, Regional Veterinary Administration of the State Veterinary Administration for Vysočina Region,

IDDS: d2vairv

Headquarters: Rantířovská 94/22, Jihlava - Horní Kosov, 586 01.

Posting without delay for a period of 15 days on official boards in the Czech Republic:

Ministry of Industry and Trade, Na Františku č. p. 1039/32, Staré Město, 110 00 Prague 1

Třebíč Municipal Office, Karlovo nám. 104/55, 674 01 Třebíč

Municipal Office Rouchovany, Rouchovany č. p. 35, 675 57 Rouchovany

Municipal Office Dukovany, Dukovany No. 99, 675 56 Dukovany

Slavětice Municipal Office, Slavětice No. 58, 675 55 Hrotovice

Noted:

Třebíč Municipality, Department of Construction,

IDDS: 6pub8mc

Karlovo nám. 104/55, 674 01 Třebíč

Retrieved from

Retrieved from

Stamp, signature of the authority confirming the posting and removal of the notice.

Notice to applicants:

After the decision becomes legally valid, the building authority delivers to the applicant a copy of the written copy of the zoning decision bearing the legal validity clause together with a certified graphic annex consisting of situational drawings on the basis of the cadastral map at a scale of 1:500:

C.2.1 Cadastral situation drawing - part 1

C.2.2 Cadastral situation drawing - part 2